REVISED
City of Apopka Planning Commission Meeting Agenda September 11, 2018 5:30 PM @ City Council Chambers

## I. CALL TO ORDER

If you wish to appear before the Planning Commission, please submit a "Notice of Intent to Speak" card to the Recording Secretary.

## II. OPENING AND INVOCATION

## III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

1 Approve minutes of the Planning Commission regular meeting held August 14, 2018.

## IV. PUBLIC HEARING:

1. City of Apopka Code of Ordinances - PART III - Land Development Code, Article V, Section 5.05.00 Floodplains; and recommend adoption of the technical amendments to the Florida Building Code.
2. City of Apopka Comprehensive Plan - Capital Improvements Element - Amending the City's Five-Year Capital Improvements Plan to add recreation improvements.
3. Change Of Zoning - Mid-Florida Logistics Park - From I-1 (Restricted Industrial), Mixed-EC, R-1AA (Residential), AG (Agriculture), A-1 (Zip) to PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan; Subdivision and Site Plan for property owned by Mid-Florida Freezer Warehouses LTD and Eagles Landing at Ocoee, LLC; and located on the west side of SR 429, south of General Electric Road, east of Hermit Smith Road. (Parcel ID \#s: 01-21-27-0000-00-030; 01-21-27-0000-00-060; 06-21-28-7172-12-020; 06-21-28-7172-12-041; 06-21-28-7172-12-060; 06-21-28-7172-13-000; 12-21-27-0000-00-010; 12-21-27-0000-00-015; 12-21-27-0000-00017; 12-21-27-0000-00-018; 12-21-27-0000-00-021)

## V. SITE PLANS:

1. Final Development Plan - Apopka Medical Office - Property owned by Urgent Care Developers of Apopka, LLC, c/o Tim Burrill, and located at 1520 West Orange Blossom Trail. (Parcel ID \#s: 05-21-28-0000-00-008; 05-21-28-0000-00-038)
2. Plat - Lakeside, Phase 2 - Property owned by Avatar Properties, Inc. and located south of Marshall Lake and West of SR 451. (Parcel ID \#s: 17-21-28-0000-00-014, 08-21-28-0000-00-043)
3. Plat - Vista Reserve - Property owned by Mikhail Wafaa, Abdelsayed George, Abdelsayed Lucy, Abdelsayed Wafeek, and located on the east side of Rogers Road, approximately one half mile north of the intersection of Rogers Road and Lester Road. (Parcel ID \#: 29-20-28-0000-00-003)

## VI. OLD BUSINESS:

## VII. NEW BUSINESS:

## VIII. ADJOURNMENT:


All interested parties may appear and be heard with respect to this agenda. Please be advised that, under state law, if you decide to appeal any decision made by the City Council with respect to any matter considered at this meeting or hearing, you will need a record of the proceedings, and that, for such purpose, you may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes a testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. The City of Apopka does not provide a verbatim record.

In accordance with the American with Disabilities Act (ADA), persons with disabilities needing a special accommodation to participate in any of these proceedings should contact the City Clerk's Office at 120 East Main Street, Apopka, FL 32703, telephone (407) 703-1704, no less than 48 hours prior to the proceeding.

## MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING HELD ON AUGUST 14, 2018, AT 5:30 P.M. IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, APOPKA, FLORIDA.

MEMBERS PRESENT: James Greene, Linda Laurendeau, Robert Ryan, and John Sprinkle


#### Abstract

ABSENT: Jose Molina, Butch Stanley, Orange County Public Schools (Non-voting) STAFF PRESENT: James Hitt, FRA-RA - Community Development Director, David Moon, AICP - Planning Manager, Patrick Brackins - City Attorney, Pamela Richmond - Senior Planner, Jean Sanchez - Planner II, and Jeanne Green - Recording Secretary

OTHERS PRESENT: Barbara Fox, Gregory Fox, John Dingman, Richard Jennings, Erika Hughes, James Palm, Frank Bombeeck, Eduardo Garcia, Suzanne Kidd, Jeffrey Chaffee, Rigo Noriega, Gordon Lovestrand, Judith Lovestrand, Aflred Kager, Theresa Sargeant - Apopka Chief

OPENING AND INVOCATION: Chairperson Greene called the meeting to order and asked for a moment of silent prayer. The Pledge of Allegiance followed.


INTRODUCTION: Chairperson Greene introduced and welcomed Robert Ryan as a new member to the Planning Commission. Mr. Ryan previously served for three years (2013-2016) on the Commission.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Chairperson Greene asked if there were any additional corrections or additions to the regular meeting minutes of July 10, 2018, at 5:30 p.m.

Motion: John Sprinkle made a motion to approve the Planning Commission minutes from the regular meeting held on July 10, 2018, at 5:30 p.m. and seconded by Linda Laurendeau. Aye votes were cast by James Greene, Linda Laurendeau, Robert Ryan, and John Sprinkle (4-0).

LEGISLATIVE - LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE AMENDMENT - KELLY PARK INTERCHANGE FORM-BASED CODE - MEdTECH CAMPUS OVERLAY DISTRICT Chairperson Greene stated this is a request to find the MEdTech Campus Overlay District within Employment District of the Kelly Park Form-Based Code for the Wekiva Parkway Interchange Plan Area to be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; and recommend approval to adopt this overlay district.

Staff Presentation: James Hitt, FRA-RA, Community Development Director, stated the Kelly Park Interchange Form-Based Code was approved by the Apopka City Council on June 21, 2017. The FormBased Code was developed to guide and regulate development within the Wekiva Parkway Interchange Plan area to achieve a specific urban form or character and physical urban form - such as building design and scale while placing less focus on land use or zoning.

This is in accordance with Objective 19 of the Future Land Use Element (Comp Plan) directs the City to implement a form-based code to further the Wekiva Parkway Interchange Plan; and, Objective 20 of the Comprehensive Plan, Future Land Use Element.

A primary purpose of the proposed development standards is to create a sustainable community in the Interchange area - a place where people can live, work, play and shop.

The proposed MEdTech Campus Overlay District is being created within the existing Employment District as a means to establish specific development criteria for a campus-type area for Medical, Educational and Technology. This overlay district standards include, but are not limited to: permitted uses, district size (40 to 100 acres), building materials, building step-backs, buffers, size, orientation, pedestrian, bicycle and auto connectivity, and other guidelines for the campus development.

This MEdTech Overlay District is only applicable within the Employment District to the Kelly Park Interchange Form-Based Code. This area is west of S.R. 429, generally east of Effie Drive, and is both north and south of Kelly Park Rd, all within the Kelly Park Interchange Form-Based Code area.

Staff's recommendation is to find the MEdTech Campus Overlay District within Employment District of the Kelly Park Form-Based Code for the Wekiva Parkway Interchange Plan Area to be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and Recommend that City Council adopt this overlay district.

This item is considered legislative. The staff report and its findings are to be incorporated into and made a part of the minutes of this meeting

Chairperson Greene opened the meeting for public hearing.
In response to an inquiry by Suzanne Kidd, 1260 Lexington Parkway, Erika Hughes, the City's consultant from VHB, 225 East Robinson Street, Orlando, stated that since the overlay district could be applied in other areas of the city, the language does not provide specific guidelines so as not the handicap those potential developments. The types of development being proposed for this area go towards health and wellness so developers will have more incentive to go "Green."

Mr. Hitt stated that the healthcare design field has seen a decided shift in the last several years as LEED® (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) certification has become a standard for many healthcare organizations.

With no one else wishing to speak, Chairperson Greene closed the public hearing.
Motion: Linda Laurendeau made a motion to find the MEdTech Campus Overlay District within Employment District of the Kelly Park Form-Based Code for the Wekiva Parkway Interchange Plan Area to be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; and recommend approval to adopt this overlay district. Motion seconded by Robert Ryan. Aye votes were cast by James Greene, Linda Laurendeau, Robert Ryan and John Sprinkle (4-0). (Vote taken by poll.)

## QUASI-JUDICIAL - PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT AMENDMENT - AVIAN POINTE -

 Chairperson Greene stated this is a request to find the proposed amendment to the PUD zoning and Master Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code; and recommend approval of the Avian Pointe Planned Unit Development Master Plan for property owned by Apopka Clear Lake Investments, LLC, and located on the east side of SR 429, north of Lust Road.Chairperson Greene asked if there were any affected parties in attendance that wished to speak. No one spoke.

Chairperson Greene asked if the Commission members had any ex parte communications to divulge regarding this item. No ex parte communications occurred.

Staff Presentation: David Moon, AICP, Planning Manager, stated this is a request to find the proposed amendment to the PUD zoning and Master Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code; and recommend approval of the Avian Pointe Planned Unit Development Master Plan for property owned by Apopka Clear Lake Investments, LLC, and located on the east side of SR 429 , north of Lust Road. The applicant is Frank Bombeeck. The existing use is vacant land and the proposed use is a residential development with 56 single family homes; 222 townhomes, 480 apartments. The future land uses are Residential Low and medium Density and the zoning is PUD (Planned Unit Development). The tract size is $127.21+/-$ acres.

The subject property is approximately 127.21 acres in size and is zoned PUD (Planned Unit Development) and has a future land use designations of Residential Medium Density and Residential Low Density. The subject property is located on the east side of SR 429, north of Lust Road, and south of Peterson Road. Access to the development is proposed via Lust Road and Peterson Road.

The original Avian Pointe PUD Master Plan was approved via Ordinance No. 2433 and permitted up to 758 residential units consisting of single-family, townhomes, apartments, a shared use recreation area, and a flex use parcel consisting of either a school or daycare facility, senior housing facility, or a 100 room boutique hotel. The PUD Master Plan was amended in 2017 to allow the apartment units to be up to four stories, not to exceed 50 -feet in height. The applicant is requesting an amendment to the PUD (Planned Unit Development) zoning and Master Plan, and proposed revisions to the Master Plan appear in the attached phase-by-phase comparison table.

The amendment to the PUD Zoning and Master Plan does not propose an increase in the total amount of residential units that are permitted pursuant to Ordinance No. 2433. Development design standards from the current Master Plan are carried over to the Proposed Master Plan.

Residential development profile:

| Unit type | Number of units | Minimum Livable Area (Square Feet) | Building Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single Family ( 75 ' width; 8,400 sq.ft. min.) ( 60 -feet x 125 -feet, 60 -feet x 132 -feet, 70 feet x 120 -feet) | 58-56 | 1,700 | 35-foot maximum height |
| Townhomes: | $216 \underline{222}$ | 1,350 | 3 stories; 45-feet |
| Apartments: | 484480 |  | 4 stories; 50-feet |
| 1-Bedroom: <br> 2-Bedroom: <br> 3-Bedroom: |  | 750 minimum |  |
|  |  | 900 minimum |  |
|  |  | 1,050 minimum |  |
| Total Residential units: | 758 |  |  |

B. Deviations. The applicant is requesting one deviation to the City's required development standards. For a PUD Master Plan, a deviation from the City's Land Development Code does not represent a variance but a development standard or zoning condition unique to and approved as part of the Planned Unit Development zoning. PUD's are required to satisfy the requirements of the Land Development Code unless the City Council finds that, based on substantial evidence, a proposed alternative development guideline is adequate to protect to the public health safety, and welfare. Any deviations must be consistent with the policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

1. Typical Lot Width Standard, Land Development Code Section 2.02.18.D.11. requires a minimum lot size within a PUD to be 70 -feet in width, and to have a minimum site area of 7,500 square feet. The developer is proposing 39,60 -foot wide lots with minimum lots sizes of 7,500 square feet. 17 units are proposed at 75 -feet in width.
C. Justification for Deviations/Development Standard. The following justifications are provided for the deviations that is proposed.
2. Dedication of right-of-way - The PUD Master Plan proposes the dedication of right-of-way to the City referred to as Grand Avian Parkway on the PUD Master Plan which will connect Lust Road to Peterson Road. The right-of-way is proposed to range in width from 75 -feet to 80 -feet. An 11 -foot wide bike path/trail will be provided on the west side of the right-of-way. A 5-foot wide sidewalk will be provided on the east side. A landscape median ranging in width between 10 -feet and 15 -feet is provided.
3. An improved recreation amenities package is proposed - The PUD Master Plan proposes the construction of a 7.071 acre Community Park and Recreation area that will consist of a tot lot, restrooms, basketball courts, tennis courts, soccer field, and baseball field.
4. Abutting Site Characteristics - The PUD Master Plan proposes a 20 -foot landscape buffer between the proposed single-family homes and the Clear Lake Estates subdivision to the east. The development will not be visible from the homes within Clear Lake Estates and separation between the larger lots in Clear Lake Estates and the smaller lots within the Avian Pointe PUD will be provided with the landscape buffer. The developer is proposing 75 -foot wide lots adjacent to the Clear Lake Estates subdivision. 60 -foot wide lots will be provided adjacent to Clearwater Lake and within the interior of the single-family subdivision.
5. Protection of Environmentally Sensitive Areas and Preservation of Open Space - The PUD Master Plan protects wetlands adjacent to Clearwater Lake. Single-family homes will be setback approximately 190-feet from Clearwater Lake.
6. Flex Zone Development tract - The PUD Master Plan reserves a 14.998 acre tract for either of the following uses: 1) School or daycare facility, 2) Senior Housing Facility, or 3) 100 room boutique hotel. The School site was expanded to

The PUD recommendations are that the zoning classification of the following described property be designated as Planned Unit Development (PUD), as defined in the Apopka Land Development Code, and with the following Master Plan provisions subject to the following zoning provisions:
A. The uses permitted within the PUD district shall be single-family residential uses.
B. Terms of Expiration for this PUD shall be as follows:

If a Final Development Plan associated with the PUD district has not been approved by the City within three years, and site development has not commenced within four years after approval of these Master Plan provisions, the approval of the Master Plan provisions will expire. At such time, the City Council may:

1. Permit a single six-month extension for submittal of the required Final Development Plan;
2. Allow the PUD zoning designation to remain on the property pending resubmittal of new Master Plan provisions and any conditions of approval; or
3. Rezone the property to a more appropriate zoning classification.

The proposed use of the property is consistent with the Low Density and Medium Density Residential Future Land Use designation and is consistent with the Land Development Code.

Per Orange County Public Schools, the project is vested to satisfy capacity, however there are outstanding concurrency issues that will be required to be satisfied prior to approval of a residential plat or prior to a final development plan for a multi-family apartment site plan.

Pursuant to Section 7 of the Joint Planning Area agreement, notification to Orange County is not required for a rezoning application as the subject parcels are not adjacent to unincorporated Orange County.

The Development Review Committee finds the proposed amendment to the PUD zoning and Master Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code and recommends approval of the Avian Pointe Planned Unit Development Master Plan.

Staff recommended the Planning Commission find the proposed amendment to the PUD zoning and Master Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code; and to recommend approval of the amendment to the PUD zoning and Master Plan for Avian Pointe based on the findings and facts presented in the staff report and exhibits.

This item is considered quasi-judicial. The staff report and its findings are to be incorporated into and made a part of the minutes of this meeting.

In response to questions by Ms. Laurendeau, Frank Bombeeck, Apopka Clear Lake Investments, LLC, 1810 West Kennedy Boulevard, \#237, Tampa, confirmed that a large section of the Clear Lake Estates fence adjacent to Avian Pointe was blown down during the hurricane. He added that a 20 -foot landscape buffer is proposed between the single-family homes in Avian Pointe and the Clear Lake Estates subdivision to the east. The development will not be visible from the homes within Clear Lake Estates and separation between the larger lots in Clear Lake Estates and the smaller lots within the Avian Pointe PUD will be provided with the landscape buffer.

Petitioner: Thomas Sullivan, Gray Robinson, 301 East Pine Street, Suite 1400, Orlando, stated he represents the owner and there are only a handful of changes to the proposed plan. The entire project was re-configured to accommodate the Orange County Public Schools request for 15 acres on the southwest side of the project for an elementary school. The lot width for the interior single family residences section was reduced to 60 feet. Although the same number of residential units were kept, two of the single family lots were removed; four of the apartments were remove; and six to townhomes were added. The spine road was realigned.

Mr. Sprinkle expressed his concerns regarding the numerous requests from developers in the past few months for smaller lot sizes.

Mr. Bombeeck stated that due to the additional land required to accommodate the Orange County School Board and the wetlands on the north side of the property, moving the project up has created a very difficult problem. He said he is trying to make money but probably won't because they had to realign the spine road and he lost two lots.

In response to Mr. Sprinkle, Patrick Brackins, Board Attorney, stated that the smaller lot sizes is a deviation as allowed because the purpose of a Planned Unit Development (PUD) district is to: "permit planned unit developments which are intended to promote efficient and economical land use; improve the level of amenities; provide appropriate and harmonious variety in physical development; permit creative site design; provide improved living environments; provide orderly and economic development in the city; and, protect adjacent and nearby existing and future city development. In view of the substantial public advantages of planned unit development, it is the intent of PUD regulations to promote and encourage development in this form where tracts suitable in size, location, and character for the uses and structures proposed are to be planned and developed as unified and coordinated units."

Mr. Sullivan added that each development is considered different. While they are requesting a reduction of lots for the interior section, they are keeping the same house sizes; and there will be a 25 foot landscape buffer between the Avian Pointe residences and those in Clear Lake.

In response to a question by Ms. Laurendeau, Mr. Sullivan stated that they are removing two single family residential lots and four apartments; and they are adding six townhomes.

Mr. Moon added that the master plan proposes a 25 foot landscape buffer while the code only requires a 10 foot landscape buffer.

In response to a question by Ms. Laurendeau, Mr. Sullivan stated the Master Homeowners' Association will be responsible for the upkeep of all the buffer areas.

Chairperson Greene opened the meeting for public hearing.
In response to questions by Barbara Fox, 2452 Pickford Circle, Apopka, Mr. Moon stated that there are no current plans for any improvements or vacation of King Street.

In response to a question by Ms. Laurendeau, Mr. Moon stated there will be round-abouts within the project but not at Peterson Road.

In response to questions by Jeff Chaffee, 2444 Wyndham Bay Place, Apopka, Mr. Moon stated the 20foot landscape buffer will be owned and maintained by the Avian Pointe HOA and will comprise trees and shrubs as indicated in the preliminary and final development plans.

Mr. Hitt stated there is no development planned for around the lake.
In response to questions by Richard Jennings, 519 Natural Bridge Court, Apopka, Mr. Bombeeck stated the fence between where Mr. Jennings' lot is and Avian Pointe is still in place. Although his lot is across from the Avian Pointe recreation area, there will still be a 25 foot landscape buffer area as well as the fence between that area and Mr. Jennings' lot.

With no one else wishing to speak, Chairperson Greene closed the public hearing.


#### Abstract

Motion: Robert Ryan made a motion to find the proposed amendment to the PUD zoning and Master Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code; and to recommend approval of the amendment to the PUD zoning and Master Plan for Avian Pointe based on the findings and facts presented in the staff report and exhibits, and City Council approval of the Development Agreement for the property owned by Apopka Clear Lake Investments, LLC, and located on the east side of SR 429, north of Lust Road. Motion seconded by John Sprinkle. Aye votes were cast by Aye votes were cast by James Greene, Linda Laurendeau, Robert Ryan, and John Sprinkle (4-0). (Vote taken by poll.)


QUASI-JUDICIAL - FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN - AUTOZONE STORE - Chairperson Greene stated this is a request to find the AutoZone Store Final Development Plan consistent with the Land Development Code and Comprehensive Plan; and recommend approval of Final Development Plan, subject to the Condition of Approval and the findings of the staff report for the property owned by Calmil Investment Group LP and Kenneth Lee Jureit and located at 1120 West Orange Blossom Trail.

Chairperson Greene asked if there were any affected parties in attendance that wished to speak. No one spoke.

Chairperson Greene asked if the Commission members had any ex parte communications to divulge regarding this item. No ex parte communications occurred.

Staff Presentation: Jean Sanchez, Planner II, stated this is a request to find the AutoZone Store Final Development Plan consistent with the Land Development Code and Comprehensive Plan; and recommend approval of Final Development Plan, subject to the Condition of Approval and the findings of the staff report for the property owned by Calmil Investment Group LP and Kenneth Lee Jureit and located at 1120 West Orange Blossom Trail. The engineer is Rogers Engineering, LLC, c/o Wallace L. Brinkman III, P.E. The future land use is Commercial and the zoning is C-2 (General Commercial). The existing use is vacant land and the proposed use is retail sales. The proposed building size is 6,815 sq. ft. with a Floor Area Ratio of 0.146 ( 0.25 Maximum). The tract size is $1.07+/-$ acres

This is a request to approve the AutoZone Store Final Development Plan that includes a building size of 6,815 square feet. A preliminary development plan is not required for development proposing less than 10,000 sq. ft. of building floor area. The site plan takes into consideration the future potential to plat the property into separate lots.

Per the Land Development Code parking requirements, 35 parking spaces are required while the applicant is proposing 42 parking spaces, two of which are reserved as a handicapped accessible spaces.

The site will have access to U.S. 441 via a cross-access easement through the Verizon Store to Lake Doe Boulevard. Two other access points will be available through cross-access easement across the IHOP property.

AutoZone and IHOP are proposed on a single parcel and will share all access; accordingly, a single transportation impact analysis (TIA) was submitted to evaluate the combined impacts of AutoZone and

IHOP on the surrounding roadway segments and intersections. Included in the analysis were segments of U.S 441/W Orange Blossom Trail, Errol Parkway, Lake Doe Boulevard, and Old Dixie Highway. Intersections analyzed were U.S. 441/W Orange Blossom Trail and Vick Road; U.S. 441/W Orange Blossom Trail and Errol Parkway; Old Dixie Highway and Errol Parkway; Old Dixie Highway and Vick Road; Lake Doe Boulevard site entrance; and U.S. 441/W Orange Blossom Trail site entrances.

The projects will generate 503 daily and 44 P.M. Peak Hour Net New trips. The addition of these project trips to the study roadways and intersections will not cause the Level of Service (LOS) to fall below the City's adopted LOS standard.

Right and left turn warrant analyses were conducted for the site entrances on U.S. 441 and concluded that turn lanes are not needed to safely accommodate project traffic.

Both access driveways on U.S. 441/W Orange Blossom Trail are required for the site at the time of development of either project if they are not developed simultaneously.

The height of the proposed building is 25 feet, well below the maximum allowable height of 35 feet. Staff has found the proposed building elevations meet the intent of the City's Development Design Standards\Guidelines.

The stormwater management system includes an on-site retention area, on the southern portion of the project site. The stormwater pond design meets the City's Land Development Code requirements.

As part of the development plan approval, Ligustrum and Crepe Myrtles, and Indian Hawthorn shrubs line the 10 -foot wide buffer adjacent to the U.S. Highway 441. Magnolias are placed in the parking landscaped islands

## Arbor Assessment:

$$
\text { Total inches on-site (before removal): } 163
$$

Total specimen inches removed 110
Total inches retained: 17
Total inches added: 174
Total inches post development: 97
A Condition of Approval is that all access driveways must be constructed and all associated cross access easements must be recorded across both the IHOP and AutoZone sites prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy on either site.

The Development Review Committee recommends approval of the AutoZone Store - Final Development Plan, subject to the findings of this staff report.

Staff recommends the Planning Commission find the Final Development Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code; compatible with the character of the surrounding area; and recommend approval of AutoZone Store Final Development Plan, subject to the findings of the staff report.

The role of the Planning Commission for this development application is to advise the City Council to approve or deny based on consistency with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code.

This item is considered quasi-judicial. The staff report and its findings are to be incorporated into and made a part of the minutes of this meeting.

In response to questions by Ms. Laurendeau, John Dingman, Rogers Engineering, LLC, 1105 SE $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue, Ocala, stated that the turn radius for the trailer trucks meet industry standards and all stormwater will be kept onsite.

Petitioner: Mr. Dingman stated he supports the staff report and was available to answer any questions.
Chairperson Greene opened the meeting for public hearing. With no one wishing to speak, Chairperson Greene closed the public hearing.

Motion: John Sprinkle made a motion to find the AutoZone Store Final Development Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code; compatible with the character of the surrounding area; and recommend approval of AutoZone Store Final Development Plan, subject to the findings of the staff report for the property owned by Calmil Investment Group LP and Kenneth Lee Jureit and located at 1120 West Orange Blossom Trail. Motion seconded by Linda Laurendeau. Aye votes were cast by James Greene, Linda Laurendeau, Robert Ryan, and John Sprinkle (4-0). (Vote taken by poll.)

QUASI-JUDICIAL - FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN - IHOP RESTAURANT - Chairperson Greene stated this is a request to find the IHOP Restaurant Final Development Plan consistent with the Land Development Code and Comprehensive Plan; and recommend approval of Final Development Plan, subject to the Condition of Approval and the findings of the staff report for the property owned by Calmil Investment Group LP and Kenneth Lee Jureit and located at 1120 West Orange Blossom Trail.

Chairperson Greene asked if there were any affected parties in attendance that wished to speak. No one spoke.

Chairperson Greene asked if the Commission members had any ex parte communications to divulge regarding this item. No ex parte communications occurred.

Staff Presentation: Ms. Sanchez stated this is a request to find the IHOP Restaurant Final Development Plan consistent with the Land Development Code and Comprehensive Plan; and recommend approval of Final Development Plan, subject to the Condition of Approval and the findings of the staff report for the property owned by Calmil Investment Group LP and Kenneth Lee Jureit and located at 1120 West Orange Blossom Trail. The engineer is Rogers Engineering, LLC, c/o Wallace L. Brinkman III, P.E. The future land use is Commercial and the zoning is C-2 (General Commercial). The existing use is vacant land and the proposed use is a restaurant. The proposed building size is $4,400 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. with a Floor Area Ratio of 0.046 ( 0.25 Maximum). The tract size is $2.25+/$ - acres

This is a request to approve the IHOP Restaurant - Final Development Plan that includes a building size of 4,500 square feet. A preliminary development plan is not required for development proposing less than $10,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of building floor area.

Per Land Development Code parking requirements, 48 parking spaces are required while the applicant is proposing 70 parking spaces, four of which are reserved as a handicapped accessible spaces.

The site will have access to U.S. 441 via a full access at the northern end through cross-access easement within the Verizon Store and AutoZone parcels, and two access driveways along U.S. 441 within the IHOP site, one full-access and one right-in, right-out only.

AutoZone and IHOP are proposed on a single parcel and will share all access; accordingly, a single transportation impact analysis (TIA) was submitted to evaluate the combined impacts of AutoZone and IHOP on the surrounding roadway segments and intersections. Included in the analysis were segments of U.S 441/W Orange Blossom Trail, Errol Parkway, Lake Doe Boulevard, and Old Dixie Highway. Intersections analyzed were U.S. 441/W Orange Blossom Trail and Vick Road; U.S. 441/W Orange Blossom Trail and Errol Parkway; Old Dixie Highway and Errol Parkway; Old Dixie Highway and Vick Road; Lake Doe Boulevard site entrance; and U.S. 441/W Orange Blossom Trail site entrances.

The projects will generate 503 daily and 44 P.M. Peak Hour Net New trips. The addition of these project trips to the study roadways and intersections will not cause the Level of Service (LOS) to fall below the City's adopted LOS standard.

Right and left turn warrant analyses were conducted for the site entrances on U.S. 441 and concluded that turn lanes are not needed to safely accommodate project traffic.

Both access driveways on U.S. 441/W Orange Blossom Trail are required for the site at the time of development of either project if they are not developed simultaneously.

The height of the proposed building is 27 feet, well below the maximum allowable height of 35 feet. Staff has found the proposed building elevations meet the intent of the City's Development Design Standards\Guidelines.

The stormwater management system includes an on-site retention area, on the southern portion of the project site. The stormwater pond design meets the City's Land Development Code requirements.

As part of the development plan approval, Ligustrum and Crepe Myrtles, and Indian Hawthorn shrubs line the 10 -foot wide buffer adjacent to the U.S. Highway 441 . Magnolias are placed in the parking landscaped islands

## Arbor Assessment

Total inches on-site (before removal): 161
Total specimen inches removed 56
Total non-specimen inches removed 95
Total inches retained: 10
Total inches added: 281
Total inches post development: 291
A Condition of Approval is that all access driveways must be constructed and all associated cross access easements must be recorded across both the IHOP and AutoZone sites prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy on either site.

The Development Review Committee recommends approval of the IHOP Restaurant - Final Development Plan, subject to the Conditions of Approval and the findings of this staff report.

Staff recommends the Planning Commission find the IHOP Restaurant Final Development Plan consistent with the Land Development Code and Comprehensive Plan, and recommend approval of Final Development Plan, subject to the Conditions of Approval and findings of the staff report.

The role of the Planning Commission for this development application is to advise the City Council to approve or deny based on consistency with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code.

This item is considered quasi-judicial. The staff report and its findings are to be incorporated into and made a part of the minutes of this meeting.

Petitioner: John Dingman, Rogers Engineering, LLC, 1105 SE $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue, Ocala, stated he represented the owner, supported the staff report, and was available to answer any questions.

Chairperson Greene opened the meeting for public hearing. With no one wishing to speak, Chairperson Greene closed the public hearing.

## Motion: Linda Laurendeau made a motion to find the IHOP Restaurant Final Development Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code; compatible with the character of the surrounding area; and recommend approval of AutoZone Store Final Development Plan, subject to the findings of the staff report for the property owned by Calmil Investment Group LP and Kenneth Lee Jureit and located at $\mathbf{1 1 2 0}$ West Orange Blossom Trail. Motion seconded by John Sprinkle. Aye votes were cast by James Greene, Linda Laurendeau, Robert Ryan, and John Sprinkle (4-0). (Vote taken by poll.)

## QUASI-JUDICIAL - PLAT - BRIDLEWOOD SUBDIVISION (FKA EQUESTRIAN CENTER

SUBDIVISION) - Chairperson Greene stated this is a request to recommend approval of the Bridlewood Subdivision Plat subject to the Condition of Approval, findings of the staff report and final review by the City surveyor and city engineer prior to recording the plat for property owned by Laura R. Murphy and located at 359 West Lester Road.

Chairperson Greene asked if there were any affected parties in attendance that wished to speak. No one spoke.

Chairperson Greene asked if the Commission members had any ex parte communications to divulge regarding this item. No ex parte communications occurred.

Staff Presentation: Ms. Sanchez stated this is a request to recommend approval of the Bridlewood Subdivision Plat subject to the Condition of Approval, findings of the staff report and final review by the City surveyor and city engineer prior to recording the plat for property owned by Laura R. Murphy and located at 359 West Lester Road. The applicant is Appian Engineering c/o Luke Classon, P.E. The existing use is Errol Equestrian Center and the proposed use is a 52 lot single family residential subdivision. The minimum lot width will be 75 feet and the minimum lot size is 8,000 square feet. The proposed density is $2.6 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ac}$ up to a maximum of $3.5 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ac}$. The tract size is $19.94+/-\mathrm{acres}$.

The Bridlewood Subdivision - Plat involves the development of 52 single family residential lots. The minimum typical lot width is 75 feet with a minimum lot size of 8,000 square feet. The required minimum living area for the subdivision is 1,500 square feet as set forth in Chapter 2 of the Land Development Code for single-family lots located within the R-1 zoning. The minimum setbacks applicable to this project are:

| Setback | Min. <br> Standard |
| :--- | :---: |
| Front* | $25^{\prime}$ |
| Side | $10^{\prime}$ |
| Rear | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Corner | $25^{\prime}$ |

*Front-entry garage must be setback 30 feet.
Ingress/egress access points for the development will be via full access onto Lester Road. A future connection occurs through a stub-out street at the northwest corner of the project.
The stormwater management system includes an on-site retention area and located on the north portion of the project site. The stormwater pond design meets the City's Land Development Code requirements.

The applicant is providing 0.47 acre/approximately 20,473 square feet of recreation space that includes a playground.

A school concurrency mitigation agreement has been executed and a copy of the letter from Orange County Public Schools (OCPS) has been supplied to Staff.

The County was notified at the time of the plat for this property through the DRC agenda distribution.
A Condition of Approval is that the Plat will be revised to assign maintenance and ownership of the L \& F five-foot wide easement (Landscape and Fence) to the HOA; and re-number the notes on the front sheet.

The Development Review Committee recommends approval of the Bridlewood Subdivision - Plat, subject to the findings of this staff report.

Staff is recommending the Planning Commission recommend approval of the Bridlewood Subdivision - Plat subject to the Condition of Approval, findings of the staff report and final review by the City surveyor and city engineer prior to recording the plat.

The role of the Planning Commission for this development application is to advise the City Council to approve or deny based on consistency with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code.

This item is considered quasi-judicial. The staff report and its findings are to be incorporated into and made a part of the minutes of this meeting.

In response to a question by Mr. Sprinkle, Mr. Moon stated the setbacks for garages are: front entry 30 feet; side-entry - 25 feet; and rear-entry - 22 feet.

Petitioner: The petitioner did not have a presentation.

Chairperson Greene opened the meeting for public hearing. With no one wishing to speak, Chairperson Greene closed the public hearing.

## Motion: Linda Laurendeau made a motion to recommend approval of the Bridlewood Subdivision - Plat subject to the Condition of Approval, findings of the staff report and final review by the City surveyor and city engineer prior to recording the plat for property owned by Laura R. Murphy and located at 359 West Lester Road. Motion seconded by Robert Ryan. Aye votes were cast by James Greene, Linda Laurendeau, Robert Ryan, and John Sprinkle (4-0). (Vote taken by poll.)

QUASI-JUDICIAL - PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN - CARRIAGE HILL PHASE II SUBDIVISION - Chairperson Greene stated this is a request to find the Preliminary Development Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code; compatible with the character of the surrounding area; and recommend approval of Carriage Hill Phase II Subdivision Preliminary Development Plan, subject to the findings of this staff report for the property owned by JTD Land at Rogers Rd, LLC, and located at 1455 West Lester Road.

Chairperson Greene asked if there were any affected parties in attendance that wished to speak. No one spoke.

Chairperson Greene asked if the Commission members had any ex parte communications to divulge regarding this item. No ex parte communications occurred.

Staff Presentation: Ms. Sanchez stated this is a request to find the Preliminary Development Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code; compatible with the character of the surrounding area; and recommend approval of Carriage Hill Phase II Subdivision - Preliminary Development Plan, subject to the findings of this staff report for the property owned by JTD Land at Rogers Rd, LLC, and located at 1455 West Lester Road. The engineer is Dewberry Engineers, Inc. c/o Christopher Allen, P.E. The existing use is vacant land and the proposed use is a single family residential subdivision with 15 lots. The minimum lot width is 75 feet and the minimum lot size is 9,000 square feet. The proposed density is $1.69 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ac}$. The future land use is Residential Low Suburban (Max $3.5 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) and the zoning is R-1 (Single Family Residential) District. The overall tract size is $10.31+/-$ acres and the developable area is $8.89+/-$ acres.

The Carriage Hill Phase II Subdivision - Preliminary Development Plan proposes the development of 15 single family residential lots. The minimum typical lot width is 75 feet with a minimum lot size of 9,000 square feet. Lots abutting the Oak Hill Reserve neighborhood are 11,996 sq. ft. to 21,046 sq. ft . The required minimum living area for a house in this subdivision is 1,500 square feet as set forth in Chapter 2 of the Land Development Code for single-family lots located within the R-1 zoning. The minimum setbacks applicable to this project are:

| Setback | Min. <br> Standard |
| :--- | :---: |
| Front* | $25^{\prime}$ |
| Side | $10^{\prime}$ |
| Rear | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Corner | $25^{\prime}$ |

*Front-entry garage must be setback 30 feet.

Ingress/egress access points for the development will be via full access onto Rogers Road as approved in Phase I of the Carriage Hill Subdivision.

Per Code, a transportation impact analysis (TIA) was not required for this development as it generates under 400 daily trips.

The stormwater management system includes an on-site retention area and located on the southern portion of the project site. The stormwater pond design meets the City's Land Development Code requirements.

For the entire Carriage Hill Subdivision (Phase I), the applicant provided 0.46 acre/approximately 20,038 square feet of recreation space that includes a playground with the Phase I Final Development Plan. Phase II contains a total of 5.58 acres of open space including drainage, existing wetland, buffer and pond.

Trumpet trees and live oaks line the single family lots. The landscape plan meets the requirements of the Land Development Code.

A school concurrency mitigation agreement has been executed and a copy of the letter from Orange County Public Schools (OCPS) has been supplied to Staff.

The County was notified at the time of the plat for this property through the DRC agenda distribution.
The Development Review Committee recommends approval of the Carriage Hill Phase II Subdivision Preliminary Development Plan, subject to the findings of this staff report.

Staff recommended the Planning Commission recommend approval of the Carriage Hill Phase II Subdivision Preliminary Development Plan subject to the findings of the staff report.

The role of the Planning Commission for this development application is to advise the City Council to approve or deny based on consistency with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code.

This item is considered quasi-judicial. The staff report and its findings are to be incorporated into and made a part of the minutes of this meeting.

Petitioner: The petitioner did not have a presentation.
Chairperson Greene opened the meeting for public hearing. With no one wishing to speak, Chairperson Greene closed the public hearing.

[^0]OLD BUSINESS: None.
NEW BUSINESS: In response to an inquiry by Brian Persad, 1833 Dunn Cove Court, Apopka, Chairperson Greene explained that the Planning Commission was not able to assist Mr. Persad in his pursuit to open a car hand-wash/detailing business in the Downtown Overlay District. He encouraged Mr. Persad to work with staff.

Mr. Hitt explained that staff has spoken to Mr. Persad several times. He explained that since the property, located at 545 South Orange Blossom Trail, is within the Downtown Overlay District, a handwash car wash is not permitted. Additionally, there are environmental issues with runoff. He said there is no on-site retention pond with filtration. He had suggested that Mr. Persad do the business on the interior of the building.

Mr. Sprinkle encouraged Mr. Persad to get the engineering done to see about keeping the runoff on the site.

ADJOURNMENT: The meeting was adjourned at 7:24 p.m.

James Greene, Chairperson

James K. Hitt, FRA-RA
Community Development Director

## CITY OF APOPKA PLANNING COMMISSION

MEETING OF: September 11, 2018<br>FROM: Community Development<br>EXHIBITS: Ordinance No. 2673

SUBJECT:
ORDINANCE NO. 2673 - AMENDING THE APOPKA CODE OF ORDINANCES, PART III, LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, ARTICLE V, SECTION 5.05.00 FLOODPLAINS; AND ADOPT TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FLORIDA BUILDING CODE.

REQUEST: RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE NO. 2673 - AMENDING THE APOPKA CODE OF ORDINANCES, PART III, LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, ARTICLE V, SECTION 5.05.00 - FLOODPLAINS; AND ADOPT TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FLORIDA BUILDING CODE.

## SUMMARY:

On January 5, 2018, the City of Apopka was formally notified by the State of Florida, Division of Emergency Management that the Florida Building Code 6th Edition, became effective on January 1, 2018. In order for the City to maintain compliance with the minimum requirements of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), revisions to the City's Floodplain Ordinance and associated Floodplain elements of the Land Development Code are required.

This year, the Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM) collaborated with the City Engineer/Floodplain Administrator to develop code revisions. This request includes all FDEM and FEMA required revisions.

Only one proposed revision is in excess of minimum FDEM and FEMA requirements. As a minimum, FEMA requires that the Finished Floor Elevation (FFE) of all new and substantially improved structures be constructed at least 1-foot above the FEMA 100-year Floodplain Elevation. This proposed code revision requires 2-feet above the FEMA 100-year Floodplain Elevation. The FFE increase above the minimum provides both additional protection from flooding, and additional FEMA Community Rating System (CRS) credits. CRS credits are used by FEMA to calculate the amount of Floodplain Insurance Policy discount policy holders receive. FEMA's 2017 audit of the City's CRS program scored the City in Class 8. CRS Class 8 Communities enjoy a $10 \%$ discount on flood insurance policies for structures within the 100-year Special Flood Hazard Area and 5\% discount on policies for structures outside of the 100-year Special Flood Hazard Area.
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PUBLIC HEARING SCHEDULE:
Planning Commission - September 11, 2018
City Council - September 19, 2018, 7:00 p.m. - First Reading
City Council - October 3, 1:30 p.m. - Second Reading

## DULY ADVERTISED:

August 31, 2018 - Public Notice (Apopka Chief)

## RECOMMENDATION ACTION:

Recommend approval of the amendment to the Apopka Code of Ordinances, Part III, Land Development Code, Article V, Section 5.05.00 - Floodplains; and recommend adoption of the Technical Amendments to the Florida Building Code.

ORDINANCE NO. 2673


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE BY THE APOPKA CITY COUNCIL AMENDING THE APOPKA CODE OF ORDINANCES TO REPEAL LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE SECTION 5.05.00 FLOODPLAINS; TO ADOPT A NEW SECTION 5.05.00; TO AMEND LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE SECTION 1.08.13 DEFINITIONS; TO ADOPT FLOOD HAZARD MAPS, TO DESIGNATE A FLOODPLAIN ADMINISTRATOR, TO ADOPT PROCEDURES AND CRITERIA FOR DEVELOPMENT IN FLOOD HAZARD AREAS, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES; TO ADOPT TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FLORIDA BUILDING CODE; PROVIDING FOR APPLICABILITY; SEVERABILITY; AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the Legislature of the State of Florida has, in Chapter 166, Florida Statutes, conferred upon local governments the authority to adopt regulations designed to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare of its citizenry; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Emergency Management Agency has identified special flood hazard areas within the boundaries of the City of Apopka and such areas may be subject to periodic inundation which may result in loss of life and property, health and safety hazards, disruption of commerce and governmental services, extraordinary public expenditures for flood protection and relief, and impairment of the tax base, all of which adversely affect the public health, safety and general welfare, and

WHEREAS, the City of Apopka was accepted for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program on September 29, 1978 and the City Council desires to continue to meet the requirements of Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 59 and 60, necessary for such participation; and

WHEREAS, Chapter 553, Florida Statutes, was adopted by the Florida Legislature to provide a mechanism for the uniform adoption, updating, amendment, interpretation and enforcement of a state building code, called the Florida Building Code; and

WHEREAS, Chapter 553, Florida Statutes, allows for local technical amendments to the Florida Building Code that provide for more stringent requirements than those specified in the Code and allows adoption of local administrative and local technical amendments to the Florida Building Code to implement the National Flood Insurance Program and incentives;

WHEREAS, the City Council previously adopted a requirement to increase the minimum elevation requirement, but that requirement is now a minimum requirement of the Florida Building Code;

WHEREAS, the City Council previously adopted a requirement to limit partitioning of enclosed areas below elevated dwellings and to limit access to enclosed areas for buildings and structures in flood hazard areas prior to July 1, 2010 and, pursuant to section 553.73(5), F.S., is formatting that requirement to coordinate with the Florida Building Code;

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that it is in the public interest to adopt the proposed local technical amendments to the Florida Building Code and the proposed amendments are not more stringent than necessary to address the need identified, do not discriminate against materials, products or construction techniques of demonstrated capabilities, are in compliance with section 553.73(4), Florida Statutes.
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WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that it is in the public interest to adopt the proposed floodplain management regulations that are coordinated with the Florida Building Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Apopka, Florida, as follows:

LEGISLATIVE UNDERSCORING: Underlined words constitute additions to the City of Apopka Code of Ordinances or Land Development Code, strikethrough-constitutes deletions from the original, and asterisks $\left({ }^{* * *}\right)$ indicate an omission from the existing text which is intended to remain unchanged. No legislative underscoring is used where a section is repealed or replaced in its entirety.

SECTION 1. RECITALS. The foregoing whereas clauses are incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof.

SECTION 2. FLOODPLAINS SECTION. That Section 5.05 .00 of the Land Development Code, City of Apopka, Florida, is hereby repealed in its entirety and replaced to be read as follows:

### 5.05.00 FLOODPLAINS

SECTION 5.05.01 GENERAL
A. Title. These regulations under Article V, Chapter 5 of the Land Development Code shall be known as the Floodplain Management Ordinance of the City of Apopka, hereinafter referred to as "this Chapter."
B. Scope. The provisions of this Chapter shall apply to all development that is wholly within or partially within any flood hazard area, including but not limited to the subdivision of land; filling, grading, and other site improvements and utility installations; construction, alteration, remodeling, enlargement, improvement, replacement, repair, relocation or demolition of buildings, structures, and facilities that are exempt from the Florida Building Code; placement, installation, or replacement of manufactured homes and manufactured buildings; installation or replacement of tanks; placement of recreational vehicles; installation of swimming pools; and any other development.
C. Intent. The purposes of this Chapter and the flood load and flood resistant construction requirements of the Florida Building Code are to establish minimum requirements to safeguard the public health, safety, and general welfare and to minimize public and private losses due to flooding through regulation of development in flood hazard areas to:
(1) Minimize unnecessary disruption of commerce, access and public service during times of flooding;
(2) Require the use of appropriate construction practices in order to prevent or minimize future flood damage;
(3) Manage filling, grading, dredging, mining, paving, excavation, drilling operations, storage of equipment or materials, and other development which may increase flood damage or erosion potential;
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(4) Manage the alteration of flood hazard areas, watercourses, and shorelines to minimize the impact of development on the natural and beneficial functions of the floodplain;
(5) Minimize damage to public and private facilities and utilities;
(6) Help maintain a stable tax base by providing for the sound use and development of flood hazard areas;
(7) Minimize the need for future expenditure of public funds for flood control projects and response to and recovery from flood events; and
(8) Meet the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program for community participation as set forth in Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 59.22.
D. Coordination with the Florida Building Code. This ordinance is intended to be administered and enforced in conjunction with the Florida Building Code. Where cited, ASCE 24 refers to the edition of the standard that is referenced by the Florida Building Code.
E. Warning. The degree of flood protection required by this ordinance and the Florida Building Code, as amended by this community, is considered the minimum reasonable for regulatory purposes and is based on scientific and engineering considerations. Larger floods can and will occur. Flood heights may be increased by man-made or natural causes. This ordinance does not imply that land outside of mapped special flood hazard areas, or that uses permitted within such flood hazard areas, will be free from flooding or flood damage. The flood hazard areas and base flood elevations contained in the Flood Insurance Study and shown on Flood Insurance Rate Maps and the requirements of Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 59 and 60 may be revised by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, requiring this community to revise these regulations to remain eligible for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program. No guaranty of vested use, existing use, or future use is implied or expressed by compliance with this ordinance.
F. Disclaimer of Liability. This ordinance shall not create liability on the part of the City Council of the City of Apopka or by any officer or employee thereof for any flood damage that results from reliance on this ordinance or any administrative decision lawfully made thereunder.

## SECTION 5.05.02 APPLICABILITY

A. General. Where there is a conflict between a general requirement and a specific requirement, the specific requirement shall be applicable.
B. Areas to which this Chapter applies. This Chapter shall apply to all flood hazard areas within the City of Apopka, as established in Section 5.05.02(C) of this Chapter.
C. Basis for establishing flood hazard areas. The Flood Insurance Study for Orange County, Florida and Incorporated Areas dated June 20, 2018, and all subsequent amendments and revisions, and the accompanying Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM), and all subsequent amendments and revisions to such maps, are adopted by reference as a part of this Chapter and shall serve as the minimum basis for establishing flood hazard areas. Studies and maps that establish flood hazard areas are on file at the Community Development Department, 120 East Main Street, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor, Apopka.
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D. Submission of additional data to establish flood hazard areas. To establish flood hazard areas and base flood elevations, pursuant to Section 5.05 .05 of this Chapter the Floodplain Administrator may require submission of additional data. Where field surveyed topography prepared by a Florida licensed professional surveyor or digital topography accepted by the community indicates that ground elevations:
(1) Are below the closest applicable base flood elevation, even in areas not delineated as a special flood hazard area on a FIRM, the area shall be considered as flood hazard area and subject to the requirements of this Chapter and, as applicable, the requirements of the Florida Building Code.
(2) Are above the closest applicable base flood elevation, the area shall be regulated as special flood hazard area unless the applicant obtains a Letter of Map Change that removes the area from the special flood hazard area.
E. Other laws. The provisions of this Chapter shall not be deemed to nullify any provisions of local, state or federal law.
F. Abrogation and greater restrictions. This Chapter supersedes any ordinance in effect for management of development in flood hazard areas. However, it is not intended to repeal or abrogate any existing ordinances including but not limited to land development regulations, zoning ordinances, stormwater management regulations, or the Florida Building Code. In the event of a conflict between this Chapter and any other ordinance, the more restrictive shall govern. This Chapter shall not impair any deed restriction, covenant or easement, but any land that is subject to such interests shall also be governed by this Chapter.
G. Interpretation. In the interpretation and application of this Chapter, all provisions shall be:
(1) Considered as minimum requirements;
(2) Liberally construed in favor of the governing body; and
(3) Deemed neither to limit nor repeal any other powers granted under state statutes.

## SECTION 5.05.03 DUTIES AND POWERS OF THE FLOODPLAIN ADMINISTRATOR

A. Designation. The City Engineer is designated as the Floodplain Administrator. The Floodplain Administrator may delegate performance of certain duties to other employees.
B. General. The Floodplain Administrator is authorized and directed to administer and enforce the provisions of this Chapter. The Floodplain Administrator shall have the authority to render interpretations of this Chapter consistent with the intent and purpose of this Chapter and may establish policies and procedures in order to clarify the application of its provisions. Such interpretations, policies, and procedures shall not have the effect of waiving requirements specifically provided in this Chapter without the granting of a variance pursuant to Section 5.05.07 of this Chapter.
C. Applications and permits. The Floodplain Administrator, in coordination with other pertinent offices of the community, shall:
(1) Review applications and plans to determine whether proposed new development will be located in flood hazard areas;
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(2) Review applications for modification of any existing development in flood hazard areas for compliance with the requirements of this Chapter;
(3) Interpret flood hazard area boundaries where such interpretation is necessary to determine the exact location of boundaries; a person contesting the determination shall have the opportunity to appeal the interpretation;
(4) Provide available flood elevation and flood hazard information;
(5) Determine whether additional flood hazard data shall be obtained from other sources or shall be developed by an applicant;
(6) Review applications to determine whether proposed development will be reasonably safe from flooding;
(7) Issue floodplain development permits or approvals for development other than buildings and structures that are subject to the Florida Building Code, including buildings, structures and facilities exempt from the Florida Building Code, when compliance with this Chapter is demonstrated, or disapprove the same in the event of noncompliance; and
(8) Coordinate with and provide comments to the Building Official to assure that applications, plan reviews, and inspections for buildings and structures in flood hazard areas comply with the applicable provisions of this Chapter.
D. Substantial improvement and substantial damage determinations. For applications for building permits to improve buildings and structures, including alterations, movement, enlargement, replacement, repair, change of occupancy, additions, rehabilitations, renovations, substantial improvements, repairs of substantial damage, and any other improvement of or work on such buildings and structures, the Floodplain Administrator, in coordination with the Building Official, shall:
(1) Estimate the market value, or require the applicant to obtain an appraisal of the market value prepared by a qualified independent appraiser, of the building or structure before the start of construction of the proposed work; in the case of repair, the market value of the building or structure shall be the market value before the damage occurred and before any repairs are made;
(2) Compare the cost to perform the improvement, the cost to repair a damaged building to its pre-damaged condition, or the combined costs of improvements and repairs, if applicable, to the market value of the building or structure;
(3) Determine and document whether the proposed work constitutes substantial improvement or repair of substantial damage; and
(4) Notify the applicant if it is determined that the work constitutes substantial improvement or repair of substantial damage and that compliance with the flood resistant construction requirements of the Florida Building Code and this Chapter is required.
E. Modifications of the strict application of the requirements of the Florida Building Code. The Floodplain Administrator shall review requests submitted to the Building Official that seek approval to modify the strict application of the flood load and flood resistant construction requirements of the Florida Building Code to determine whether such requests require the granting of a variance pursuant to Section 5.05.07 of this Chapter.

ORDINANCE NO. 2673
PAGE 6
F. Notices and orders. The Floodplain Administrator shall coordinate with appropriate local agencies for the issuance of all necessary notices or orders to ensure compliance with this Chapter.
G. Inspections. The Floodplain Administrator shall make the required inspections as specified in Section 5.05.06 of this Chapter for development that is not subject to the Florida Building Code, including buildings, structures and facilities exempt from the Florida Building Code. The Floodplain Administrator shall inspect flood hazard areas to determine if development is undertaken without issuance of a permit.
H. Other duties of the Floodplain Administrator. The Floodplain Administrator shall have other duties, including but not limited to:
(1) Establish, in coordination with the Building Official, procedures for administering and documenting determinations of substantial improvement and substantial damage made pursuant to Section 5.05.03(D) of this Chapter;
(2) Require that applicants proposing alteration of a watercourse notify adjacent communities and the Florida Division of Emergency Management, State Floodplain Management Office, and submit copies of such notifications to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA);
(3) Require applicants who submit hydrologic and hydraulic engineering analyses to support permit applications to submit to FEMA the data and information necessary to maintain the Flood Insurance Rate Maps if the analyses propose to change base flood elevations, flood hazard area boundaries, or floodway designations; such submissions shall be made within 6 months of such data becoming available;
(4) Review required design certifications and documentation of elevations specified by this Chapter and the Florida Building Code to determine that such certifications and documentations are complete; and
(5) Notify the Federal Emergency Management Agency when the corporate boundaries of the City of Apopka are modified.
I. Floodplain management records. Regardless of any limitation on the period required for retention of public records, the Floodplain Administrator shall maintain and permanently keep and make available for public inspection all records that are necessary for the administration of this Chapter and the flood resistant construction requirements of the Florida Building Code, including Flood Insurance Rate Maps; Letters of Map Change; records of issuance of permits and denial of permits; determinations of whether proposed work constitutes substantial improvement or repair of substantial damage; required design certifications and documentation of elevations specified by the Florida Building Code and this Chapter; notifications to adjacent communities, FEMA, and the state related to alterations of watercourses; assurances that the flood carrying capacity of altered watercourses will be maintained; documentation related to appeals and variances, including justification for issuance or denial; and records of enforcement actions taken pursuant to this Chapter and the flood resistant construction requirements of the Florida Building Code. These records shall be available for public inspection at Community Development Department, 120 East Main Street, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor, Apopka, Florida 32703.

## SECTION 5.05.04 PERMITS

A. Permits required. Any owner or owner's authorized agent (hereinafter "applicant") who intends to undertake any development activity within the scope of this Chapter, including buildings, structures and facilities exempt from the Florida Building Code, which is wholly within or partially within any flood hazard area shall first make application to the Floodplain Administrator, and the Building Official if applicable, and shall obtain the required permit(s) and approval(s). No such permit or approval shall be issued until compliance with the requirements of this Chapter and all other applicable codes and regulations has been satisfied.
B. Floodplain development permits or approvals. Floodplain development permits or approvals shall be issued pursuant to this Chapter for any development activities not subject to the requirements of the Florida Building Code, including buildings, structures and facilities exempt from the Florida Building Code. Depending on the nature and extent of proposed development that includes a building or structure, the Floodplain Administrator may determine that a floodplain development permit or approval is required in addition to a building permit.
C. Buildings, structures and facilities exempt from the Florida Building Code. Pursuant to the requirements of federal regulation for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (44 C.F.R. Sections 59 and 60), floodplain development permits or approvals shall be required for the following buildings, structures and facilities that are exempt from the Florida Building Code and any further exemptions provided by law, which are subject to the requirements of this Chapter:
(1) Railroads and ancillary facilities associated with the railroad.
(2) Nonresidential farm buildings on farms, as provided in section 604.50, F.S.
(3) Temporary buildings or sheds used exclusively for construction purposes.
(4) Mobile or modular structures used as temporary offices.
(5) Those structures or facilities of electric utilities, as defined in section 366.02, F.S., which are directly involved in the generation, transmission, or distribution of electricity.
(6) Chickees constructed by the Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida or the Seminole Tribe of Florida. As used in this paragraph, the term "chickee" means an open-sided wooden hut that has a thatched roof of palm or palmetto or other traditional materials, and that does not incorporate any electrical, plumbing, or other non-wood features.
(7) Family mausoleums not exceeding 250 square feet in area which are prefabricated and assembled on site or preassembled and delivered on site and have walls, roofs, and a floor constructed of granite, marble, or reinforced concrete.
(8) Temporary housing provided by the Department of Corrections to any prisoner in the state correctional system.
(9) Structures identified in section $553.73(10)(\mathrm{k})$, F.S., are not exempt from the Florida Building Code if such structures are located in flood hazard areas established on Flood Insurance Rate Maps
D. Application for a permit or approval. To obtain a floodplain development permit or approval the applicant shall first file an application in writing on a form furnished by the community. The information provided shall:
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(1) Identify and describe the development to be covered by the permit or approval.
(2) Describe the land on which the proposed development is to be conducted by legal description, street address or similar description that will readily identify and definitively locate the site.
(3) Indicate the use and occupancy for which the proposed development is intended.
(4) Be accompanied by a site plan or construction documents as specified in Section 5.05.05 of this Chapter.
(5) State the valuation of the proposed work.
(6) Be signed by the applicant or the applicant's authorized agent.
(7) Give such other data and information as required by the Floodplain Administrator.
E. Validity of permit or approval. The issuance of a floodplain development permit or approval pursuant to this Chapter shall not be construed to be a permit for, or approval of, any violation of this Chapter, the Florida Building Codes, or any other ordinance of this community. The issuance of permits based on submitted applications, construction documents, and information shall not prevent the Floodplain Administrator from requiring the correction of errors and omissions.
F. Expiration. A floodplain development permit or approval shall become invalid unless the work authorized by such permit is commenced within 180 days after its issuance, or if the work authorized is suspended or abandoned for a period of 180 days after the work commences. Extensions for periods of not more than 180 days each shall be requested in writing and justifiable cause shall be demonstrated.
G. Suspension or revocation. The Floodplain Administrator is authorized to suspend or revoke a floodplain development permit or approval if the permit was issued in error, on the basis of incorrect, inaccurate or incomplete information, or in violation of this Chapter or any other ordinance, regulation or requirement of this community.
H. Other permits required. Floodplain development permits and building permits shall include a condition that all other applicable state or federal permits be obtained before commencement of the permitted development, including but not limited to the following:
(1) The St. Johns River Water Management District; section 373.036, F.S.
(2) Florida Department of Health for onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems; section 381.0065, F.S. and Chapter 64E-6, F.A.C.
(3) Florida Department of Environmental Protection for activities subject to the Joint Coastal Permit; section 161.055, F.S.
(4) Florida Department of Environmental Protection for activities that affect wetlands and alter surface water flows, in conjunction with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.
(5) Federal permits and approvals.

## SECTION 5.05.05 SITE PLANS AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

A. Information for development in flood hazard areas. The site plan or construction documents for any development subject to the requirements of this Chapter shall be drawn to scale and shall include, as applicable to the proposed development:
(1) Delineation of flood hazard areas, floodway boundaries and flood zone(s), base flood elevation(s), and ground elevations if necessary for review of the proposed development.
(2) Where base flood elevations or floodway data are not included on the FIRM or in the Flood Insurance Study, they shall be established in accordance with Section 5.05.05(B)(2) or (3) of this Chapter.
(3) Where the parcel on which the proposed development will take place will have more than 50 lots or is larger than 5 acres and the base flood elevations are not included on the FIRM or in the Flood Insurance Study, such elevations shall be established in accordance with Section 5.05.05(B)(1) of this Chapter.
(4) Location of the proposed activity and proposed structures, and locations of existing buildings and structures.
(5) Location, extent, amount, and proposed final grades of any filling, grading, or excavation.
(6) Where the placement of fill is proposed, the amount, type, and source of fill material; compaction specifications; a description of the intended purpose of the fill areas; and evidence that the proposed fill areas are the minimum necessary to achieve the intended purpose.
(7) Existing and proposed alignment of any proposed alteration of a watercourse.

The Floodplain Administrator is authorized to waive the submission of site plans, construction documents, and other data that are required by this Chapter but that are not required to be prepared by a registered design professional if it is found that the nature of the proposed development is such that the review of such submissions is not necessary to ascertain compliance with this Chapter.
B. Information in flood hazard areas without base flood elevations (approximate Zone A). Where flood hazard areas are delineated on the FIRM and base flood elevation data have not been provided, the Floodplain Administrator shall:
(1) Require the applicant to include base flood elevation data prepared in accordance with currently accepted engineering practices.
(2) Obtain, review, and provide to applicants base flood elevation and floodway data available from a federal or state agency or other source or require the applicant to obtain and use base flood elevation and floodway data available from a federal or state agency or other source.
(3) Where base flood elevation and floodway data are not available from another source, where the available data are deemed by the Floodplain Administrator to not reasonably reflect flooding conditions, or where the available data are known to be scientifically or technically incorrect or otherwise inadequate:
(a) Require the applicant to include base flood elevation data prepared in accordance with currently accepted engineering practices; or
(b) Specify that the base flood elevation is two (2) feet above the highest adjacent grade at the location of the development, provided there is no evidence indicating flood depths have been or may be greater than two (2) feet.
(4) Where the base flood elevation data are to be used to support a Letter of Map Change from FEMA, advise the applicant that the analyses shall be prepared by a Florida licensed engineer in a format required by FEMA, and that it shall be the responsibility of the applicant to satisfy the submittal requirements and pay the processing fees.
C. Additional analyses and certifications. As applicable to the location and nature of the proposed development activity, and in addition to the requirements of this section, the applicant shall have the following analyses signed and sealed by a Florida licensed engineer for submission with the site plan and construction documents:
(1) For development activities proposed to be located in a regulatory floodway, a floodway encroachment analysis that demonstrates that the encroachment of the proposed development will not cause any increase in base flood elevations; where the applicant proposes to undertake development activities that do increase base flood elevations, the applicant shall submit such analysis to FEMA as specified in Section 5.05.05(D) of this Chapter and shall submit the Conditional Letter of Map Revision, if issued by FEMA, with the site plan and construction documents.
(2) For development activities proposed to be located in a riverine flood hazard area for which base flood elevations are included in the Flood Insurance Study or on the FIRM and floodways have not been designated, hydrologic and hydraulic analyses that demonstrate that the cumulative effect of the proposed development, when combined with all other existing and anticipated flood hazard area encroachments, will not increase the base flood elevation more than one (1) foot at any point within the community. This requirement does not apply in isolated flood hazard areas not connected to a riverine flood hazard area or in flood hazard areas identified as Zone AO or Zone AH.
(3) For alteration of a watercourse, an engineering analysis prepared in accordance with standard engineering practices which demonstrates that the flood-carrying capacity of the altered or relocated portion of the watercourse will not be decreased, and certification that the altered watercourse shall be maintained in a manner which preserves the channel's flood-carrying capacity; the applicant shall submit the analysis to FEMA as specified in Section 5.05.05(D) of this Chapter.
D. Submission of additional data. When additional hydrologic, hydraulic or other engineering data, studies, and additional analyses are submitted to support an application, the applicant has the right to seek a Letter of Map Change from FEMA to change the base flood elevations, change floodway boundaries, or change boundaries of flood hazard areas shown on FIRMs, and to submit such data to FEMA for such purposes. The analyses shall be prepared by a Florida licensed engineer in a format required by FEMA. Submittal requirements and processing fees shall be the responsibility of the applicant.

## SECTION 5.05.06 INSPECTIONS

A. General. Development for which a floodplain development permit or approval is required shall be subject to inspection.
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B. Development other than buildings and structures. The Floodplain Administrator shall inspect all development to determine compliance with the requirements of this Chapter and the conditions of issued floodplain development permits or approvals.
C. Buildings, structures and facilities exempt from the Florida Building Code. The Floodplain Administrator shall inspect buildings, structures and facilities exempt from the Florida Building Code to determine compliance with the requirements of this Chapter and the conditions of issued floodplain development permits or approvals.
D. Buildings, structures and facilities exempt from the Florida Building Code, lowest floor inspection. Upon placement of the lowest floor, including basement, and prior to further vertical construction, the owner of a building, structure or facility exempt from the Florida Building Code, or the owner's authorized agent, shall submit to the Floodplain Administrator:
(1) If a design flood elevation was used to determine the required elevation of the lowest floor, the certification of elevation of the lowest floor prepared and sealed by a Florida licensed professional surveyor; or
(2) If the elevation used to determine the required elevation of the lowest floor was determined in accordance with Section 5.05.05(B)(3)(b) of this Chapter, the documentation of height of the lowest floor above highest adjacent grade, prepared by the owner or the owner's authorized agent.
E. Buildings, structures and facilities exempt from the Florida Building Code, final inspection. As part of the final inspection, the owner or owner's authorized agent shall submit to the Floodplain Administrator a final certification of elevation of the lowest floor or final documentation of the height of the lowest floor above the highest adjacent grade; such certifications and documentations shall be prepared as specified in Section 5.05.06(D) of this Chapter.
F. Manufactured homes. The Floodplain Administrator shall inspect manufactured homes that are installed or replaced in flood hazard areas to determine compliance with the requirements of this Chapter and the conditions of the issued permit. Upon placement of a manufactured home, certification of the elevation of the lowest floor shall be submitted to the Floodplain Administrator.

## SECTION 5.05.07 VARIANCES AND APPEALS

A. General. The City Council shall hear and decide on requests for appeals and requests for variances from the strict application of this Chapter. Pursuant to section 553.73(5), F.S., the City Council shall hear and decide on requests for appeals and requests for variances from the strict application of the flood resistant construction requirements of the Florida Building Code.
B. Appeals. The City Council shall hear and decide appeals when it is alleged there is an error in any requirement, decision, or determination made by the Floodplain Administrator in the administration and enforcement of this Chapter. Any person aggrieved by the decision may appeal such decision to the Circuit Court, as provided by Florida Statutes.
C. Limitations on authority to grant variances. The City Council shall base its decisions on variances on technical justifications submitted by applicants, the considerations for issuance in Section 5.05.07(G) of this Chapter, the conditions of issuance set forth in Section 5.05.07(H) of
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this Chapter, and the comments and recommendations of the Floodplain Administrator and the Building Official. The City Council has the right to attach such conditions as it deems necessary to further the purposes and objectives of this Chapter.
D. Restrictions in floodways. A variance shall not be issued for any proposed development in a floodway if any increase in base flood elevations would result, as evidenced by the applicable analyses and certifications required in Section 5.05.05(C) of this Chapter.
E. Historic buildings. A variance is authorized to be issued for the repair, improvement, or rehabilitation of a historic building that is determined eligible for the exception to the flood resistant construction requirements of the Florida Building Code, Existing Building, Chapter 12 Historic Buildings, upon a determination that the proposed repair, improvement, or rehabilitation will not preclude the building's continued designation as a historic building and the variance is the minimum necessary to preserve the historic character and design of the building. If the proposed work precludes the building's continued designation as a historic building, a variance shall not be granted and the building and any repair, improvement, and rehabilitation shall be subject to the requirements of the Florida Building Code.
F. Functionally dependent uses. A variance is authorized to be issued for the construction or substantial improvement necessary for the conduct of a functionally dependent use, as defined in this Chapter, provided the variance meets the requirements of Section 5.05.07(D), is the minimum necessary considering the flood hazard, and all due consideration has been given to use of methods and materials that minimize flood damage during occurrence of the base flood.
G. Considerations for issuance of variances. In reviewing requests for variances, the City Council shall consider all technical evaluations, all relevant factors, all other applicable provisions of the Florida Building Code, this Chapter, and the following:
(1) The danger that materials and debris may be swept onto other lands resulting in further injury or damage;
(2) The danger to life and property due to flooding or erosion damage;
(3) The susceptibility of the proposed development, including contents, to flood damage and the effect of such damage on current and future owners;
(4) The importance of the services provided by the proposed development to the community;
(5) The availability of alternate locations for the proposed development that are subject to lower risk of flooding or erosion;
(6) The compatibility of the proposed development with existing and anticipated development;
(7) The relationship of the proposed development to the comprehensive plan and floodplain management program for the area;
(8) The safety of access to the property in times of flooding for ordinary and emergency vehicles;
(9) The expected heights, velocity, duration, rate of rise and debris and sediment transport of the floodwaters and the effects of wave action, if applicable, expected at the site; and
(10) The costs of providing governmental services during and after flood conditions including maintenance and repair of public utilities and facilities such as sewer, gas, electrical and water systems, streets and bridges.
H. Conditions for issuance of variances. Variances shall be issued only upon:
(1) Submission by the applicant, of a showing of good and sufficient cause that the unique characteristics of the size, configuration, or topography of the site limit compliance with any provision of this Chapter or the required elevation standards;
(2) Determination by the City Council that:
(a) Failure to grant the variance would result in exceptional hardship due to the physical characteristics of the land that render the lot undevelopable; increased costs to satisfy the requirements or inconvenience do not constitute hardship;
(b) The granting of a variance will not result in increased flood heights, additional threats to public safety, extraordinary public expense, nor create nuisances, cause fraud on or victimization of the public or conflict with existing local laws and ordinances; and
(c) The variance is the minimum necessary, considering the flood hazard, to afford relief;
(3) Receipt of a signed statement by the applicant that the variance, if granted, shall be recorded in the Office of the Clerk of the Court in such a manner that it appears in the chain of title of the affected parcel of land; and
(4) If the request is for a variance to allow construction of the lowest floor of a new building, or substantial improvement of a building, below the required elevation, a copy in the record of a written notice from the Floodplain Administrator to the applicant for the variance, specifying the difference between the base flood elevation and the proposed elevation of the lowest floor, stating that the cost of federal flood insurance will be commensurate with the increased risk resulting from the reduced floor elevation (up to amounts as high as $\$ 25$ for $\$ 100$ of insurance coverage), and stating that construction below the base flood elevation increases risks to life and property.

## SECTION 5.05.08 VIOLATIONS

A. Violations. Any development that is not within the scope of the Florida Building Code but that is regulated by this Chapter that is performed without an issued permit, that is in conflict with an issued permit, or that does not fully comply with this Chapter, shall be deemed a violation of this Chapter. A building or structure without the documentation of elevation of the lowest floor, other required design certifications, or other evidence of compliance required by this Chapter or the Florida Building Code is presumed to be a violation until such time as that documentation is provided.
B. Authority. For development that is not within the scope of the Florida Building Code but that is regulated by this Chapter and that is determined to be a violation, the Floodplain Administrator is authorized to serve notices of violation or stop work orders to owners of the property involved, to the owner's agent, or to the person or persons performing the work.

## ORDINANCE NO. 2673

## PAGE 14

C. Unlawful continuance. Any person who shall continue any work after having been served with a notice of violation or a stop work order, except such work as that person is directed to perform to remove or remedy a violation or unsafe condition, shall be subject to penalties as prescribed by law.

## SECTION 5.05.09 BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES

A. Design and construction of buildings, structures and facilities exempt from the Florida Building Code. Pursuant to Section 5.05.04(C) of this Chapter, buildings, structures, and facilities that are exempt from the Florida Building Code, including substantial improvement or repair of substantial damage of such buildings, structures and facilities, shall be designed and constructed in accordance with the flood load and flood resistant construction requirements of ASCE 24. Structures exempt from the Florida Building Code that are not walled and roofed buildings shall comply with the requirements of Section 5.05.15 of this Chapter.

## SECTION 5.05.10 SUBDIVISIONS

A. Minimum requirements. Subdivision proposals, including proposals for manufactured home parks and subdivisions, shall be reviewed to determine that:
(1) Such proposals are consistent with the need to minimize flood damage and will be reasonably safe from flooding;
(2) All public utilities and facilities such as sewer, gas, electric, communications, and water systems are located and constructed to minimize or eliminate flood damage; and
(3) Adequate drainage is provided to reduce exposure to flood hazards; in Zones AH and AO, adequate drainage paths shall be provided to guide floodwaters around and away from proposed structures.
B. Subdivision plats. Where any portion of proposed subdivisions, including manufactured home parks and subdivisions, lies within a flood hazard area, the following shall be required:
(1) Delineation of flood hazard areas, floodway boundaries and flood zones, and design flood elevations, as appropriate, shall be shown on preliminary plats;
(2) Where the subdivision has more than 50 lots or is larger than 5 acres and base flood elevations are not included on the FIRM, the base flood elevations determined in accordance with Section 5.05.05(B)(1) of this Chapter; and
(3) Compliance with the site improvement and utilities requirements of Section 5.05 .11 of this Chapter.
(4) Each lot must include a site suitable for constructing a structure in conformity with the standards of these flood damage prevention regulations.

## SECTION 5.05.11 SITE IMPROVEMENTS, UTILITIES AND LIMITATIONS

A. Minimum requirements. All proposed new development shall be reviewed to determine that:
(1) Such proposals are consistent with the need to minimize flood damage and will be reasonably safe from flooding;
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(2) All public utilities and facilities such as sewer, gas, electric, communications, and water systems are located and constructed to minimize or eliminate flood damage; and
(3) Adequate drainage is provided to reduce exposure to flood hazards; in Zones AH and AO , adequate drainage paths shall be provided to guide floodwaters around and away from proposed structures.
B. Sanitary sewage facilities. All new and replacement sanitary sewage facilities, private sewage treatment plants (including all pumping stations and collector systems), and on-site waste disposal systems shall be designed in accordance with the standards for onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems in Chapter 64E-6, F.A.C. and ASCE 24 Chapter 7 to minimize or eliminate infiltration of floodwaters into the facilities and discharge from the facilities into flood waters, and impairment of the facilities and systems.
C. Water supply facilities. All new and replacement water supply facilities shall be designed in accordance with the water well construction standards in Chapter 62-532.500, F.A.C. and ASCE 24 Chapter 7 to minimize or eliminate infiltration of floodwaters into the systems.
D. Limitations on sites in regulatory floodways. No development, including but not limited to site improvements, and land disturbing activity involving fill or regrading, shall be authorized in the regulatory floodway unless the floodway encroachment analysis required in Section 5.05.05(C)(1) of this Chapter demonstrates that the proposed development or land disturbing activity will not result in any increase in the base flood elevation.
E. Limitations on placement of fill. Subject to the limitations of this Chapter, fill shall be designed to be stable under conditions of flooding including rapid rise and rapid drawdown of floodwaters, prolonged inundation, and protection against flood-related erosion and scour. In addition to these requirements, if intended to support buildings and structures (Zone A only), fill shall comply with the requirements of the Florida Building Code.

## SECTION 5.05.12 MANUFACTURED HOMES

A General. All manufactured homes installed in flood hazard areas shall be installed by an installer that is licensed pursuant to section 320.8249 , F.S., and shall comply with the requirements of Chapter 15C-1, F.A.C. and the requirements of this Chapter.
B. Foundations. All new manufactured homes and replacement manufactured homes installed in flood hazard areas shall be installed on permanent, reinforced foundations that are designed in accordance with the foundation requirements of the Florida Building Code Residential Section R322.2 and this Chapter. Foundations for manufactured homes subject to Section 5.05.12(F) of this Chapter are permitted to be reinforced piers or other foundation elements of at least equivalent strength.
C. Anchoring. All new manufactured homes and replacement manufactured homes shall be installed using methods and practices which minimize flood damage and shall be securely anchored to an adequately anchored foundation system to resist flotation, collapse or lateral movement. Methods of anchoring include, but are not limited to, use of over-the-top or frame ties to ground anchors. This anchoring requirement is in addition to applicable state and local anchoring requirements for wind resistance.
D. Elevation. Manufactured homes that are placed, replaced, or substantially improved shall comply with Section 5.05.12(E) or 5.05.12(F) of this Chapter, as applicable.
E. General elevation requirement. Unless subject to the requirements of Section 5.05.12(F) of this Chapter, all manufactured homes that are placed, replaced, or substantially improved on sites located: (a) outside of a manufactured home park or subdivision; (b) in a new manufactured home park or subdivision; (c) in an expansion to an existing manufactured home park or subdivision; or (d) in an existing manufactured home park or subdivision upon which a manufactured home has incurred "substantial damage" as the result of a flood, shall be elevated such that the bottom of the frame is at or above the elevation required, as applicable to the flood hazard area, in the Florida Building Code, Residential Section R322.2 (Zone A).
F. Elevation requirement for certain existing manufactured home parks and subdivisions. Manufactured homes that are not subject to Section 5.05 .12 (E) of this Chapter, including manufactured homes that are placed, replaced, or substantially improved on sites located in an existing manufactured home park or subdivision, unless on a site where substantial damage as result of flooding has occurred, shall be elevated such that either the:
(1) Bottom of the frame of the manufactured home is at or above the elevation required in the Florida Building Code, Residential Section R322.2 (Zone A); or
(2) Bottom of the frame is supported by reinforced piers or other foundation elements of at least equivalent strength that are not less than 36 inches in height above grade.
G. Enclosures. Enclosed areas below elevated manufactured homes shall comply with the requirements of the Florida Building Code, Residential Section R322.2 for such enclosed areas.
H. Utility equipment. Utility equipment that serves manufactured homes, including electric, heating, ventilation, plumbing, and air conditioning equipment and other service facilities, shall comply with the requirements of the Florida Building Code, Residential Section R322.

## SECTION 5.05.13 RECREATIONAL VEHICLES AND PARK TRAILERS

A. Temporary placement. Recreational vehicles and park trailers placed temporarily in flood hazard areas shall:
(1) Be on the site for fewer than 180 consecutive days; or
(2) Be fully licensed and ready for highway use, which means the recreational vehicle or park model is on wheels or jacking system, is attached to the site only by quick-disconnect type utilities and security devices, and has no permanent attachments such as additions, rooms, stairs, decks and porches.
B. Permanent placement. Recreational vehicles and park trailers that do not meet the limitations in Section 5.05.13(A) of this Chapter for temporary placement shall meet the requirements of Section 5.05.12 of this Chapter for manufactured homes.

## SECTION 5.05.14 TANKS

A. Underground tanks. Underground tanks in flood hazard areas shall be anchored to prevent flotation, collapse or lateral movement resulting from hydrodynamic and hydrostatic loads during conditions of the design flood, including the effects of buoyancy assuming the tank is empty.
B. Above-ground tanks, not elevated. Above-ground tanks that do not meet the elevation requirements of Section 5.05 .14 (C) of this Chapter shall be permitted in flood hazard areas provided the tanks are anchored or otherwise designed and constructed to prevent flotation, collapse or lateral movement resulting from hydrodynamic and hydrostatic loads during conditions of the design flood, including the effects of buoyancy assuming the tank is empty and the effects of flood-borne debris.
C. Above-ground tanks, elevated. Above-ground tanks in flood hazard areas shall be elevated to or above the design flood elevation and attached to a supporting structure that is designed to prevent flotation, collapse or lateral movement during conditions of the design flood. Tanksupporting structures shall meet the foundation requirements of the applicable flood hazard area.
D. Tank inlets and vents. Tank inlets, fill openings, outlets and vents shall be:
(1) At or above the design flood elevation or fitted with covers designed to prevent the inflow of floodwater or outflow of the contents of the tanks during conditions of the design flood; and
(2) Anchored to prevent lateral movement resulting from hydrodynamic and hydrostatic loads, including the effects of buoyancy, during conditions of the design flood.

## SECTION 5.05.15 OTHER DEVELOPMENT

A. General requirements for other development. All development, including man-made changes to improved or unimproved real estate for which specific provisions are not specified in this Chapter or the Florida Building Code, shall:
(1) Be located and constructed to minimize flood damage;
(2) Meet the limitations of Section 5.05.11(D) of this Chapter if located in a regulated floodway;
(3) Be anchored to prevent flotation, collapse or lateral movement resulting from hydrostatic loads, including the effects of buoyancy, during conditions of the design flood;
(4) Be constructed of flood damage-resistant materials; and
(5) Have mechanical, plumbing, and electrical systems above the design flood elevation or meet the requirements of ASCE 24, except that minimum electric service required to address life safety and electric code requirements is permitted below the design flood elevation provided it conforms to the provisions of the electrical part of building code for wet locations.
B. Fences in regulated floodways. Fences in regulated floodways that have the potential to block the passage of floodwaters, such as stockade fences and wire mesh fences, shall meet the limitations of Section 5.05.11(D) of this Chapter.
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C. Retaining walls, sidewalks and driveways in regulated floodways. Retaining walls and sidewalks and driveways that involve the placement of fill in regulated floodways shall meet the limitations of Section 5.05.11(D) of this Chapter.
D. Roads and watercourse crossings in regulated floodways. Roads and watercourse crossings, including roads, bridges, culverts, low-water crossings and similar means for vehicles or pedestrians to travel from one side of a watercourse to the other side, that encroach into regulated floodways shall meet the limitations of Section 5.05.11(D) of this Chapter. Alteration of a watercourse that is part of a road or watercourse crossing shall meet the requirements of Section 5.05.05(C)(3) of this Chapter.

SECTION 3. DEFINITIONS AMENDMENT. That Section 1.08 .13 of the Land Development Code, City of Apopka, Florida, is hereby amended to read as follows:
***

Alteration of a watercourse. A dam, impoundment, channel relocation, change in channel alignment, channelization, or change in cross-sectional area of the channel or the channel capacity, or any other form of modification which may alter, impede, retard or change the direction and/or velocity of the riverine flow of water during conditions of the base flood.

Appeal. A request for a review of the Floodplain Administrator's interpretation of any provision of Chapter 5.05.00 of this Code.
***

Area of shallow flooding: A designated AO or VO zone on a commenity's flood insurance rate map (FIRM) with base flood depths from one to three feet, where a clearly defined channel does not exist, where the path of flooding is unpredictable and indeterminate, and where velocity flow may be evident.

Area of special flood hazard: The area of special flood hazard shall include:
All areas designated on a flood hazard boundary map as zone $A$ or a flood insurance rate map as zones $\mathrm{A}, ~ \mathrm{AO}, \mathrm{AH}, \mathrm{A1}-30, \mathrm{AE}, \mathrm{A} 99$, VO, or V1 30, VE, or V. The relevant flood hazard boundary map and flood insurance rate maps, and any revisions thereto, are adopted by reference and declared to be a part of this code.

Other areas of the community designated on a map by the director as having a one percent or greater chance of flooding in any given year. This may include isolated topographic depressions with a history of flooding or a high potential for flooding.

ASCE 24: A standard titled Flood Resistant Design and Construction that is referenced by the Florida Building Code. ASCE 24 is developed and published by the American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, VA.
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***

Base flood: The flood having a one percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.] The base flood is commonly referred to as the "100-year flood" or the "1-percent-annual chance flood."

Base flood elevation: The elevation of the base flood, including wave height, relative to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD), North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) or other datum specified on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.$]$

Basement: A portion of a building located partly or wholly underground, but having not less than half its clear floor to ceiling height below the average grade of the adjoining ground. The portion of a building having its floor subgrade (below ground level) on all sides. [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202; see "Basement (for flood loads)".]
***

Breakaway wall: A wall that is designed and constructed to collapse under specified lateral loading forces without causing damage to the ele vated portion of the building or the supporting foundation system.
***

Design flood: The flood associated with the greater of the following two areas: [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.1
(1) Area with a floodplain subject to a 1-percent or greater chance of flooding in any year; or
(2) Area designated as a flood hazard area on the community's flood hazard map, or otherwise legally designated.

Design flood elevation: The elevation of the "design flood," including wave height, relative to the datum specified on the community's legally designated flood hazard map. In areas designated as Zone AO, the design flood elevation shall be the elevation of the highest existing grade of the building's perimeter plus the depth number (in feet) specified on the flood hazard map. In areas designated as Zone AO where the depth number is not specified on the map, the depth number shall be taken as being equal to 2 feet. [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.]
***
Development or development activity: Any of the following activities:

1. Construction,
2. Building,
3. Subdividing
4. A tree removal
5. Erection of a permanent sign
6. Alteration of a historic property
7. Changing the use
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8. Construction.
9. For the purposes of floodplain management, any man-made change to improved or unimproved real estate, including but not limited to, buildings or other structures, tanks, temporary structures, temporary or permanent storage of equipment or materials, mining, dredging, filling, grading, paving, excavations, drilling operations or any other land disturbing activities.
***

Encroachment: The placement of fill, excavation, buildings, permanent structures or other development into a flood hazard area which may impede or alter the flow capacity of riverine flood hazard areas.

Existing building and existing structure: Any buildings and structures for which the "start of construction" commenced before September 29, 1978. [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.]

Existing manufactured home park or subdivision: A manufactured home park or subdivision for which the construction of facilities for servicing the lots on which the manufactured homes are to be affixed (including, at a minimum, the installation of utilities, the construction of streets, and either final site grading or the pouring of concrete pads) is completed before September 29, 1978.

Expansion to an existing manufactured home park or subdivision: The preparation of additional sites by the construction of facilities for servicing the lots on which the manufactured homes are to be affixed (including the installation of utilities, the construction of streets, and either final site grading or the pouring of concrete pads).

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA): The federal agency that, in addition to carrying out other functions, administers the National Flood Insurance Program.
***

Flood elevation: Maximum water elevation achieved during the 100 year flood as identified by FEMA.

```
***
```

Flood protection elevation: The elevation of the base flood plus one foot.

## Floodplain: Level land that may be submerged by floodwater.

Floodway: The channel of a natural stream or river and portions of the floodplain adjoining the ehannel, which are reasonably required to carry and discharge the floodwater or flood flow of any natural stream or river.

Flood damage-resistant materials: Any construction material capable of withstanding direct and prolonged contact with floodwaters without sustaining any damage that requires more than cosmetic repair. [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.$]$
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Flood hazard area: The greater of the following two areas: [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.$]$
(1) The area within a floodplain subject to a 1-percent or greater chance of flooding in any year.
(2) The area designated as a flood hazard area on the community's flood hazard map, or otherwise legally designated.

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM): The official map of the community on which the Federal Emergency Management Agency has delineated both special flood hazard areas and the risk premium zones applicable to the community. [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.]

Flood Insurance Study (FIS): The official report provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency that contains the Flood Insurance Rate Map, the Flood Boundary and Floodway Map (if applicable), the water surface elevations of the base flood, and supporting technical data. [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.1

Floodplain Administrator: The office or position designated and charged with the administration and enforcement of Section 5.05.00 of this Code (may be referred to as the Floodplain Manager).

Floodplain development permit or approval: An official document or certificate issued by the community, or other evidence of approval or concurrence, which authorizes performance of specific development activities that are located in flood hazard areas and that are determined to be compliant with Section 5.05.00 of this Code.

Floodway: The channel of a river or other riverine watercourse and the adjacent land areas that must be reserved in order to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than one (1) foot. [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.]

Floodway encroachment analysis: An engineering analysis of the impact that a proposed encroachment into a floodway is expected to have on the floodway boundaries and base flood elevations; the evaluation shall be prepared by a qualified Florida licensed engineer using standard engineering methods and models.

Florida Building Code: The family of codes adopted by the Florida Building Commission, including: Florida Building Code, Building; Florida Building Code, Residential; Florida Building Code, Existing Building; Florida Building Code, Mechanical; Florida Building Code, Plumbing; Florida Building Code, Fuel Gas.
***

Functionally dependent use: A use which cannot perform its intended purpose unless it is located or carried out in close proximity to water, including only docking facilities, port facilities that are necessary for the loading and unloading of cargo or passengers, and ship building and ship repair facilities; the term does not include long-term storage or related manufacturing facilities.
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Highest adjacent grade: The highest natural elevation of the ground surface prior to construction next to the proposed walls or foundation of a structure.

Historic structure: Any structure that is determined eligible for the exception to the flood hazard area requirements of the Florida Building Code, Existing Building, Chapter 12 Historic Buildings.
***

Letter of Map Change (LOMC): An official determination issued by FEMA that amends or revises an effective Flood Insurance Rate Map or Flood Insurance Study. Letters of Map Change include:

Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA): An amendment based on technical data showing that a property was incorrectly included in a designated special flood hazard area. A LOMA amends the current effective Flood Insurance Rate Map and establishes that a specific property, portion of a property, or structure is not located in a special flood hazard area.

Letter of Map Revision (LOMR): A revision based on technical data that may show changes to flood zones, flood elevations, special flood hazard area boundaries and floodway delineations, and other planimetric features.

Letter of Map Revision Based on Fill (LOMR-F): A determination that a structure or parcel of land has been elevated by fill above the base flood elevation and is, therefore, no longer located within the special flood hazard area. In order to qualify for this determination, the fill must have been permitted and placed in accordance with the community's floodplain management regulations.

Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR): A formal review and comment as to whether a proposed flood protection project or other project complies with the minimum NFIP requirements for such projects with respect to delineation of special flood hazard areas. A CLOMR does not revise the effective Flood Insurance Rate Map or Flood Insurance Study; upon submission and approval of certified as-built documentation, a Letter of Map Revision may be issued by FEMA to revise the effective FIRM.

Light-duty truck: As defined in 40 C.F.R. 86.082-2, any motor vehicle rated at 8,500 pounds Gross Vehicular Weight Rating or less which has a vehicular curb weight of 6,000 pounds or less and which has a basic vehicle frontal area of 45 square feet or less, which is:
(1) Designed primarily for purposes of transportation of property or is a derivation of such a vehicle, or
(2) Designed primarily for transportation of persons and has a capacity of more than 12 persons; or
(3) Available with special features enabling off-street or off-highway operation and use.

Lowest floor: The lowest enclosed floor of a structure, including a basement, but not including the floor of an area enclosed only with insect sereening or wood lattice as permitted by the flood

ORDINANCE NO. 2673
PAGE 23
damage prevention regulations in this code. The lowest floor of the lowest enclosed area of a building or structure, including basement, but excluding any unfinished or flood-resistant enclosure, other than a basement, usable solely for vehicle parking, building access or limited storage provided that such enclosure is not built so as to render the structure in violation of the non-elevation requirements of the Florida Building Code or ASCE 24. [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.]
***
Manufactured home: A structure, transportable in one or more sections, which is eight (8) feet or more in width and greater than four hundred (400) square feet, and which is built on a permanent, integral chassis and is designed for use with or without a permanent foundation when attached to the required utilities. The term "manufactured home" does not include a "recreational vehicle" or "park trailer." [Also defined in 15C-1.0101, F.A.C.]

Manufactured home park or subdivision: A parcel (or contiguous parcels) of land divided into two or more manufactured home lots for rent or sale.

Market value: The price at which a property will change hands between a willing buyer and a willing seller, neither party being under compulsion to buy or sell and both having reasonable knowledge of relevant facts. As used in Section 5.05.00 of this Code, the term refers to the market value of buildings and structures, excluding the land and other improvements on the parcel. Market value may be established by a qualified independent appraiser, Actual Cash Value (replacement cost depreciated for age and quality of construction), or tax assessment value adjusted to approximate market value by a factor provided by the Property Appraiser.

```
***
```

Mean sea level: The average height of the sea for all stages of the tide. For propese of this code the term is synonymous with National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD).
***

New construction: For the purposes of administration of Section 5.05 .00 of this Code and the flood resistant construction requirements of the Florida Building Code, structures for which the "start of construction" commenced on or after September 29, 1978 and includes any subsequent improvements to such structures.

New manufactured home park or subdivision: A manufactured home park or subdivision for which the construction of facilities for servicing the lots on which the manufactured homes are to be affixed (including at a minimum, the installation of utilities, the construction of streets, and either final site grading or the pouring of concrete pads) is completed on or after September 29, 1978.
***
Park trailer: A transportable unit which has a body width not exceeding fourteen (14) feet and which is built on a single chassis and is designed to provide seasonal or temporary living quarters when connected to utilities necessary for operation of installed fixtures and appliances. [Defined in section 320.01, F.S.]
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***

Recreational vehicle: A vehicular type portable structure without permanent foundation, which is built on a single chassis; measures 400 square feet or less at the largest horizontal projection; can be towed, hauled or driven, and is primarily designed as temporary living accommodations for recreation, camping, and travel use, and including, but not limited to, travel trailers, truck campers, camping trailers, and self-propelled motor homes.

Regulatory floodway: Channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 100 year flood discharge can be conveyed without increasing the base flood elevation (BFE) more than a speeified amount.
***

Special flood hazard area: An area in the floodplain subject to a 1 percent or greater chance of flooding in any given year. Special flood hazard areas are shown on FIRMs as Zone A, AO, A1-A30, AE, A99, AH, V1-V30, VE or V. [Also defined in FBC, B Section 202. ]
***

Start of construction: The date of issuance of permits for new construction and substantial improvements, provided the actual start of construction, repair, reconstruction, rehabilitation, addition, placement, or other improvement is within 180 days of the date of the issuance. The actual start of construction means either the first placement of permanent construction of a building (including a manufactured home) on a site, such as the pouring of slab or footings, the installation of piles, or the construction of columns.

Permanent construction does not include land preparation (such as clearing, grading, or filling), the installation of streets or walkways, excavation for a basement, footings, piers, or foundations, the erection of temporary forms or the installation of accessory buildings such as garages or sheds not occupied as dwelling units or not part of the main buildings. For a substantial improvement, the actual "start of construction" means the first alteration of any wall, ceiling, floor or other structural part of a building, whether or not that alteration affects the external dimensions of the building. [Also defined in FBC, B Section 202.]
***
Substantial damage: Damage of any origin sustained by a building or structure whereby the cost of restoring the building or structure to its before-damaged condition would equal or exceed 50 percent of the market value of the building or structure before the damage occurred. [Also defined in FBC, B Section 202.$]$

Substantial improvement: Any repair, reconstruction, rehabilitation, alteration, addition, or other improvement of a building or structure, the cost of which equals or exceeds 50 percent of the market value of the building or structure before the improvement or repair is started. If the structure has incurred "substantial damage," any repairs are considered substantial improvement regardless of the actual repair work performed. The term does not, however, include either: [Also defined in FBC, B, Section 202.1
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(1) Any project for improvement of a building required to correct existing health, sanitary, or safety code violations identified by the building official and that are the minimum necessary to assure safe living conditions.
(2) Any alteration of a historic structure provided the alteration will not preclude the structure's continued designation as a historic structure.

## ***

Variance (Floodplain Management): For the purposes of floodplain management, a grant of relief from the requirements of Chapter 5.05 .00 of this Code, or the flood resistant construction requirements of the Florida Building Code, which permits construction in a manner that would not otherwise be permitted by this ordinance or the Florida Building Code.

SECTION 4. The Apopka Code of Ordinances, Chapter 22 Buildings and Building Regulations, Article II Building Code, Section 22-37 Amendments, is hereby amended by the following technical amendments to the Florida Building Code, Residential.
***
R322.2.2 Enclosed area below design flood elevation. Enclosed areas, including crawl spaces, that are below the design flood elevation shall:

1. Be used solely for parking of vehicles, building access or storage. The interior portion of such enclosed areas shall not be partitioned or finished into separate rooms except for stairwells, ramps, and elevators, unless a partition is required by the fire code. The limitation on partitions does not apply to load bearing walls interior to perimeter wall (crawlspace) foundations. Access to enclosed areas shall be the minimum necessary to allow for the parking of vehicles (garage door) or limited storage of maintenance equipment used in connection with the premises (standard exterior door) or entry to the building (stairway or elevator).

SECTION 5. FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT. In terms of design, plan application review, construction and inspection of buildings and structures, the cost impact as an overall average is negligible in regard to the local technical amendments because all development has been subject to the requirements of the local floodplain management ordinance adopted for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program. In terms of lower potential for flood damage, there will be continued savings and benefits to consumers.

SECTION 6. APPLICABILITY. For the purposes of jurisdictional applicability, this ordinance shall apply in the City of Apopka. This ordinance shall apply to all applications for development, including building permit applications and subdivision proposals, submitted on or after the effective date of this ordinance.

SECTION 7. INCLUSION INTO THE CODE OF ORDINANCES. It is the intent of the City Council that the provisions of this ordinance shall become and be made a part of the City
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of Apopka's Code of Ordinances, and that the sections of this ordinance may be renumbered or relettered and the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section," "article," "regulation," or such other appropriate word or phrase in order to accomplish such intentions.

SECTION 8. SEVERABILITY. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is, for any reason, declared by the courts to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the ordinance as a whole, or any part thereof, other than the part so declared.

SECTION 9. EFFECTIVE DATE. This ordinance shall take effect on \{insert date\}.
PASSED and ADOPTED in regular session, with a quorum present and voting, by the Apopka City Council, upon second and final reading this \{insert date\}.

READ FIRST TIME:
READ SECOND TIME AND DOPTED:

Bryan Nelson, Mayor

## ATTEST:

Linda G. Goff, City Clerk

APPROVED as to form and legality for use and reliance by the City of Apopka, Florida.

Clifford B. Shepard, City Attorney

DULY ADVERTISED FOR PUBLIC HEARING:

## CITY OF APOPKA PLANNING COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING<br>SITE PLAN<br>SPECIAL REPORTS<br>OTHER:

MEETING OF: September 11, 2018<br>FROM: Community Development<br>EXHIBITS: Appendix 7-1 - CIE - Five-Year CIP

## SUBJECT:

## AMENDMENT TO THE CITY'S FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN TO ADD RECREATION IMPROVEMENTs, AND INCORPORATING INTO THE CITY OF APOPKA, COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS ELEMENT

## REQUEST: RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT TO THE CITY OF APOPKA, FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN AND INCORPORATE INTO THE CITY OF APOPKA COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT ELEMENT

## SUMMARY:

The City desires to conduct recreation improvements at Kit Land Nelson Park and at Northwest Recreation Complex to accommodate a need for additional and improved playground equipment and facilities for youth. To fund these recreation improvements, the City proposes to apply for available grant funds sponsored by the Florida Department of Environmental Project.

Eligibility requirements for thee grant program require that the proposed recreation improvement must be recognized within the City's capital improvement program (CIP) established in the Comprehensive Plan. Further, the City must proceed expeditiously at this time to meet grant application deadlines. Hence, the proposed update to the five-year CIP of the Comprehensive Plan at this time only addresses the new recreation improvements at Kit Land Nelson Park and at Northwest Recreation Complex.

After the Fiscal year 2018-19 budget has been approved by City Council, staff will conduct an annual update of the five-year CIP to address necessary to meet accepted levels of service (LOS), to maintain and repair failing facilities, and to provide additional infrastructure facilities and roads to meet demands generated by new growth and development. Typically, the five-year CIP within the Comprehensive Plan addresses the infrastructure needs related to transportation, water, sewer, reclaimed water, stormwater management, and recreation.

Exhibit 'A' of this report includes the updated CIP to be incorporated as Appendix 7-1 of the Capital Improvements Element. The proposed CIP changes (additions) are included in the 'General Fund' and 'FDEP Grant Fund' sections of the Recreation CIP (shown in Exhibit 'A'). Funds appearing in the 'General Fun' serve as the local government match requirement per the conditions of the FDEP grant program.

Legislative changes in 2011 to Chapter 163, Florida Statues allow local governments to update their five-year CIP by ordinance, and is not considered a comprehensive plan policy amendment. Therefore, incorporation of the updated CIP into the Capital Improvements Element does not require transmittal to the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity for state agency review.

## DISTRIBUTION

Mayor Nelson
Commissioners
City Administrator
Community Development Director

Finance Director
HR Director
IT Director
Police Chief

Public Services Director
Recreation Director
City Clerk
Fire Chief

## PUBLIC HEARING SCHEDULE:

September 11, 2018 - Planning Commission (5:30 pm)
September 19, 2018 - City Council $1^{\text {st }}$ Reading (7:00 pm)
October 3, 2018 - City Council $2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading (1:30 pm)

## DULY ADVERTISED:

August 31, 2018 - Public Hearing Notice
September 7, 2018 - Public Hearing Notice

## RECOMMENDATION ACTION:

The Development Review Committee recommends approval of the update of the City of Apopka Five-Year Capital Improvements Plan to be incorporated into the Apopka Comprehensive Plan - Capital Improvements Element.

RECOMMENDED MOTION: Find the proposed amendment of the Apopka Five-Year Capital Improvements Plan consistent with the Apopka Comprehensive Plan, recommend approval of the Five-Year Capital Improvements Plan amendment and the incorporation into the Capital Improvements Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

Note: This item is considered legislative and establishes general policy. The staff report and its findings are to be incorporated into and made a part of the minutes of this meeting.

APPENDIX 7-1: FIVE-YEAR SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS - RECREATION

| Comprehensive Plan Element/Project | Source of Funding | FY 16-17 | FY 17-18 | FY 18-19 | FY 19-20 | FY 20-21 | FY 21-22 | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concession, bathrooms, building and sidewalks at NWRC | (1) |  | \$300,000 |  |  | \$0 |  | \$300,000 |
| Parking Lot-NWRC Little League Fields |  |  | \$510,000 |  |  | \$0 |  | \$510,000 |
| Picnic Pavilions |  |  | \$100,000 |  | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$300,000 |
| NWRC Ball Field Renovations |  | \$23,900 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$0 |  | \$173,900 |
| Fitness Equipment for Kit Land Nelson Park (with grant) |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |  | \$23,900 |
| Bleacher Covers Over Quad 3 |  |  | \$60,000 |  |  | \$0 |  | \$60,000 |
| Tennis Court Resurfacing - NWRC |  |  |  |  | \$50,000 | \$0 |  | \$50,000 |
| Basketball Resurfacing - NWRC |  |  |  |  | \$50,000 | \$0 |  | \$50,000 |
| Lk. Ave. Park - Playground, Pavilion, Shade Structure |  |  |  | \$350,000 |  | \$0 |  | \$350,000 |
| Old Little League Fields New Park |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 | \$0 |  | \$200,000 |
| NWRC Scoreboards for (1) Quad |  |  | \$30,000 | \$30,000 |  | \$0 |  | \$60,000 |
| New ball fields (Baseball, soccer, etc.) |  |  |  | \$2,200,000 |  | \$0 |  | \$2,200,000 |
| Alonzo Williams Park Improvements (Contingent upon CDBG grant award) |  |  | \$50,000 |  |  | \$0 |  | \$50,000 |
| Kit Land Nelson Park Fitness (grant contingent)* |  |  | \$80,000 |  |  | \$0 |  | \$80,000 |
| Kit Land Nelson Park Playground (Grant <br> Match) |  |  | \$75,000 |  |  | \$0 |  | \$75,000 |
| Northwest Recreation Complex Playground (Grant Match) |  |  | \$35,000 |  |  | \$0 |  | \$35,000 |
| TOTAL GENERAL FUND |  | \$23,900 | \$1,290,000 | \$2,630,000 | \$450,000 | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$4,493,900 |
| Recreation Splash Pad at NWRC | Recreation Impact Fund |  |  |  |  | \$400,000 |  | \$400,000 |
| Skate Park |  |  | \$300,000 |  |  |  |  | \$300,000 |
| Playground at Apopka Athletic Complex (AAC) |  |  | \$75,000 |  |  |  |  | \$75,000 |
| Splash Pad w/ Restrooms (Kit Land Nelson Park) |  | \$750,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$750,000 |
| Park Lot - NWRC |  |  |  | \$267,000 | \$865,000 |  | \$1,165,000 | \$2,297,000 |
| TOTAL RECREATION IMPACT FUND |  | \$750,000 | \$375,000 | \$267,000 | \$865,000 | \$400,000 | \$1,165,000 | \$3,822,000 |

APPENDIX 7-1: FIVE-YEAR SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS - RECREATION
PROPOSED CHANGES - UNDERSCORED

| Comprehensive Plan Element/Project | Source of Funding | FY 16-17 | FY 17-18 | FY 18-19 | FY 19-20 | FY 20-21 | FY 21-22 | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alonzo Williams Community Center Bldg. | CDBG |  | \$750,000 |  |  |  |  | \$750,000 |
| TOTAL CDBG |  |  | \$750,000 |  |  |  |  | \$750,000 |
| Alonzo Williams Park[1] Renovations | FRDAP Grant | \$28,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,000 |
| Alonzo Williams Park New Construction[2] |  | \$22,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$22,000 |
| Kit Land Nelson Park Renovations[3] |  | \$3,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,700 |
| Kit Land Nelson Park New Construction[4] |  | \$42,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$42,500 |
| AAC Renovations[5] |  |  | \$17,000 |  |  |  |  | \$17,000 |
| AAC New Construction[6] |  |  | \$33,000 |  |  |  |  | \$33,000 |
| Old Little League Fields New Park Construction |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 |  |  | \$200,000 |
| TOTAL FRDAP GRANT FUNDS |  | \$96,200 | \$50,000 | \$0 | \$200,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$346,200 |

[1] Resurfacing, irrigation, drinking fountain, picnic facilities
[2] Playground, security lighting
[3] Resurfacing, tennis court maintenance, drinking fountain, picnic facilities, miscellaneous maintenance
[4] New playground, bike rack, picnic facilities
[5] Restrooms, playground surface materials, baseball dugout shelters, drinking fountain \& picnic facilities
[6] Playground, landscaping, picnic facilities


APPENDIX 7-1: FIVE-YEAR SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS - RECREATION
PROPOSED CHANGES - UNDERSCORED

| Comprehensive Plan Element/Project | Source of Funding | FY 16-17 | FY 17-18 | FY 18-19 | FY 19-20 | FY 20-21 | FY 21-22 | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Brick Streets, Repair \& Restoration | Street Improvement Fund |  | \$500,000 |  |  |  |  | \$500,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment (3412 Streets-6400) |  | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$330,000 |
| New Sidewalk \& Curb Construction (3412 Streeets- <br> 6304 ) |  | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$300,000 |
| Paving \& Resurfacing (3412 Streets-6304) |  | \$600,000 | \$600,000 | \$600,000 | \$600,000 | \$600,000 |  | \$3,000,000 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Street Complex (Renovation/or Relocate) Split with $3412 / 3513 / 3181$ ) |  | \$300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$300,000 |
| TOTAL STREET IMPROVEMENT FUND |  | \$1,010,000 | \$1,210,000 | \$710,000 | \$700,000 | \$700,000 | \$100,000 | \$4,430,000 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Street Reconstruction \& Downtown Related Street Improvements, Central Ave to US 441 | Traffic Impact Fee | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | \$1,000,000 |  |  |  | \$2,000,000 |
| Bradshaw Rd US 441 Traffic Signal |  | \$400,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$400,000 |
| Peterson Rd (End of pavement to Hermit Smirth Rd) 2 lanes |  |  |  | \$750,000 |  |  |  | \$750,000 |
| Maine Ave, Martin St to Old Dixie Hwy (2 lanes) |  |  |  |  | \$1,000,000 |  |  | \$1,000,000 |
| Martin St, Maine Ave between Park Ave |  |  |  |  | \$1,600,000 |  |  | \$1,600,000 |
| Marden Rd (Keene Rd to CR 437A) 2 lanes, Urban Section Improvement |  | \$500,000 | \$500,000 |  |  |  |  | \$1,000,000 |
| New Sidewalks |  | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |  | \$250,000 |
| Rogers Rd, Lester Rd to Ponkan Rd |  |  |  | \$1,400,000 |  |  |  | \$1,400,000 |
| Old Dixie (Hawthorne Ave to Schopke Lester Rd) turn lane, curb, gutter |  | \$1,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,000,000 |
| Sheeler Ave/Cleveland St Intersection Improvement (turn lanes/traffic light) |  |  | \$500,000 |  |  |  |  | \$500,000 |
| Plymouth Rd/Yothers Rd Intersection Improvements |  | \$500,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$500,000 |
| Piedmont-Wekiwa Rd/Greenacres Rd (Traffic Light) |  |  |  | \$350,000 |  |  |  | \$350,000 |
| TOTAL TRAFFIC IMPACT FUND |  | \$2,950,000 | \$1,550,000 | \$3,550,000 | \$2,650,000 | \$50,000 | \$0 | \$10,750,000 |
| Drainage Upgrading - Citywide (6308) | Stormwater Fund | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | \$2,100,000 |
| TOTAL STORMWATER FUND |  | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | \$2,100,000 |
| Miscellaneous Water Mains (2) | Water Impact Fee | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$600,000 |
| TOTAL WATER IMPACT FUND |  | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$600,000 |

APPENDIX 7-1: FIVE-YEAR SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS - RECREATION
PROPOSED CHANGES - UNDERSCORED


APPENDIX 7-1: FIVE-YEAR SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS - RECREATION

| Comprehensive Plan Element/Project | Source of Funding | FY 16-17 | FY 17-18 | FY 18-19 | FY 19-20 | FY 20-21 | FY 21-22 | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plymouth Regional Water Plant, modifications and improvements Wells \#1 \& 2 | Water Impact Fee (Con't) |  | \$900,000 |  |  |  |  | \$900,000 |
| Ponkan Rd, Ponkan Pines to Golden Gem 8,271 LF 12" |  |  | \$595,572 |  |  |  |  | \$595,572 |
| Sheeler Oaks WTP 65T . 75 MG (1) |  |  | \$750,000 |  |  |  |  | \$750,000 |
| Southwest Water Plant (1) |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,500,000 |  | \$4,500,000 |
| US 441 WM, Roger Williams Rd to Sheeler Rd (1) |  |  |  | \$240,000 |  |  |  | \$240,000 |
| TOTAL WATER IMPACT FUND |  | \$1,590,776 | \$3,632,932 | \$2,220,000 | \$2,644,976 | \$4,600,000 | \$100,000 | \$14,788,684 |
| Martin's Pond Improvements | Other Funds (TBD) |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |
| TOTAL OTHER FUNDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TBD |

## CITY OF APOPKA PLANNING COMMISSION

$\qquad$ PUBLIC HEARING SITE PLAN SPECIAL REPORTS OTHER: PUD Master Plan/PDP/PSP

MEETING OF:
FROM:
EXHIBITS:

September 11, 2018
Community Development
Zoning Report
Vicinity Map
Adjacent Zoning Map
Adjacent Uses Map
Existing Use Map
Ex. A - PUD Master Plan/PDP/PSP
Copart Development Agreement

| SUBJECT: | CHANGE OF ZONING - PUD MASTER PLAN/PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT |
| :--- | :--- |
| PLAN; SUBDIVISION AND SITE PLAN - MID-FLORIDA LOGISITCS PARK |  |

SUMMARY:
OWNERS: Mid-Florida Freezer Warehouses LTD; Eagles Landing at Ocoee, LLC.
APPLICANT: Dave Schmitt Engineering, Inc., c/o Bryan Gaines, AICP
LOCATION: West side of SR 429, south of General Electric Road, east of Hermit Smith Road
PARCEL ID NUMBERS: 01-21-27-0000-00-030; 01-21-27-0000-00-060; 06-21-28-7172-12-020;
06-21-28-7172-12-041; 06-21-28-7172-12-060; 06-21-28-7172-13-000;
12-21-27-0000-00-010; 12-21-27-0000-00-015; 12-21-27-0000-00-017;
12-21-27-0000-00-018; 12-21-27-0000-00-021
EXISTING USE: Vacant
FLUM DESIGNATION: Current: Industrial, Mixed-Use, Agriculture
Proposed: Industrial (adoption hearing scheduled for September 19, 2018)
CURRENT ZONING: I-1 (Restricted Industrial District), Mixed-EC, R-1AA (Residential Single-Family
District), AG (Agriculture District), A-1 (ZIP)
PROPOSED
DEVELOPMENT:
2,406,095 square feet industrial buildings; developed in multiple phases
PROPOSED ZONING: Planned Unit Development (PUD)
TRACT SIZE: $\quad 186.03+/-$ acres

## DISTRIBUTION

Mayor Nelson
Commissioners
City Administrator
Community Development Director

Finance Director HR Director
IT Director
Police Chief

Public Services Director Recreation Director
City Clerk
Fire Chief

```
PLANNING COMMISSION - SEPTEMBER 11, }201
MID-FLORIDA LOGISTICS PARK - CHANGE OF ZONING
PAGE 2
```

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The subject property is approximately 186.03 acres in size and is zoned I-1 (Restricted Industrial District), Mixed-EC, R-1AA (Residential Single-Family District), AG (Agriculture District), and A-1 (ZIP) and has a future land use designation of Industrial, Mixed Use and Agriculture. A large-scale future land use amendment is scheduled for adoption before City Council on September 19, 2018, which will re-designate the future land use of the entire property to Industrial. The subject property is located west of SR 429, south of General Electric Road and east of Hermit Smith Road. The proposed change of zoning to PUD (Planned Unit Development) is being requested by the prospective developer, who proposes to construct a subdivision consisting of five industrial warehouse buildings totaling $2,406,095$ on the property.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan/Preliminary Site Plan proposes a subdivision consisting of five industrial warehouse buildings totaling 2,406,095 square feet. Access to the site is proposed an ingress/egress point on Hermit Smith Road, two ingress/egress points on General Electric Road, and a yet to be constructed road that is dedicated to the public that is referred to as Fern Industrial Road, which is proposed to be constructed in a north-south configuration. In addition, three railroad spurs are proposed to lead into buildings 1 A and 1B, 2, and 3. The railroad spurs will be located along the right-of-way of Fern Industrial Drive. An unimproved platted right-of-way known as Peterson Road bisects the property from east to west. This right-of-way is proposed to be vacated when the property is platted, and the right-of-way of Peterson Road will be relocated to the south to allow access to the property owners located to the south of the PUD. The proposed relocation of the Peterson Road right-of-way is shown on the PUD Master Plan. The new right-of-way of Peterson Road is proposed at 50 -feet in width. Parking will be provided on each lot, and will be owned and maintained by each property owner.

Fromm Road must also be vacated in order accommodated the subdivision plan and site plan.
Four Stormwater retention areas are proposed on site. Pond A (dry retention) is proposed south of Building 3, Pond $B$ is proposed east of Building 1A and 1B and adjacent to SR 429, and Ponds C1 (dry retention) and C2 (wet detention) are proposed south and west of Building 5 .

Deviations: The applicant is requesting six deviations to the City's required development standards. For a PUD Master Plan, a deviation from the City's Land Development Code (LDC) does not represent a variance but a development standard or zoning condition unique to and approved as part of the Planned Unit Development zoning. PUD's are required to satisfy the requirements of the Land Development Code unless the City Council finds that, based on substantial evidence, a proposed alternative development guideline is adequate to protect to the public health safety, and welfare. Any deviations must be consistent with the policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

1. LDC, Section 6.03.02.A. Number of parking spaces required (Wholesale, industrial, manufacture, processing or assembly uses) - 2 spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area up to 150,000 square feet, plus 1 space per vehicle operating from premises or 1 space per 2 employees. 1 space per 1,000 square feet over 150,000 square feet of gross floor area.

The applicant is proposing 0.89 parking spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area.
The following justification has been provided: "The ITE Parking Generation Manual, $4^{\text {th }}$ Edition, $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile parking requirement for warehouse use is 0.81 spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area."
2. LDC, Section 2.02.01.A. - Maximum building height for all zoning districts is 35 -feet.

The applicant is proposing a maximum building height of 55 -feet within the PUD.
The following justification has been provided: "The modern warehouses require 36 -feet to 45 -feet of clear space under roof to accommodate automated materials handling equipment and maximize storage."
3. LDC, Section 2.02.15.F. Yard requirements - Front yard -25 -feet, side yard -10 -feet, Yards adjacent to road right-of-ways shall be a minimum of 25 -feet, Rear Yard - 10 -feet (30-feet adjacent to residential)
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The applicant is proposing 15 -foot setback on General Electric Road, Hermit Smith Road to main entrance, 0 -feet to 10 -feet adjacent to SR 429, 10 -feet to 25 -feet adjacent to Peterson Road, 10 -feet adjacent to Fern Industrial Drive.

The following justification has been provided: "The northwestern portion of the site is constrained by the encroachment of General Electric Road. SR 429 has 300 -feet of right-of-way, 6 lanes, and provides a 20 foot high barrier to adjacent property to the east."
4. LDC, Section 2.02.01.b(8a) - Roof top equipment shall be completely screened from adjacent properties and rights-of-way to the maximum extent possible.

The applicant is proposing roof top equipment shall be screened from view from adjacent property lines and public right-of-way, with the exception of SR 429 due to the large elevation change of the roadway and the finished floor elevation of the building. SR 429 is at an elevation of 135 -feet, approximately $20-$ feet above the finished floor height of Building 2 . Screening shall be reviewed to be in conformance by the subdivision president and the City of Apopka. Screening shall be reviewed through a sight line document from the nearest adjacent property line and/or from the center line of the public right-of-way. The eye line shall be from the typical height of a person driving an automobile.

The following justification has been provided: "All buildings will have a site line evaluation to confirm that rooftop equipment will not be visible from adjacent properties and right-of-way."
5. LDC, Section 2.02.16.B. - Long term outdoor vehicle storage.

The applicant is proposing long term outdoor vehicle (bus, car, cab, and truck) storage and terminal as a permitted use within the PUD.

The following justification has been provided: "Increased business flexibility. Permitted vehicle storage will be screened from the ground."
6. LDC, Section 6.02.08.B. 2 - All subdivisions are required to have four-foot wide concrete sidewalks on both sides of all local and minor collector streets.

The applicant is proposing to construct a sidewalk along only one side of Fern Industrial Drive.
The following justification has been provided: "We are requesting that we do not place a sidewalk on the east side of the right-of-way proximate to the rail spurs. The pedestrian access plan provided on Sheet 6 of the PUD Master Plan shows a network of pedestrian facilities serving the entire site. The sidewalk on the west side of Fern Industrial is the spine connecting the southern end of the site with General Electric Road. All buildings are connected to this north/south spine and the appropriate crosswalks are provided when needed. We [BlueScope)remain concerned that placement of a sidewalk will not increase the pedestrian connectivity over the current plan but will put pedestrians in close proximity to the rail spurs to the east of Fern Industrial serving the site. The benefit of the additional sidewalk does not, in our opinion, warrant the risk of inadvertent interaction of pedestrians and rail vehicles."

TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS: Access to the site is provided from General Electric Road and Hermit Smit Road. Peterson Road is also a future access point for this project as well as for properties to the south of the MidFlorida Logistics Park site. Peterson Road and Fromm Road are both proposed to be vacated at the request of the applicant and property owner. The vacated road right-of-way will become part of the development site, making it more compact and contiguous. Peterson Road access will serve as a future secondary access for emergency response. The proposed Peterson Road alignment will also create connection to Peterson Road east of SR 429, allowing any residents of Avian Pointe to reach the Logistics Park. The Peterson Road connection between the east and west side

## PLANNING COMMISSION - SEPTEMBER 11, 2018 <br> MID-FLORIDA LOGISTICS PARK - CHANGE OF ZONING <br> PAGE 4

of SR 429 also allows another road connection besides using West Orange Avenue and Binion Road, thus allowing traffic to distribute over more routes.

A transportation impact analysis (TIA) was conducted for this project to assess its impacts on the surrounding roadway segments and intersections within a one-mile radius of the project per the City's adopted TIA methodology. Included in the analysis were segments of US 441, General Electric Road, Binion Road, Hermit Smith Road and Hogshead Road. Intersections analyzed were General Electric Road \& Orange Avenue, Hermit Smith Road \& General Electric Road, Hermit Smith Road \& US 441, SR 429 Connector Road \& US 441, Orange Avenue \& US 441, Plymouth Sorrento Road \& US 441, Boy Scout Boulevard \& U\&S 441, General Electric Road \& Site Access, and Hermit Smith Road \& Site Access. Peterson Road connection requires additional right-of-way east of SR 429. In exchange for the City vacating Fromm Road and Peterson Road, Mid-Florida Freezer will be obligated to donate an additional 30 -foot width of land along Peterson Road, east of SR 429, to create a 50-foot wide right-of-way.

The project will generate 3,444 daily trips and 246 P.M. Peak Hour trips. It is estimated that $20 \%$ of the total traffic generated by this project will be truck traffic. The nature of the land use supports the assumption that most of the truck traffic will leave the site and access SR 429 from US 441 and SR 429 Connector Road.

The addition of project trips to the study roadways will not cause the Level of Service (LOS) to fall below the City's adopted LOS standard. The addition of project traffic to the intersection of Hermit Smith Road and US 441 will cause the intersection to fail. The applicant is required to design and construct a dedicated right turn lane on Hermit Smith Road to allow for safe access to US 441. The addition of project traffic to the other study intersections is not project to cause failures in the future.

The tenants of the Mid-Florida Logistics Park are unknown at this time, so trip generation is based on the best information currently available; however, it is possible that tenants occupying space at Mid-Florida Logistics Park will exceed the trip generation used to conduct the analysis. As the site develops and becomes occupied, the applicant must reassess the trip generation. If it exceeds the projected trip generation used in the analysis, an updated study is required and additional mitigation for roadway and intersection failures caused by the project traffic.

PUD RECOMMENDATIONS: That the zoning classification of the following described property be designated as Planned Unit Development (PUD), as defined in the Apopka Land Development Code, and with the following Master Plan provisions subject to the following zoning provisions:
A. The uses permitted within the PUD district shall be single-family residential uses.
B. Terms of Expiration for this PUD shall be as follows:

If a Final Development Plan associated with the PUD district has not been approved by the City within three years, and site development has not commenced within four years after approval of these Master Plan provisions, the approval of the Master Plan provisions will expire. At such time, the City Council may:

1. Permit a single six-month extension for submittal of the required Final Development Plan;
2. Allow the PUD zoning designation to remain on the property pending resubmittal of new Master Plan provisions and any conditions of approval; or
3. Rezone the property to a more appropriate zoning classification.
C. Zoning Standards
4. Permitted Uses:
(a) All permitted uses allowed under I-1 zoning district;
(b) The uses allowed by the "Developer's Agreement for Development of Copart, Inc. Apopka Property," as recorded as document number 20160275220 within the official records of Orange County, Florida, shall be available uses within this PUD if the Copart Developer's Agreement is terminated with the mutual consent of City Council and the property owner subject to the Copart Developer's Agreement;
(c) Long-term outdoor vehicle (bus, car, cab, and truck) storage and terminal use is permitted within the PUD as an accessory use if said vehicle parking is associated with an enclosed building having a minimum floor area of 10,000 square feet and located within the same Lot or abutting lots. All vehicles stored outdoors must be operable.
(d) Prohibited Use: Flea markets; day-care centers except when provided solely for on-site employees; churches, public or private schools ( k -12th grade) except when located within a stand-alone building; community residential homes;
(e) All prohibited uses for the I-1 zoning district.

Special Exceptions: As set forth by the I-1 zoning district except if listed as a permitted or prohibited uses above.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN COMPLIANCE: The proposed use of the property is consistent with the proposed Industrial Future Land Use designation and is consistent with the Land Development Code, except for those which deviations are proposed to.

ORANGE COUNTY NOTIFICATION: Pursuant to Section 7 of the Joint Planning Area agreement, notification to Orange County was provided on July 18, 2018.

## PUBLIC HEARING SCHEDULE:

September 11, 2018 - Planning Commission (5:30 pm)
October 3, 2018 - City Council (1:30 pm) - 1st Reading
October 17, 2018 - City Council (7:00 pm) - 2nd Reading

## DULY ADVERTISED:

August 24, 2018 - Public Notice (Apopka Chief); Letter, Poster

## RECOMMENDATION ACTION:

The Development Review Committee finds the proposed rezoning to Planned Unit Development (PUD), PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan/Preliminary Site Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code and recommends approval of the Mid-Florida Logistics Park PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan/Preliminary Site Plan.

Recommended Motion: Recommend to approve the rezoning of the subject parcel from I-1 (Restricted Industrial District), Mixed-EC, R-1AA (Residential Single-Family District), AG (Agriculture District), and A-1 (ZIP) to PUD (Planned Unit Development), and approval of the PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan/Preliminary Site Plan based on the findings and facts presented in the staff report and exhibits, and subject to City Council approving a development agreement.

Note: This item is considered quasi-judicial. The staff report and its findings are to be incorporated into and made a part of the minutes of this meeting.

## ZONING REPORT

## RELATIONSHIP TO ADJACENT PROPERTIES:

| Direction | Future Land Use | Zoning | Present Use |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| North (City) | Industrial (max FAR 0.60) | I-1 | Transport/freight uses, Copart |
| East (City) | None assigned | N/A | SR 429 right-of-way |
| South <br> (County) | Orange County Rural | AG | Vacant property |
| West (City) | Orange County Rural | AG | Lake Apopka Restoration Area |

LAND USE \&
TRAFFIC COMPATIBILITY: The property is accessed via Hermit Smith Road and General Electric Road and a yet to be constructed public roadway referred to as Fern Industrial Drive, which will be owned and maintained by the City of Apopka. Future land use designations and zoning categories assigned to properties to the north, south, east, and west are predominantly Industrial and Rural.

## COMPREHENSIVE PLAN COMPLIANCE:

The proposed PUD zoning is compatible with policies set forth in the Comprehensive Plan.

## ALLOWABLE USES:

Industrial uses as set forth within the Planned Unit Development Master Plan.

## Project: MID-FLORIDA LOGISTICS PARK

Owned by: Mid-Florida Freezer Warehouses, LTD, Eagles Landing at Ocoee, LLC
Located: East side of Hermit Smith Road, South of General Electric Road, west of SR 429
Parcel ID\#s: 01-21-27-0000-00-060, 06-21-28-7172-12-020, 06-21-28-7172-12-041, 06-21-28-7172-12060, 01-21-27-0000-00-030, 06-21-28-7172-13-000, 12-21-27-0000-00-010, 12-21-27-0000-00-018, 12-21-27-0000-00-015, 12-21-27-0000-00-017, 12-21-27-0000-00-021

## VICINITY MAP



## ADJACENT ZONING









DS $=$










D9E
DAVE SCHMITT
ENGINEERRGG, INC,
ancer




[^1]

lot farea $\qquad$ 29.63 ACRES


| OPEN SPACE PROVIDED |
| :---: |
| LOT OPEN SPACE |

LOT4 OPEN SPACE
5OF POND $8 \&$ OPEN SPACE $\begin{aligned} & 3.05 \text { ACRES } \\ & 5.40 \text { ACRES }\end{aligned}$ total open space $\qquad$ 8.45 ACRES

BULLDNG 4AREA $\qquad$ 537,600 SF

PARKIMG PROVIDED:

 HANDCAPSPAC
IRUKKPAAES
SUBTOTAL

TOTAL PARKING SPACES 478


DNE
DAVE SCHMITT








DS $=$


correacron nsesurs

 DEECRIPTION $\square$





LOT 5 AREA $\qquad$ 16.11 ACRES

| OPEN $\operatorname{SPACE}$ REQUIRED: $20 \%$ OF USABLE LOT AREA |
| :---: |
| $16.11 \times 0.22$ ACRES |
| $0.20=$ |

OPEN SPACE PROVIDED
LOT SOPEN SPACE
SOF PONO 844 OPEN SPACE $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { 2.37ACRES } \\ & 5.40 \text { ACRES }\end{aligned}$
TOTAL OPEN SPACE 6.77ACRES


BUIDING SAREA $\qquad$ 300,000 SF



total parking spaces 267


NㅗN
 $\qquad$
$\square$


 Consid ROJECT NO: ANC. 1


DAVE SCHMITT

cormacrocen soulur





$T$ TYPICAL SECTION


DNE
DAVE SCHMITT
ENGINEERING, IN
ENGINERIMG, INC.

$\square$

$\square$ DEECRRIPTION REUSIONS | DAIE | Br |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  | $\square$

PRELIMINARY LANDSCAPE PLANS

## MID-FLORIDA LOGISTICS PARK

## CITY OF APOPKA, FLORIDA
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ALL TREE AND SHRUB ROOTBALLS SHALL BE PLACED WITH A MINIMUM OF 5' HORIZONTAL SEPARATION FROM ALL FINAL LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION PLANS TO BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
CITY OF APOPKA ORDINANCE NUMBER 206 OR CURRENT CODE IN EFFECT AT TIME OF OR CURRENT CODE IN EFFECT AT
CONSTRUCTION PLAN SUBMITTAL.

|  | PLANT LEGEND |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lamge shar mee | melowm sthos tres |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\bigcirc)$ | horen |
| \% |  |
| (2) ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | (1) |
|  |  |

Strues , Goolumeover



2-0
L-106

August 23, 2018
David B. Moon, AICP
Planning Manager
Community Development Department, Planning and Zoning Division
City of Apopka
120 E. Main Street
Apopka, Florida 32703
Sent via email to: dmoon@apopka.net

## Dear Mr. Moon:

This letter is regarding the current development plans submitted by Mid-Florida Freezer Warehouse Ltd. to the City of Apopka. First, we would like to express our appreciation to MidFlorida Freezer, as well as the City, for hearing our concerns related to the original project plans which included a request to vacate Peterson Road.

With the increase in development in the area, we believe that traffic will increase, thus requiring road expansions and/or additional access points. The unpaved portions of Peterson Road can provide future access points to neighboring developments and property. Additionally, the expansion of Peterson Road could also impact long-term property values in the area and future uses of nearby undeveloped property.

As owners and developers of neighboring property, we strongly believe that Peterson Road could become a vital transportation artery for the area, especially as development continues to increase. Going forward, we ask that Peterson Road remain in the forefront as the City considers plans for future development in the area.

We all are proud to have a presence in Apopka and to play a role in its bright future. We appreciate your consideration of our request and look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,


General Manager, Flavor Manufacturing Apopka
The Coca-Cola Company

## Robert namafy pres

Print Name \& Title
Developers Unlimited


Apopka Clear Lake Investments LLC, F. Bombeeck, Managing Member

## DEVELOPER'S AGREEMENT

 FOR DEVELOPMENT OF COPART, INC. APOPKA PROPERTYTHIS AGREEMENT, made effective as of the date specified in paragraph 3 below, by and among the CITY OF APOPKA, a municipal corporation existing and organized under the laws of the State of Florida, hereinafter sometimes referred to as "CITY," and COPART OF CONNECTICUT, INC., a Connecticut corporation, hereinafter sometimes referred to as "COPART." WITNESSETH THAT:

WHEREAS, COPART warrants that it holds legal title to certain land situated in the City of Apopka, Orange County, Florida, as described in Exhibit "A" hereto (the "Property"); and

WHEREAS, the subject Property is substantially undeveloped at the present time and will require site plan approval and the installation of certain capital improvements as it is developed, which improvements, hereinafter the "Improvements," are more specifically described herein; and

WHEREAS, it is the purpose of this Agreement to set forth clearly the understanding and agreement of the parties with respect to the contemplated Improvements.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETH:

1. COPART agrees that it and its successors and assigns will abide by the provisions of this Agreement and will install the following improvements:
a. COPART will, at its sole expense, install and maintain an eight foot ( 8 ') high masonry wall along the north, south and west sides of the Property, as well as the northerly $+/-475$ LF of the east side of the Property (adjoining to the masonry wall at the northeast corner of the Property), except as set forth
below. COPART will, at its sole expense install an eight foot ( $8^{\prime}$ ) metal wall along the east side of the Property where the masonry wall stops to the Property's southerly property boundary. Architectural renderings showing the design and placement of the masonry and metal walls on the Property are attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and Exhibit "C-1 \& C-2," respectively."
b. COPART will, at its sole expense, install and maintain a landscape buffer on all four sides of the property, as set forth and attached hereto as Exhibits C-1 \& C-2. Said buffer is to be maintained by COPART and will be subject to code enforcement action and plant replacement at COPART's expense should COPART breach this maintenance obligation.
c. Use of the Property is limited to COPART's current proposed use, as well as any other use that is or may be permitted under the Property's current and/or future zoning designation. Any expansion of COPART's operations not currently approved under this Agreement or currently permissible under the current or future zoning designation will require the approval of the CITY Council.
d. The Property will be subject to code enforcement inspections by the City as set forth in Chapter 54, Article II, of the City Code, to insure the Property is only used as approved.
e. No more than twenty percent (20\%) of the overall Property may be used to store vehicles that exceed a height of fourteen feet ( $14^{\prime}$ ) and those exceeding fourteen feet ( $14^{\prime}$ ) in height must be stored more than eight hundred feet ( $800^{\prime}$ ) from the front property line along West Orange Blossom Trail as shown on Exhibit "E".
f. COPART'S ordinary and regular operations, as detailed on Exhibit "D" attached hereto, shall not be deemed "on-site sales" and/or "live auctions", and shall
be deemed compliant with this Agreement and the Property zoning designation.
g. All 'for-sale' vehicles, product, or equipment must be stored within the designated vehicle storage areas indicated on Exhibit "E" attached hereto and shall not be stored at any time within paved business parking areas, within landscape buffers or parked within travel aisles of the designated storage areas as indicated on Exhibit "E". Vehicle storage space and driving aisles shall be constructed of asphalt tailings and gravel as shown on Exhibit "E".
h. All tow trucks or company trucks parked overnight at the Property shall be parked within the designated vehicle storage areas indicated on Exhibit "E".
i. No vehicle, equipment or product dismantling, crushing or sale of parts shall occur on or within the Property.
j. Construction or utility vehicles, product, or equipment with aerial buckets or cranes shall be stored with the crane arm or boom in the down position to the greatest extent possible to a height of less than fourteen feet ( $\mathbf{1 4}^{\prime}$ ).
k. No sign appearing on any vehicle or equipment placed in the designated vehicle storage areas indicated on Exhibit "E" shall be visible outside the Property boundaries
I. Vehicles, product, and equipment stored within the designated storage areas indicated on the Preliminary Development Plan shall never be stacked upon one another.
m. No more than twenty percent (20\%) of the vehicles, products or equipment shall be stored on the Property for more than one hundred eighty (180) consecutive days.
n. COPART will possess and keep current any and all licenses required to operate its business on the Property which may include:

## 1. Florida VA - Motor Vehicle Auction;

2. Florida VI - Motor Vehicle Dealer;
3. Florida RV - Used Recreational Vehicle Dealer;
4. Florida VW - Wholesale Dealer.
o. The CITY acknowledges that the Property is approved for the business operations as permitted under each of the licenses listed in Paragraph 1.n above with the limitation that the operation falls within the confines of the outlined in the Copart Operations as described in Exhibit " D " .
p. Any violation of this Agreement by COPART may result in code enforcement and all remedies and penalties available through that process, as well as all remedies available to the CITY through Article 12.07 .00 of the CITY Code and all other legal means.
5. This Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the subject Property and be binding upon any person, firm, or corporation who may become the successor in interest, directly or indirectly, to the subject Property. COPART shall pay all costs of recording this Agreement. No site improvements shall occur until this Agreement is recorded. This Agreement is intended to be and become effective as of the date it is executed by the last to sign of the CITY or COPART.
[Signature Pages Follow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have entered into this Agreement as of the day and year first above written.


## COPART

## WITNESS:



COPART OF CONNECTICUT, INC., a Connecticut Corporation

By:


Date: $\qquad$

STATE OF Texas county of Dallas

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $25^{\text {th }}$ day of May 2016, by Paul A. Ster as secretary of COPART OF CONNECTICUT, INC., a Connecticut corporation, on behalf of said corporation. Said person did not take an oath and is $\mathbb{X}$ personally known to me, $\square$ produced a driver's license (issued by a state of the United States within the last five (5) years) as identification, or $\square$ produced other identification,


## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

THE SW $1 / 4$ OF THE NE $1 / 4$, LESS THE SW $1 / 4$ OF THE SW $1 / 4$ OF THE SW $1 / 4$ OF THE NE $1 / 4$, AND THAT PART OF THE NW $1 / 4$ OF THE NE $1 / 4$, LYING SOUTH OF RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY ALL IN SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 27 EAST, ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA.


## EXHIBIT C-1

Orlando. Fiorida 32801
(407) 246-1250 Fax (407) 240-0.423


## EXHIBIT "D"

## COPART OPERATIONS

Storage and online auction and sale of used and damaged (a) vehicles, (b) trailers, (c) watercraft, and (d) powersports, industrial and construction equipment (collectively, "Inventory"), and ancillary receiving, shipping, lien auction and administrative activities related thereto.

After being received at the Copart facility ("Facility"), Inventory is listed for sale on Copart's proprietary online auction-style website and mobile apps for purchase only by Copart registered members ("Members"). All bids are submitted and accepted electronically, without the use of a live auctioneer. Members are provided the opportunity to inspect Inventory at the Facility, although most inspections are limited to viewing Inventory images and information made available online. Members may electronically submit preliminary bids from (i) anywhere in the world via a personal computer or mobile device with internet access (each, a "Remote Online Device"), or (ii) a limited number of computer kiosks located at the Facility. The high preliminary bid is carried over to the online virtual sale, during which Members may submit bids electronically only from a Remote Online Device.

All Inventory is sold to the Member with the highest bid ("New Owner"), who then arranges for pickup and transportation of their Inventory from the Facility. Payment for sold Inventory may be made electronically, via wire-transfer, or in person at the Facility or any other Copart facility located in the United States. Titles to sold Inventory are either picked up by the New Owner along with the sold Inventory, or mailed by Copart to the New Owner.


Burkett $\mid$ civienginezang

## EXHBT E

ros E. Robinion Stroent, Suite sol Oriando, Florido 52801
(407) 246 -1200 Fax (407) 246 -0023

MID-FLORIDA LOGISTICS PARK

Project № 18008, v2.0
August 2018

## TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS CITY OF APOPKA FLORIDA



3101 Maguire Boulevard, Suite 265
Orlando, Florida 32803
www.trafficmobility.com (407) 531-5332

Prepared for:
BlueScope Properties Group
1540 Genessee Street Kansas City, Missouri 64102

ARCO National Construction-KC
5015 NW Canal Street, Suite 110
Riverside, Missouri 64150
Dave Schmitt Engineering, Inc
12301 Lake Underhill Road, Suite 241
Orlando, Florida 32828

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Project Information

| Name: | Mid-Florida Logistics Park |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location: | South of General Electric Road, east of Hermit Smith Road and west of |
|  | SR 429, in the City of Apopka, Florida. |
| Description: | $2,459,755$ square feet of warehousing |

## Findings

Trip Generation: 3,444 ADT / 246 PM Peak Hour Trips
Approximately 20\% of trips projected to be trucks.

Roadway Capacity: All study segments currently operate within their adopted capacity and are projected to continue to do so at project buildout.

Intersection Capacity: All study intersections operate at adequate LOS and are projected to continue to do so at project buildout. Except for US 441 \& Hermit Smith Road.

Mitigation: The deficient intersection of US 441 and Hermit Smith Road will require a dedicated northbound right turn lane to more efficiently serve the projected traffic volume.

A modified timing plan may be implemented in the future as vested and project traffic materialize on the minor approaches to the intersection.

Mid-Florida Logistics Park Traffic Impact Analysis Project № 18008, v2.0 Executive Summary

## PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I am a Professional Engineer properly registered in the State of Florida practicing with Traffic \& Mobility Consultants LLC, a corporation authorized to operate as an engineering business, CA-30024, by the State of Florida Department of Professional Regulation, Board of Professional Engineers, and that I have prepared or approved the evaluations, findings, opinions, conclusions, or technical advice attached hereto for:

PROJECT: Mid-Florida Logistics Park
LOCATION: City of Apopka, Florida
CLIENT: Dave Schmitt Engineering, Inc

I hereby acknowledge that the procedures and references used to develop the results contained in these computations are standard to the professional practice of Transportation Engineering as applied through professional judgment and experience.

| NAME: | Mohammed N. Abdallah |
| :--- | :--- |
| P.E. No.: | Florida P.E. No. 56169 |
| DATE: | August 30,2018 |

SIGNATURE:
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This traffic analysis was performed to assess the impact of the proposed Mid-Florida Logistics Park development located south of General Electric Road, east of Hermit Smith Road and west of SR 429, in the City of Apopka. Figure 1 depicts the location of the project site and the surrounding roadway network.

The analysis was updated to address comments received by the City, included in Appendix A and to reflect the revised development plan, which includes a total of $2,459,755$ square feet of warehousing at full buildout in the year 2022. A preliminary site plan is provided in Appendix B.

The following analysis was conducted in accordance with the approved methodology, included in Appendix C. The analysis considers the project's impacts on the following roadways and intersections, within the project's influence area:

## Roadway Segments

US 441 (Orange Blossom Trail)

- Yothers Road to SR 429 Connector
- SR 429 Connector to Plymouth Sorrento Road
- Plymouth Sorrento Road to Boy Scout Boulevard
- Boy Scout Boulevard to Errol Parkway General Electric Road
- Hermit Smith Road to Orange Avenue

Binion Road/Orange Avenue (CR 437)

- Boy Scout Boulevard to Lakeview Drive
- Lakeview Drive to US 441

Hermit Smith Road

- General Electric Road to US 441

Hogshead Road

- Hermit Smith Road to Conrad Road


## Study Intersections

The following intersection will be analyzed for PM peak hour capacity and operations:

- General Electric Road \& Orange Avenue - Plymouth Sorrento Road \& US 441
- Hermit Smith Road \& General Electric Road - Boy Scout Boulevard \& US 441
- Hermit Smith Road \& US 441
- SR 429 Connector Road \& US 441
- Orange Avenue \& US 441
- General Electric Road \& Access
- Hermit Smith Road \& Access

Data used in the analysis consisted of site plan/development information provided by the Project Engineers, PM peak hour traffic counts obtained by Traffic \& Mobility Consultants LLC (TMC), road segment data obtained from the City of Apopka, and seasonal data obtained from Florida Department of Transportations (FDOT).
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### 2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

### 2.1 Roadway Segment Capacity

Table 1 presents a summary of the existing conditions for the roadway segments examined in this study. Roadway segments were analyzed by comparing the existing Level of Service (LOS) for each roadway segment with the adopted LOS standard. Existing Daily and PM peak hour directional traffic volumes, capacities, and committed trips were obtained from the City of Apopka's Encumbered Traffic Allocation Worksheets, which are included in Appendix D.

Table 1
Existing Roadway Capacity Analysis

| Roadway Segment | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { No } \\ \text { Lns } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOS } \\ & \text { Std } \end{aligned}$ | Daily |  |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cap | Volume | Comm | Total | LOS | Cap | Volume | PD | Comm | Total | LOS |
| US 441 (Orange Blossom Trail) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yothers Road to SR 429 Connector Road | 4 | D | 49,500 | 41,556 | 3,419 | 44,975 | A | 2,480 | 2,045 | SB/WB | 345 | 2,390 | B |
| SR 429 Connector Road to Plymouth Sorrento Road | 4 | D | 49,500 | 24,974 | 5,179 | 30,153 | A | 2,480 | 1,114 | NB/EB | 444 | 1,558 | A |
| Plymouth Sorrento Road to Boy Scout Boulevard | 4 | D | 49,500 | 28,506 | 1,956 | 30,462 | A | 2,480 | 1,310 | NB/EB | 260 | 1,570 | A |
| Boy Scout Boulevard to Errol Parkway | 4 | D | 55,400 | 29,279 | 659 | 29,938 | A | 2,600 | 1,179 | SB/WB | 58 | 1,237 | A |
| Binion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boy Scout Boulevard to Lakeview Drive | 2 | E | 17,700 | 4,774 | 1,669 | 6,443 | C | 880 | 278 | NB/EB | 141 | 419 | C |
| Lakeview Drive to US 441 | 2 | E | 17,700 | 4,009 | 1,210 | 5,219 | c | 880 | 244 | SB/WB | 139 | 383 | C |
| General Electric Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hermit Smith Road to Orange Avenue | 2 | D | 13,300 | 532 | 713 | 1,245 | C | 680 | 38 | NB/EB | 101 | 139 | C |
| Hermit Smith Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Electric Road to US 441 | 2 | E | 15,900 | 691 | 802 | 1,493 | C | 790 | 53 | NB/EB | 113 | 166 | C |
| Hogshead Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hermit Smith Road to Conrad Road | 2 | E | 14,000 | 777 | 890 | 1,667 | C | 720 | 107 | NB/EB | 57 | 164 | C |

The existing conditions analysis reveals that the study roadway segments currently operate at satisfactory LOS.

### 2.2 Intersection Capacity

An intersection analysis was conducted using the Synchro Software and the methods of the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM). The capacity analysis was performed using the existing intersection geometries and traffic volumes during the PM peak hour. Based on the date of the data collection, a seasonal factor of 1.04 was applied to the traffic volumes to adjust for seasonal variation in traffic volumes. The field counts along with FDOT's 2017 Seasonal Factor report are included in Appendix E.

The existing intersection volumes are displayed in Figure 2. A summary of the intersection capacity analysis is shown in Table 2, which indicates that the study intersections currently operate at satisfactory LOS. Detailed analysis worksheets are included in Appendix F.

Table 2
Existing Intersection Capacity Analysis

| Intersection | Control | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  | Overall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS |
|  <br> Hermit Smith Rd | Signal | 6.9 | A | 1.8 | A | 72.5 | E | 72.2 | E | 7.8 | A |
|  <br> SR 429 Connector Rd | Signal | 26.1 | C | 4.0 | A | 98.4 | F | 48.0 | D | 21.0 | C |
| US 441 \& Orange Ave | Signal | 0.5 | A | 6.9 | A | 68.9 | E | -- | -- | 13.4 | B |
|  <br> Plymouth Sorrento Rd | Signal | 4.0 | A | 9.4 | A | 92.3 | F | -- | -- | 14.8 | B |
| US 441 \& Boy Scout Blvd | Signal | 14.1 | B | 9.7 | A | 72.6 | E | 63.4 | E | 19.9 | B |
| Hermit Smith Rd \& General Electric Rd | TWSC | -- | -- | 9.2 | A | -- | -- | 7.6 | A | -- | -- |
| General Electric Rd \& Orange Ave | TWSC | 11.2 | B | -- | -- | 7.9 | A | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Average delay is expressed seconds/vehicle


### 3.0 PROJECT TRAFFIC

### 3.1 Trip Generation

Information published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in the Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition was used to determine the trip generation of the proposed development as summarized in Table 3. ITE Code 154 for High-Cube Transload and Short-Term Storage Warehouse trip generation rates which were used in trip generation calculations. Detailed trip generation sheets are included in Appendix G.

Table 3
Trip Generation Analysis

| ITE <br> Code | Land Use | Size | Daily |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rate | Trips | Rate | Total | Enter | Exit |  |
| 154 | Warehouse | $2,459.8 \mathrm{KSF}$ | 1.40 | 3,444 | 0.10 | 246 | 69 | 177 |  |

Trip generation analysis based on ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition.

The proposed development generates a total of 3,444 trips per day, of which 246 trips will occur during the PM peak hour.

### 3.2 Truck Traffic

Based on information from the ITE Trip Generation Manual, approximately $20 \%$ of the trips generated by the warehouse development are anticipated to be truck trips. The remaining trips are generated by employees, deliveries, visitors and other business activity that supports the truck transport activities at the warehouse. For the proposed development, it is expected that most truck traffic will use the newly completed expressway to access the regional transportation network, as will be reflected in the project's trip distribution pattern.

### 3.3 Trip Distribution/Assignment

To assign the peak hour trips generated by the proposed development to the study roadways, a distribution pattern in the general vicinity of the project site was determined based on the OUATS model output, included in Appendix H, which was modified to reflect the local network and prevailing traffic patterns. The project's trip distribution pattern is provided in Figure 3.


### 4.0 PROJECTED CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

Projected traffic conditions were analyzed for Daily and PM peak hour traffic for the anticipated buildout year 2022.

### 4.1 Background Traffic Volumes

The analysis of the background traffic was performed to ascertain the future conditions prior to the development of Mid-Florida Logistics Park. Background traffic consists of existing traffic and committed trips from approved developments in the area, which were obtained from the City's Encumbered Traffic Allocation Worksheets. Background traffic volumes were calculated by adding the existing volumes to the committed trips $(E+C)$ for each of the study segments.

### 4.2 Roadway Segment Capacity

The roadway segment analysis was performed by comparing the projected LOS of the roadway with the adopted LOS standard. This analysis is summarized in Table 4 for full buildout of the development, which reveals that the segments will continue to operate at satisfactory LOS in the projected condition.

Table 4
Projected Roadway Capacity Analysis

|  | No | LOS | Daily |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Segment | Lns | Std | Cap | Volume | Comm | Dist | Project | Total | LOS | Cap | Volume | PD | Comm | Project | Total | LOS |
| US 441 (Orange Blossom Trail) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yothers Road to SR 429 Connector Road | 4 | D | 49,500 | 41,556 | 3,419 | 53\% | 1,825 | 44,975 | A | 2,480 | 2,045 | SB/WB | 345 | 94 | 2,390 | B |
| SR 429 Connector Road to Plymouth Sorrento Road | 4 | D | 49,500 | 24,974 | 5,179 | 11\% | 379 | 30,153 | A | 2,480 | 1,114 | NB/EB | 444 | 19 | 1,558 | A |
| Plymouth Sorrento Road to Boy Scout Boulevard | 4 | D | 49,500 | 28,506 | 1,956 | 11\% | 379 | 30,462 | A | 2,480 | 1,310 | NB/EB | 260 | 19 | 1,570 | A |
| Boy Scout Boulevard to Errol Parkway | 4 | D | 55,400 | 29,279 | 659 | 25\% | 861 | 29,938 | A | 2,600 | 1,179 | SB/WB | 58 | 44 | 1,237 | A |
| Binion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boy Scout Boulevard to Lakeview Drive | 2 | E | 17,700 | 4,774 | 1,669 | 6\% | 207 | 6,443 | C | 880 | 278 | NB/EB | 141 | 11 | 419 | C |
| Lakeview Drive to US 441 | 2 | E | 17,700 | 4,009 | 1,210 | 20\% | 689 | 5,219 | c | 880 | 244 | SBMB | 139 | 35 | 383 | c |
| General Electric Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hermit Smith Road to Orange Avenue | 2 | D | 15,900 | 532 | 713 | 25\% | 861 | 1,245 | c | 680 | 38 | NB/EB | 101 | 44 | 139 | c |
| Hermit Smith Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Electric Road to US 441 | 2 | E | 15,900 | 691 | 802 | 75\% | 2,583 | 1,493 | c | 790 | 53 | NB/EB | 113 | 133 | 166 | c |
| Hogshead Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hermit Smith Road to Conrad Road | 2 | E | 14,000 | 777 | 890 | 0\% | 0 | 1,667 | c | 720 | 58 | NB/EB | 126 | 0 | 184 | c |

### 4.3 Intersection Capacity

To assess the background and projected operating conditions at the study intersections, an intersection capacity analysis was conducted using background traffic volumes and projected traffic volumes. The intersection was analyzed using Synchro Software. Projected peak hour volumes were calculated by adding background traffic and project trips at the intersections.

The projected intersection volumes are shown in Figure 4 and intersection analysis for both background and projected volumes are summarized Table 5. Detailed printouts of the analysis are included in Appendix I.

Table 5
Projected Intersection Capacity Analysis

| Intersection | Control | Scenario | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  | Overall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS |
| US 441 \& Hermit Smith Rd | Signal | Background | 8.9 | A | 1.3 | A | 207.0 | F | 595.8 | F | 56.9 | E |
|  |  | Projected | 9.7 | A | 2.6 | A | 536.7 | F | 709.1 | F | 98.5 | F |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 441 \text { \& } \\ & \text { SR } 429 \text { Connector Rd } \end{aligned}$ | Signal | Background | 53.5 | D | 18.2 | B | 98.4 | F | 47.7 | D | 37.3 | D |
|  |  | Projected | 81.6 | F | 19.6 | B | 98.4 | F | 48.5 | D | 50.8 | D |
| US 441 \& Orange Ave | Signal | Background | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A | 113.3 | F | -- | -- | 14.5 | B |
|  |  | Projected | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A | 120.7 | F | -- | -- | 15.6 | B |
|  <br> Plymouth Sorrento Rd | Signal | Background | 5.6 | A | 12.2 | B | -- | -- | 251.7 | F | 38.8 | D |
|  |  | Projected | 5.7 | A | 12.4 | B | -- | -- | 250.2 | F | 38.5 | D |
|  <br> Boy Scout Blvd | Signal | Background | 6.5 | A | 3.9 | A | 84.8 | F | 130.1 | F | 22.9 | C |
|  |  | Projected | 6.6 | A | 4.0 | A | 100.1 | F | 141.4 | F | 26.0 | C |
| Hermit Smith Rd \& General Electric Rd | TWSC | Background | -- | -- | 9.2 | A | -- | -- | 7.6 | A | -- | -- |
|  |  | Projected | -- | -- | 10.6 | B | -- | -- | 7.9 | A | -- | -- |
| General Electric Rd \& Orange Ave | TWSC | Background | 13.3 | B | -- | -- | 8.2 | A | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  |  | Projected | 14.3 | B | -- | -- | 8.2 | A | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| General Electric Rd \& West Access | TWSC | Projected | -- | -- | 7.6 | A | 10.0 | A | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| General Electric Rd \& Main Access | TWSC | Projected | -- | -- | 7.5 | A | 9.9 | A | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| General Electric Rd \& East Access | TWSC | Projected | -- | -- | 7.6 | A | 9.6 | A | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hermit Smith Rd \& South Access | TWSC | Projected | -- | -- | 8.8 | A | -- | -- | 7.5 | A | -- | -- |

The results of the background and projected analysis indicate that the intersections will continue to operate at satisfactory overall LOS, except for the intersection of Hermit Smith Road and US 441. This intersection is projected to become deficient on the side streets with the additional committed and project traffic.
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### 4.4 Intersection Mitigation

In order to mitigate the projected deficiency at the intersection of Hermit Smith Road and US 441, additional capacity will be necessary to serve the minor approaches. The northbound and southbound approaches to the intersection are currently a single shared lane for all movements on each approach. The configuration results in a concurrent signal phase for all minor street movements. Additionally, the current timing plan at the intersection does not account for the significant number of committed and project trips that would be on the side streets in the long term. As vested and project traffic materialize on the minor approaches, it is reasonable to consider that the intersection timing plan would be modified to respond to the growing volumes in the future.

Therefore, to mitigate the project's impact and improve the overall operations at the intersection, it is recommended that a dedicated northbound right turn lane is constructed. The additional lane will facilitate the movement of right turning traffic traveling from Hermit Smith Road toward the SR 429 expressway via US 441.

The results of the capacity analysis with the right turn lane and a slightly modified timing plan are summarized in Table 5. The worksheet is included in Appendix J.

Table 5
Improved Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | Control | Scenario | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  | Overall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection |  |  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS |
|  <br> Hermit Smith Rd | Signal | Improved | 17.4 | B | 4.0 | A | 57.4 | E | 658.4 | F | 58.1 | E |

### 5.0 STUDY CONCLUSIONS

This traffic analysis was performed to assess the impact of the proposed Mid-Florida Logistics Park development. The site is located south of General Electric Road, east of Hermit Smith Road and west of SR 429, in the City of Apopka. The development will include a total of 2,459,755 square feet of warehousing at full buildout. The results of the analysis as documented herein are summarized below:

- The proposed development will generate a new daily traffic volume of 3,444 trips per day, of which 246 trips will occur during the PM peak hour. Approximately $20 \%$ of the total project traffic will be truck traffic.
- Analysis of roadway segment capacity reveals that all study segments currently operate within their adopted capacity and are projected to continue to do so at both project buildout phases.
- Analysis of the study intersections indicates that they currently operate at adequate LOS and are projected to continue to do so at buildout of the project, except for the intersection of US 441 and Hermit Smith Road.
- The intersection will require a dedicated northbound right turn lane to more efficiently serve traffic traveling toward SR 429 via eastbound US 441.
- A modified timing plan may be necessary in to future as vested and project traffic materialize on the minor approaches to intersection.


## APPENDICES

Appendix A
Response to City of Apopka Comments

# CITY OF APOPKA DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE COMMENTS 

DATE: July 25, 2018<br>NAME: Pamela Richmond, AICP - Senior Planner<br>DEPARTMENT: Community Development<br>TELEPHONE: 407-703-1764 FAX: 407-703-1686 Email: pricchmond@apopka.net<br>PROJECT: Mid-Florida Logistics Park<br>PLAN NO: SPR18-16 PUD Master Plan - $2^{\text {nd }}$ submittal

## Traffic Impact Analysis Comments:

1. Revise the trip generation table to reflect the new site plan.
2. Revise Table 4, Projected Roadway Capacity Analysis, using the revised trip generation.
3. In Figure 4, there does not appear to be any committed trips represented on Hermit Smith Road or General Electric Road. However, committed trips are documented in Table 4. Please revise Figure 4 and any of the operational analyses included in the study that do not include the committed trips.
4. Table 5 shows the intersection of US 441 at Hermit Smith Rd not meeting the LOS standards for the approaching roadway segments. Specifically, the westbound direction is impacted dropping from an LOS C to an LOS F in the projected traffic conditions. This is in part due to changes in the signal timing assumptions between the existing, background and project traffic scenarios. Mitigation for the project traffic should not negatively impact the other approaches.

This study was done at a time when US 441 was under construction and before FDOT completed a signal timing study for the US 441 corridor. The current signal timing for signalized study intersections on US 441 should be revised using the new signal timing to ensure the analysis is consistent with the new coordinated signal plan.
5. The TIA does not outline the existing or proposed truck traffic percentages. The applicant should provide estimates of truck traffic and the ability of the surrounding roads (including General Electric Road, Orange Avenue, and Hermit Smith Road) to support the projected loads. If the roadway infrastructure is not suitable for proposed amount of truck travel, mitigation should be proposed.
6. Central Florida Expressway has provided comments on the TIA (see attached). Please address these comments and include as a part of your response to the City's comments.

From: Bickar, Scott
Sent: Thursday, July 19, 2018 11:03 AM
To: Jackson, Raymond Keith [kjackson@dewberry.com](mailto:kjackson@dewberry.com)
Subject: RE: TIA for Mid Florida Logistics Park
Keith,

A 2,561,800 warehouse development is being proposed in the southwest quadrant of the SR 429 interchange at US 441 and SR 429 Connector Road. The warehouse is projected to generate 3587 daily trips with 256 of them occurring during the PM Peak Hour. Full buildout of the development is anticipated in 2022. 41\% of the trips generated will utilize SR 429 with $9 \%$ coming from the north and $33 \%$ coming from the south. The following comments were developed after reviewing FDOT TIA guidelines:

- Include analysis for the single point intersection at SR 429 (Ramps) and SR 429 Connector Road. The intersection is located within the 1 mile study area radius and will be impacted by $42 \%$ of the added trips from the development.
- Include analysis for the SR 429 Connector Road and Plymouth Sorrento Road intersection. The intersection is located within the 1 mile study area radius.
- Traffic patterns in the area have changed due to the opening of Wekiva Phase II at the end of March 2018. Existing traffic counts were taken March 13, 2018. Since there is significant change in traffic patterns consider obtaining new counts.
- The report only addresses the PM peak hour. Was the AM peak hour considered?
- Provide analysis for full buildout of the development in 2022.
- Include a future conditions analysis for a future horizon year. Typically 10 years from full buildout.
- Was mitigation considered for the SB SR 429 Connector Road approach to US 441. The projected SB delay is 121.5 seconds ( $F$ ) which is a 25.3 second increase in delay from the existing condition.


## Thanks

[^2]From: Jackson, Raymond Keith
Sent: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 9:04 AM
To: Glenn Pressimone [Glenn.Pressimone@cfxway.com](mailto:Glenn.Pressimone@cfxway.com)
Cc: Will Hawthorne [Will.Hawthorne@cfxway.com](mailto:Will.Hawthorne@cfxway.com); Bickar, Scott [sbickar@Dewberry.com](mailto:sbickar@Dewberry.com)
Subject: RE: TIA for Mid Florida Logistics Park

We will have this reviewed by Friday.

August 30, 2018

Ms. Pamela Richmond, AICP<br>Community Development<br>City of Apopka<br>120 E Main Street<br>Apopka, Florida 32703

## Email: prichmond@apopka.net

Re: Mid-Florida Logistics Park
Response to Review Comments
TMC Project № 18008
City of Apopka, Florida
Ms. Richmond,
Please find below our response to the City of Apopka review comments dated July 25, 2018 and Central Florida Expressway comments dated July 19, 2018, regarding the above referenced Traffic Impact Analysis dated March 2018. The comments are listed in bold typeface and the TMC responses follow in italic typeface.

## CITY OF APOPKA COMMENTS

1. Revise the trip generation table to reflect the new site plan.

TMC Response: The trip generation table has been revised to reflect the new plan.
2. Revise Table 4, Projected Roadway Capacity Analysis, using the revised trip generation.

TMC Response: Table 4 has been revised
3. In Figure 4, there does not appear to be any committed trips represented on Hermit Smith Road or General Electric Road. However, committed trips are documented in Table 4. Please revise Figure 4 and any of the operational analyses included in the study that do not include the committed trips.

TMC Response: Figure 4 and the intersection analyses have been revised to include the committed trips.
4. Table 5 shows the intersection of US 441 at Hermit Smith Rd not meeting the LOS standards for the approaching roadway segments. Specifically, the westbound direction is impacted dropping from an LOS C to an LOS F in the projected traffic conditions. This is in part due to changes in the signal timing assumptions between the existing, background and project traffic scenarios. Mitigation for the project traffic should not negatively impact the other approaches.
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This study was done at a time when US 441 was under construction and before FDOT completed a signal timing study for the US 441 corridor. The current signal timing for signalized study intersections on US 441 should be revised using the new signal timing to ensure the analysis is consistent with the new coordinated signal plan.

TMC Response: The analysis was revised with the updated traffic volumes, committed trips, and newly implemented signal timing plans. The results indicate that the intersection will require a northbound right turn lane on Hermit Smith Road to adequately accommodate project trips.
5. The TIA does not outline the existing or proposed truck traffic percentages. The applicant should provide estimates of truck traffic and the ability of the surrounding roads (including General Electric Road, Orange Avenue, and Hermit Smith Road) to support the projected loads. If the roadway infrastructure is not suitable for proposed amount of truck travel, mitigation should be proposed.

TMC Response: The TIA has been updated to include a discussion of projected truck traffic volumes generated by the development on a daily basis. The structural capacity of the pavement on Hermit Smith Road and on General Electric Road will be addressed separately with the City outside of the TIA.
6. Central Florida Expressway has provided comments on the TIA (see attached). Please address these comments and include as a part of your response to the City's comments.

TMC Response: Noted. Please see responses to Central Florida Expressway comments below.

## CENTRAL FLORIDA EXPRESSWAY COMMENTS

1. Include analysis for the single point intersection at SR 429 \{Ramps) and SR 429 Connector Road. The intersection is located within the 1 mile study area radius and will be impacted by $42 \%$ of the added trips from the development.

TMC Response: The interchange at SR 429 was recently completed based on design traffic volumes projected for the life of the facility. This development is a minor generator of traffic in comparison to the design traffic volumes for which the facility was designed.
2. Include analysis for the SR 429 Connector Road and Plymouth Sorrento Road intersection. The intersection is located within the 1 mile study area radius.

TMC Response: Based on a study methodology reviewed and approved by the City of Apopka, these facilities are not within the project's study area. This development is a minor generator of traffic in comparison to the design traffic volumes for which the facility was designed.
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3. Traffic patterns in the area have changed due to the opening of Wekiva Phase II at the end of March 2018. Existing traffic counts were taken March 13, 2018. Since there is significant change in traffic patterns consider obtaining new counts.

TMC Response: Updated traffic counts were obtained within the study area on August 28, 2018.
5. The report only addresses the PM peak hour. Was the AM peak hour considered?

TMC Response: The City of Apopka requires PM peak hour analysis.
6. Provide analysis for full build out of the development in 2022.

TMC Response: The buildout analysis is provided.
7. Include a future conditions analysis for a future horizon year. Typically 10 years from full buildout.

TMC Response: An analysis of future horizon is not required or customary for development projects in the City of Apopka.
8. Was mitigation considered for the SB SR 429 Connector Road approach to US 441. The projected SB delay is 121.5 seconds $\{F$ ) which is a 25.3 second increase in delay from the existing condition.

TMC Response: The intersection is projected to operate adequately at project buildout. No additional mitigation is recommended.

## END OF COMMENTS

We trust these responses address the review comments. A revised analysis will be provided for your further review. We remain available to discuss this matter further or to answer any questions.


Mohammed N. Abdallah, PE, PTOE

Appendix B
Preliminary Site Plan

Appendix C
Mid-Florida Logistics Park Methodology

## MEMORANDUM

February 28, 2018

## Re: Mid-Florida Logistics Park

Traffic Impact Analysis Methodology
Project № 18008

The following is an outline of the methodology for the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the above referenced project.

## Project Description

The proposed development is approximately $2,562,800$ square feet of the high-cube transload and short-term storage warehouse, which will include five buildings at full buildout. A conceptual site plan is included in the Attachments.

## Project Location

The site is located south of General Electric Road, east of Hermit Smith Road and west of the Western Beltway, in the City of Apopka, Florida, as illustrated in Figure 1.


Figure 1 - Study Area

## Project Access

Access to the site will be provided via two access points on General Electric Road and one access point on Hermit Smith Road, as shown in the conceptual plan included in the Attachments.

## Trip Generation

The trip generation analysis was conducted using information published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in the Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition for the High Cube Transload and Short-Term Storage Warehouse land use, which most accurately reflect the proposed use of the site. Table 1 summarizes the results of the trip generation analysis for the development. The ITE information sheets are included in the Attachments.

Table 1
Trip Generation Summary

| ITE <br> Code | Land Use | Size | Daily |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rate | Trips | Rate | Total | Enter | Exit |  |
| 154 | Warehouse | $2,562.8 \mathrm{KSF}$ | 1.40 | 3,588 | 0.10 | 256 | 69 | 187 |  |

Trip generation analysis based on ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition.
The proposed development is projected to generate 3,588 new daily trips of which 256 trips occur during the PM peak hour. It should be noted that approximately $33 \%$ of the total daily sitegenerated trips and $22 \%$ of PM peak hour project trips are anticipated to be truck traffic based on ITE information.

## Trip Distribution

The Orlando Urban Area Transportation Study (OUATS) model was used to generate an areawide travel distribution pattern for the development. The model output is included in the Attachments.

The model shows that traffic using Binion Road will merge to SR 429 downstream, also more traffic is indicated to use SR 451 and Plymouth Sorrento Road rather than using SR 429 located east of the property. Manual modification and adjustments were made to reflect the nature of the proposed development, which includes moving all trips indicated on SR 451 on to SR 429, half the trips on Binion Road on to SR 429 and reducing the number of project trips on Plymouth Sorrento Road. Finally, these modifications placed approximately $42 \%$ of the project trips on SR 429, with the anticipated truck percentage and the likelihood of trucks using SR 429, the distribution pattern will reflect the proposed development. The proposed distribution pattern for use in this study is illustrated in Figure 2.


Figure 2 - Proposed Distribution Pattern

## Study Area

## Study Segments

The following roadway segments located within 1-mile of the site will be analyzed for Daily and PM peak hour capacity:

US 441 (Orange Blossom Trail)

- Yothers Road to SR 429 Connector Road
- SR 429 Connector Road to Plymouth Sorrento Road
- Plymouth Sorrento Road to Boy Scout Boulevard
- Boy Scout Boulevard to Errol Parkway

Binion Road (CR 437)/Orange Avenue (CR 437)

- Boy Scout Boulevard to Lakeview Drive
- Lakeview Drive to US 441


## General Electric Road

- Hermit Smith Road to Orange Avenue


## Hermit Smith Road

- General Electric Road to US 441


## Hogshead Road

- Hermit Smith Road to Conrad Road


## Study Intersections

The following intersection will be analyzed for PM peak hour capacity and operations:

- General Electric Road and Orange Avenue
- Hermit Smith Road and General Electric Road
- SR 429 Connector Road and US 441
- Hermit Smith Road and US 441
- Orange Avenue and US 441
- Boy Scout Boulevard and US 441
- Proposed access points and General Electric Road


## Peterson Road

An existing public Right-of-way (ROW), known as Peterson Road, runs from Kings Street in the east, through the property east to the western boundary. The ROW varies in width and passes under SR 429 at an underpass. This ROW traverses the proposed development and bisects the planned $1,024,400$ square foot warehouse building (Building 2 on the plans included in the Attachments). Therefore, the analysis will evaluate access conditions to the site without the need to connect Peterson Road through the property. If these currently proposed connections on General Electric Road and Hermit Smith Road are projected to adequately serve the property, then it will be determined that Peterson Road is not essential for access, which supports a request to vacate the existing ROW.

## Documentation

A report documenting the methods, assumptions, and findings of the traffic analysis will be prepared for submittal to the City in support of the development application.

Appendix D
City of Apopka CMS Data

## City of Apopka

2014 Traffic Count Program
Roadway Service Volumes (Capacities)

| Class <br> Roadway Segment | No. of Lanes | Posted Speed Limit | A.M./P.M.Peak Hour Directional Capacities ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Daily Capacities ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| Major Arterial SR 436 (Semoran Boulevard) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 to Sheeler Rd | 8LD | 45 | - | - | 3,970 | 4,040 | 4,040 | - | - | 78,800 | 80,100 | 80,100 |
| Sheeler Rd to Thompson Rd | 8LD | 45 | - | - | 3,970 | 4,040 | 4,040 | - | - | 78,800 | 80,100 | 80,100 |
| Thompson Rd to Roger Williams Rd | 8LD | 45 | - | - | 3,970 | 4,040 | 4,040 | - | - | 78,800 | 80,100 | 80,100 |
| Roger Williams Rd to Piedmont-Wekiva Rd | 8LD | 45 | - | - | 3,970 | 4,040 | 4,040 | - | - | 78,800 | 80,100 | 80,100 |
| Piedmont-Wekiva Rd to Seminole County Line | 6LD | 45 | - | - | 2,940 | 3,020 | 3,020 | - | - | 58,400 | 59,900 | 59,900 |
| US 441 (Orange Blossom Trail) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ponkan Rd to Yothers Rd | 4LD | 55 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| 2* Yothers Rd to SR 429 Connector Rd | 4LD | 55 | 2,370 | 2,480 | 2,480 | 2,480 | 2,480 | 47,200 | 49,500 | 49,500 | 49,500 | 49,500 |
| 2* SR 429 Connector Rd to Plymouth Sorrento Rd | 4LD | 45 | 2,370 | 2,480 | 2,480 | 2,480 | 2,480 | 47,200 | 49,500 | 49,500 | 49,500 | 49,500 |
| 2* Plymouth Sorrento Rd to Boy Scout Blvd | 4LD | 45 | 2,370 | 2,480 | 2,480 | 2,480 | 2,480 | 47,200 | 49,500 | 49,500 | 49,500 | 49,500 |
| 2* Boy Scout Blvd to Errol Pkwy | 4LD | 45 | 2,270 | 2,520 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 48,300 | 53,600 | 55,400 | 55,400 | 55,400 |
| 2* Errol Pkwy to SR 451 | 4LD | 45 | 2,270 | 2,520 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 48,300 | 53,600 | 55,400 | 55,400 | 55,400 |
| 2* SR 451 to Bradshaw Rd | 4LD | 45 | 2,270 | 2,520 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 48,300 | 53,600 | 55,400 | 55,400 | 55,400 |
| 2* Bradshaw Rd to Hawthorne Ave | 5 L | 45 | 1,980 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 52,500 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 |
| 2* Hawthorne Ave to Central Ave | 5L | 35 | 1,980 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 52,500 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 |
| 2* Central Ave to Park Ave | 5L | 35 | 1,980 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 52,500 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 |
| 2* Park Ave to Highland Ave | 5L | 35 | 1,980 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 52,500 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 |
| 2* Highland Ave to Alabama Ave | 5L | 35 | 1,980 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 52,500 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 |
| 2* Alabama Ave to SR 436 | 4LD | 35 | 1,980 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 52,500 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 | 57,100 |
| SR 436 to Sheeler Rd | 4LD | 45 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| Sheeler Rd to Roger Williams Rd | 4LD | 45 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| Roger Williams Rd to Piedmont-Wekiva Rd | 4LD | 50 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| Piedmont-Wekiva Rd to Seminole County Line | 4LD | 50 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| Minor Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CR 424 (Alabama Avenue/Apopka Boulevard) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 to 8th St | 2L | 45 | - | - | 370 | 750 | 800 | - | - | 7,300 | 14,800 | 15,600 |
| 8th St to Sheeler Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Sheeler Rd to Lakeville Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Lakeville Rd to Hiawassee Rd | 3L | 45 | - | - | 870 | 920 | 920 | - | - | 17,600 | 18,600 | 18,600 |
| Hiawassee Rd to Overland Rd | 2L | 35 | - | - | 370 | 750 | 800 | - | - | 7,300 | 14,800 | 15,600 |
| Overland Rd to US 441 | 2L | 35 | - | - | 370 | 750 | 800 | - | - | 7,300 | 14,800 | 15,600 |
| Minor Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CR 435 (Rock Spring Road/Park Avenue/Clarcona Road) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kelly Park Rd to Ponkan Rd | 5L | 45 | - | - | 1,530 | 1,580 | 1,580 | - | - | 29,300 | 30,400 | 30,400 |
| Ponkan Rd to Welch Rd | 5L | 45 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| Welch Rd to Sandpiper Rd | 5L | 45 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| Sandpiper Rd to Votaw Rd | 5L | 35 | - | - | 730 | 1,630 | 1,700 | - | - | 14,500 | 32,400 | 33,800 |
| Votaw Rd to Orange St | 5L | 35 | - | - | 730 | 1,630 | 1,700 | - | - | 14,500 | 32,400 | 33,800 |
| Orange St to US 441 | 5L | 35 | - | - | 730 | 1,630 | 1,700 | - | - | 14,500 | 32,400 | 33,800 |
| US 441 to Michael Gladden Blvd | 3L | 30 | - | - | 390 | 790 | 840 | - | - | 7,700 | 15,540 | 16,400 |
| Michael Gladden Blvd to Cleveland St | 2L | 30 | - | - | 370 | 750 | 800 | - | - | 7,300 | 14,800 | 15,600 |
| Cleveland St to Keene Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Keene Rd to McCormick Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| McCormick Rd to Clarcona-Ocoee Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| CR 437A (Ocoee-Apopka Road/Michael Gladden Boulevard/Central Avenue) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| McCormick Rd to Binion Rd | 2L | 45 | - | 420 | 840 | 1,190 | 1,640 | - | 8,600 | 17,000 | 24,200 | 33,300 |
| Binion Rd to SR 429 | 2L | 45 | - | 420 | 840 | 1,190 | 1,640 | - | 8,600 | 17,000 | 24,200 | 33,300 |
| SR 429 to Keene Rd | 2L | 45 | - | 420 | 840 | 1,190 | 1,640 | - | 8,600 | 17,000 | 24,200 | 33,300 |
| Keene Rd to Boy Scout Rd | 2L | 45 | - | 420 | 840 | 1,190 | 1,640 | - | 8,600 | 17,000 | 24,200 | 33,300 |
| Boy Scout Rd to Bradshaw Rd | 2L | 45 | - | 420 | 840 | 1,190 | 1,640 | - | 8,600 | 17,000 | 24,200 | 33,300 |
| Bradshaw Rd to Central Ave | 2L | 30 | - | - | 370 | 750 | 800 | - | - | 7,300 | 14,800 | 15,600 |
| Michael Gladden Blvd to US 441 | 2L | 35 | - | - | 370 | 750 | 800 | - | - | 7,300 | 14,800 | 15,600 |
| Lakeville Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apopka Blvd to Wildwood St | 2L | 35 | - | - | 370 | 750 | 800 | - | - | 7,300 | 14,800 | 15,600 |
| Plymouth-Sorrento Road (CR 437) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Line to Kelly Park Rd | 2L | 45 | - | 450 | 850 | 1,200 | 1,640 | - | 8,700 | 16,400 | 23,100 | 31,500 |
| Kelly Park Rd to Ponkan Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Ponkan Rd to Lester Rd/Yothers Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Lester Rd/Yothers Rd to SR 429 Connector Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 870 | 920 | 920 | - | - | 17,600 | 18,600 | 18,600 |
| SR 429 Connector Rd to US 441 | 2L | 45 | - | - | 870 | 920 | 920 | - | - | 17,600 | 18,600 | 18,600 |

City of Apopka
2014 Traffic Count Program
Roadway Service Volumes (Capacities)

| Class <br> Roadway <br> Segment | No. of Lanes | Posted <br> Speed <br> Limit | A.M./P.M. <br> Peak Hour Directional Capacities ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Daily Capacities ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| Sheeler Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 436 to US 441 | 3L | 35 | - | - | 390 | 790 | 840 | - | - | 7,700 | 15,540 | 16,400 |
| US 441 to Apopka Blvd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Apopka Blvd to Cleveland St | 2L | 45 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Cleveland St to Keene Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Wekiva Springs Road/Piedmont-Wekiwa Road/Hiawassee Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Welch Rd to Votaw Rd | 3L | 45 | - | 470 | 890 | 1,260 | 1,720 | - | 9,100 | 17,200 | 24,300 | 33,100 |
| Votaw Rd to SR 436 | 5L | 45 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| SR 436 to Piedmont Lakes Blvd | 5L | 45 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| Piedmont Lakes Blvd to US 441 | 5L | 45 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| US 441 to CR 424 (Apopka Blvd) | 4LD | 45 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| CR 424 (Apopka Blvd) to SR 414 | 4LD | 45 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| SR 414 to Beggs Rd | 4LD | 45 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| Beggs Rd to Clarcona Ocoee Rd | 4LD | 45 | - | - | 1,910 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - | 37,900 | 39,800 | 39,800 |
| Minor Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Welch Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vick Rd to Rock Springs Rd | 2L | 35 | - | - | 390 | 790 | 840 | - | - | 7,700 | 15,540 | 16,400 |
| Rock Springs Rd to Ustler Rd | 3L | 45 | - | 440 | 880 | 1,250 | 1,720 | - | 9,000 | 17,900 | 25,400 | 35,000 |
| Ustler Road to Thompson Rd | 2L | 45 | - | 420 | 840 | 1,190 | 1,640 | - | 8,600 | 17,000 | 24,200 | 33,300 |
| Thompson Rd to Wekiva Springs Rd | 2L | 45 | - | 420 | 840 | 1,190 | 1,640 | - | 8,600 | 17,000 | 24,200 | 33,300 |
| Minor Collector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2nd Street (Monroe Avenue) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Ave to Park Ave | 2L | 30 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| 5th Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Ave to Highland Ave | 2L | 30 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| 6th Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Ave to Alabama Ave | 2L | 30 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| Alabama Ave to US 441 | 2L | 30 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| 8th Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Ave to Highland Ave | 2L | 30 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| 9th Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Ave to Park Ave | 2L | 30 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| Alabama Ave to Sheeler Ave | 2L | 30 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| 13th Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ocoee-Apopka Rd to Park Ave | 2L | 25 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| Park Ave to Apopka Blvd | 2L | 25 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| Alabama Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monroe Ave to US 441 | 2L | 25 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| Appy Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plymouth-Sorrento Rd to Jason Dwelley Pkwy | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,320 | 14,000 |
| Binion Road (CR 437)/Orange Avenue (CR 437) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ocoee-Apopka Rd to Harmon Rd | 2L | 55 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Harmon Rd to Boy Scout Rd | 2L | 55 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Boy Scout Rd to Lakeview Dr | 2L | 40 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Lakeview Dr to US 441 | 2L | 40 | - | - | 830 | 880 | 880 | - | - | 16,800 | 17,700 | 17,700 |
| Boy Scout Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Binion Rd to Ocoee-Apopka Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Bradshaw Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Old Dixie Hwy to US 441 | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Christiana Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monroe Ave to Votaw Rd | 2L | 30 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| Cleveland Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Ave to Sheeler Rd | 2L | 40 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Edgewood Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monroe Ave to US 441 | 2L | 30 | - | - | 240 | 490 | 520 | - | - | 4,700 | 9,600 | 10,100 |
| Minor Collector (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Errol Parkway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Francis Dr to Lake Alden Dr | 2LD | 25 | - | - | 350 | 710 | 760 | - | - | 6,900 | 13,990 | 14,700 |
| Lake Alden Dr to Old Dixie Hwy | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,320 | 14,000 |
| Old Dixie Hwy to US 441 | 2LD | 30 | - | - | 350 | 710 | 840 | - | - | 6,900 | 14,000 | 14,700 |
| General Electric Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hermit Smith Rd to Orange Ave | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Golden Gem Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kelly Park Rd to Ponkan Rd | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Greenacre Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Piedmont-Wekiwa Rd to Neil Rd | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Haas Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plymouth-Sorrento Rd to Mt Plymouth Rd | 2L | 40 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Harmon Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Binion Rd to Ocoee-Apopka Rd | 2L | 40 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Hawthorne Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 to Ocoee-Apopka Rd | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Ocoee-Apopka Rd to 13th St | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |

## City of Apopka

2014 Traffic Count Program
Roadway Service Volumes (Capacities)

| Class Roadway Segment | No. of Lanes | Posted <br> Speed Limit | $\overline{\prime \prime \text { A.M./P.M. }}$ <br> Peak Hour Directional Capacities ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Daily Capacities ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| Hermit Smith Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Electric Rd to US 441 | 2L | 40 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Highland Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monroe Ave to US 441 | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| US 441 to 6th St | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Hogshead Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hermit Smith Rd to Conrad Rd | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Jason Dwelley Parkway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kelly Park Rd to Ponkan Rd | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Keene Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ocoee-Apopka Rd to Marden Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Marden Rd to Clarcona Rd (CR 435) | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Clarcona Rd (CR 435) to Sheeler Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Kelly Park Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Round Lake Rd to Plymouth-Sorrento Rd | 2L | 55 | - | 450 | 850 | 1,200 | 1,640 | - | 8,700 | 16,400 | 23,100 | 31,500 |
| Plymouth-Sorrento Rd to Jason Dwelley Pkwy | 2L | 55 | - | 450 | 850 | 1,200 | 1,640 | - | 8,700 | 16,400 | 23,100 | 31,500 |
| Jason Dwelley Pkwy to Mt. Plymouth Rd | 2L | 40 | - | 450 | 850 | 1,200 | 1,640 | - | 8,700 | 16,400 | 23,100 | 31,500 |
| Mt. Plymouth Rd to Rock Springs Rd | 2L | 40 | - | 450 | 850 | 1,200 | 1,640 | - | 8,700 | 16,400 | 23,100 | 31,500 |
| Lake Avenue/Marvin C Zanders Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Martin St to Orange St | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Orange St to US 441 | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| US 441 to 4th St | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Lake Cortez Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 436 to Evelyn Dr | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Minor Collector (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Doe Boulevard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 to Dunn Cove Dr | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Lake Francis Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schopke Lester Rd to Errol Pkwy | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Errol Pkwy to Vick Rd | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Lakeville Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildwood St to Beggs Rd | 2L | 50 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Beggs Rd to Clarcona Ocoee Rd | 2L | 50 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Lester Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vick Rd to Schopke Lester Rd | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Schopke Lester Rd to Plymouth Sorrento Rd | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Marden Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ocoee Apopka Rd to Keene Rd | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Martin Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Ave to Lake Ave | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Maine Ave to Vick Rd | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| McCormick Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ocoee-Apopka Rd to Clarcona Rd (CR 435) | 2L | 50 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Midland Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 to 6th St | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Monroe Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Ave to Alabama Ave | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Alabama Ave to Sheeler Rd | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Mt. Plymouth Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kelly Park Rd to Haas Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Haas Rd to Lake County Line | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| North Maine Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Martin St to Old Dixie Hwy | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plymouth-Sorrento Rd to Boy Scout Blvd | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Boy Scout Blvd to Errol Pkwy | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Errol Pkwy to Vick Rd | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Vick Rd to Bradshaw Rd | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Bradshaw Rd to Hawthorne Ave | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Hawthorne Ave to Park Ave | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Ondich Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Round Lake Rd to Plymouth-Sorrento Rd | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Ponkan Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Round Lake Rd to Plymouth-Sorrento Rd | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Plymouth-Sorrento Rd to Vick Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Vick Rd to Rock Springs Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Rock Ridge Boulevard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Springs Rd to Haddington Ct | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |

## City of Apopka

2014 Traffic Count Program
Roadway Service Volumes (Capacities)

| Class <br> Roadway Segment | No. of Lanes | Posted Speed Limit | A.M./P.M.Peak Hour Directional Capacities 1 |  |  |  |  | Daily Capacities ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| Minor Collector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roger Williams Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 to SR 436 | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Round Lake Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ponkan Rd to Sadler Ave | 2L | 50 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Sadler Ave to Kelly Park Rd | 2L | 50 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Kelly Park Rd to Lake County Line | 2L | 50 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Sandpiper Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Ave to Ustler Rd | 2L | 40 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Ustler Rd to Thompson Rd | 2L | 40 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Schopke-Lester Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lester Rd to Old Dixie Hwy | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Sheeler Oaks Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sheeler Rd to Saddleback Ridge | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Summit Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Springs Rd to Lake Ave | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Tanglewild Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Springs Rd to Ulster Rd | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Thompson Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Welch Rd to Votaw Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Votaw Rd to SR 436 | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Ustler Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sandpiper Rd to Welch Rd | 2L | 30 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Vick Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 to Old Dixie Hwy | 4LD | 35 | - | - | 730 | 1,630 | 1,700 | - | - | 14,500 | 32,400 | 33,800 |
| Old Dixie Hwy to Martin St | 2LD | 35 | - | - | 390 | 790 | 840 | - | - | 7,700 | 15,500 | 16,400 |
| Martin St to Welch Rd | 2LD | 35 | - | - | 390 | 790 | 840 | - | - | 7,700 | 15,500 | 16,400 |
| Welch Rd to Lake Francis Dr | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Lake Francis Dr to Lester Rd | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Lester Rd to Ponkan Rd | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Votaw Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Ave to Christiana Ave | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Christiana Ave to Thompson Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Thompson Rd to Wekiva Springs Rd | 2L | 45 | - | - | 750 | 790 | 790 | - | - | 15,100 | 15,900 | 15,900 |
| Woodfield Oaks Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arbor Way to Regal St | 2L | 25 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |
| Yothers Rd/Church Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 to Plymouth Sorrento Rd | 2L | 35 | - | - | 330 | 680 | 720 | - | - | 6,600 | 13,300 | 14,000 |

Notes:
1 - Based on capacities from the Florida DOT 2013 Quality/Level of Service Handbook, Florida DOT ArtPlan2012 Software or Orange County.
2 - Roadway capacities calculated using ArtPlan updated with 2012 software

*     - These segments of US 441 OBT are Constrained.

Source: 2014 Traffic Counts from Luke Transportation Engineering Consultants, Inc.

# City of Apopka CMS 

Daily and PM Peak Hour/Peak Direction (PH/PD) Encumbered Traffic Allocation Worksheets FINAL REPORT

|  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | Revision Date: $\quad 2 / 9 / 2016$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory | Encumbered Trips |  | Daily Traffic |  | PM PH/PD Traffic |  |  |
| Roadway $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Lanes } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Roadway Daily | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Capacity } \\ & \hline \text { PHPD } \end{aligned}$ |  | Daily | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM } \\ \text { PH/PD } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | Base Volume | Available Capacity | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Base } \\ \text { Volume } \end{array}$ | Available Capacity | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 to Sheeler Rd | 8LD | D | 80,100 | 4,040 |  |  |  | 24,039 | 56,061 | 987 | 3,053 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Development Name | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |  | 69.99\% |  | 75.57\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sheeler Rd to Thompson Rd | 8LD | D | 80,100 | 4,040 |  |  |  | 33,464 | 46,636 | 1,438 | 2,602 | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Development Name | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |  | 58.22\% |  | 64.41\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thompson Rd to Roger Williams Rd | 8LD | D | 80,100 | 4,040 |  |  |  | 43,213 | 35,988 | 1,877 | 2,036 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Apopka Gateway Center | 170 | 7 |  | 44.93\% |  | 50.40\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North Park (FF Rests) | 729 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 899 | 127 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roger Williams Rd to Piedmont-Wekiwa Rd | 8LD | D | 80,100 | 4,040 |  |  |  | 42,004 | 37,108 | 1,810 | 2,099 | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Apopka Gateway Center | 259 | 11 |  | 46.33\% |  | 51.96\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North Park (FF Rests) | 729 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 988 | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Piedmont Wekiwa Rd to Seminole County Line | 6LD | D | 59,900 | 3,020 |  |  |  | 58,406 | 916 | 2,507 | 488 | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Apopka Gateway Center | 578 | 25 |  | 1.53\% |  | 16.16\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 578 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 (Orange Blossom Trail) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yothers Rd to SR 429 Connector Rd | 4LD | D | 49,500 | 2,480 |  |  |  | 41,556 | 4,525 | 2,045 | 90 | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avion Point | 1,361 | 64 |  | 9.14\% |  | 3.63\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avion Point West | 1,782 | 252 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chandler Estates | 238 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Orlando Country Airport | 38 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 3,419 | 345 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 429 Connector Rd to Plymouth Sorrento Rd | 4LD | D | 49,500 | 2,480 |  |  |  | 24,974 | 19,347 | 1,114 | 922 | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Apopka Expressway Commerce | 156 | 11 |  | 39.08\% |  | 37.18\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avion Point | 2,643 | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avion Point West | 1,782 | 252 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chandler Estates | 318 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clearlake Landing | 213 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Maudehelen, Phase 1, 2, \& 3 | 32 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Orlando Country Airport | 35 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 5,179 | 444 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plymouth Sorrento Rd to Boy Scout Blvd | 4LD | D | 49,500 | 2,480 |  |  |  | 28,506 | 19,038 | 1,310 | 910 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Apopka Expressway Commerce | 104 | 8 |  | 38.46\% |  | 36.69\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avion Point West | 1,692 | 239 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clearlake Landing | 142 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Maudehelen, Phase 1, 2, \& 3 | 18 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 1,956 | 260 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boy Scout Blvd to Errol Parkway | 4LD | D | 55,400 | 2,600 |  |  |  | 29,279 | 25,462 | 1,179 | 1,363 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clearlake Landing | 355 | 27 |  | 45.96\% |  | 52.42\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Maudehelen, Phase 1, 2, \& 3 | 97 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Poe Reserve | 207 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 659 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Errol Parkway to SR 451 | 4LD | D | 5,009 $\quad 1$ | $230 \quad 1$ |  |  |  | N/A | 4,553 | N/A | 184 | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Poe Reserve | 456 | 46 |  | 90.90\% |  | 80.00\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 456 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Roadway Section | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Lanes } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Adopted Standard |  |  | Applicant Inventory | Encumbered Trips |  | Daily Traffic |  | Revision Date: |  | 2/9/2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM PH/PD Traffic |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | $\xrightarrow{\text { Roadway }}$ Daily | PHPD |  | Daily | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PM} \\ \mathrm{PH} / \mathrm{PD} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Base Volume | Available Capacity | Base Volume | Available Capacity | Peak Direction |
| Wekiva Springs Road/Piedmont-Wekiwa Road/Hiawassee Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Votaw Rd to SR 436 | 5 L | E | 39,800 | 2,000 |  |  |  | 23,591 | 16,078 | 1,304 | 690 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Apopka Gateway Center | 50. | 2 |  | 40.40\% | 1,304 | 34.50\% | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | North Park (FF Rests) | 81 | 4 |  | 40.40\% |  |  |  |
| SR 436 to Piedmont Lakes Blvd |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 131 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 L | E | 39,800 | 2,000 |  |  |  | 26,964 | 12,276 | 1,423 | 542 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Apopka Gateway Center | 269 | 12 |  | 30.84\% |  | 27.10\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North Park (FF Rests) | 291 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 L | E |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 560 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Piedmont Lakes Blvd to US 441 | 5 L | E | 39,800 | 2,000 |  |  |  | 28,809 | 10,700 | 1,772 | 205 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | North Park (FF Rests) | 291 | 23 |  | 26.88\% |  | 10.25\% |  |
| US 441 to CR 424 (Apopka Blvd) | 4LD | E |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 291 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | E | 39,800 | 2,000 |  |  |  | 23,571 | 16,229 | 1,111 | 889 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Development Name | o | $\underline{0}$ |  | 40.78\% |  | 44.45\% |  |
| CR 424 (Apopka Blvd) to SR 414 | 4LD | E | 39,800 | 2,000 | Applicant Inventory Total | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Development Name |  |  | 19,336 | 20,464 | 864 | 1,136 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 51.42\% |  | 56.80\% |  |
| SR 414 to Beggs Rd | 4LD | E | 39,800 | 2,000 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Develópment Name |  |  | 21,460 | 18,340 | 908 | 1,092 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 46.08\% |  | 54.60\% |  |
| Welch Road |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vick Rd to Rock Springs Rd (CR 435) | 2L | E | 16,400 | 840 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Ladybird Academy | 72 | 8 | 10,312 | 5,861 35.74\% | 518 | 298 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Sanctuary Golf Estates (fka Apopka Golf Residence) | 155 | 16 |  | 35.74\% |  | 35.48\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 227 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Springs Rd (CR 435) to Ustler Rd | 3 L | E | 35,000 | 1,720 |  |  |  | 16,707 | 18,222 | 774 | 938 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Ladybird Academy | 71 | $\underline{8}$ |  | 52.06\% |  |  | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 71 | 8 |  | 52.06\% |  | 54.53\% |  |
| Ustler Rd to Thompson Rd | 2L | E | 33,300 | 1,640 |  | 1 |  | 16,277 | 17,023 | 845 | 795 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Development Name | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 51.12\% |  | 48.48\% | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6th Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Avenue to Alabama Ave | 2L | D | 9,600 | 490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Development Name | 0 | 0 | 1,963 | 7,637 $79.55 \%$ | 155 | - 383 | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ocoee-Apopka Rd to Harmon Rd | 2L | E | 17,700 | 880 |  |  |  | 3,580 | 12,086 | 242 | 486 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Apopka Woods | 67 | 7 |  | 68.28\% |  | 55.23\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Breckenridge (fka Pines of Lake Apopka) | 21 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clearlake Landing | 284 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clear Lake Reserve | 21 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Florida Hospital Replacement | 1,109 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Magnolia Park Estates (fka Park Place) | 87 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Maudehelen, Phase 1, 2, \& 3 | 63 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Old Palm Center PUD (fka, Thompson Hills Estates) | 382 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 2,034 | 152 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harmon Rd to Boy Scout Rd | 2L | E | 17,700 | 880 |  |  |  | 3,899 | 11,615 | 227 | 493 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Apopka Woods | 67 | 7 |  | 65.62\% |  | 56.02\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Breckenridge (fka Pines of Lake Apopka) | 21 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clearlake Landing | 284 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Clear Lake Reserve | 21 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Florida Hospital Replacement | 1,261 | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Magnolia Park Estates (fka Park Place) | 87 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Maudehelen, Phase 1, 2, \& 3 | 63 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Old Palm Center PUD (fka, Thompson Hills Estates) | 382 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 2,186 | 160 |  |  |  |  |  |

City of Apopka CMS
Daily and PM Peak Hour/Peak Direction (PH/PD) Encumbered Traffic Allocation Worksheets
FINAL REPORT


Daily and PM Peak Hour/Peak Direction (PH/PD) Encumbered Traffic Allocation Worksheets

| RoadwaySection | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Lanes } \end{gathered}$ | Adopted Standard |  |  | Applicant Inventory | Encumbered Trips |  | Daily Traffic |  | Revision Date: |  | 2/9/2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM PH/PD Traffic |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | $\frac{\text { Roadway }}{\text { Daily }}$ | PHPD |  | Daily | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM } \\ \text { PH } / \text { PD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Base } \\ \text { Volume } \end{array}$ | Available Capacity | Base Volume | Available Capacity | Peak Direction |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Electric Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hermit Smith Rd to Orange Ave | 2L | D | 15,900 | 790 |  |  |  |  | 532 | 14,655 | 38 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avion Point West | 713 | 101 | 532 | 14,655 | 38 | 651 | NB/EB |
| Golden Gem Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kelly Park Rd to Ponkan Rd | 2L | D | 13,300 | 680 |  |  |  | 716 | 12,584 | 27 | 653 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Development Name | 0 | 0 |  | 12, $24.62 \%$ | 27 |  | SB/WB |
| Haas Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plymouth-Sorrento Rd to Mt Plymouth Rd | 2L | D | 15,900 | 790 |  |  |  | 677 | 15,223 | 48 | 742 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Development Name | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 95.74\% |  | 93.92\% | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ocoee-Apopka Rd to Binion Rd | 2 L | D | 15,900 | 790 |  |  |  | 552 | 12,971 | 31 | 619 | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Florida Hospital Replacement | 2.377 | 140 |  | 81.58\% |  | 78.35\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 to Ocoee-Apopka Rd | 2L | D | 13,300 | 680 |  |  |  | 1,252 | 12,048 | 71 | 609 | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Development Name | 0 | 0 |  | 90.59\% |  | 89.56\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ocoee-Apopka Rd to 13th St | 2L | D | 13,300 | 680 |  |  |  | 1,403 | 11,897 | 66 | 614 | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Development Name | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 89.45\% |  | 90.29\% | SB/WB |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Electric Rd to US 441 | 2L | E | 15,900 | 790 |  |  |  | 691 | 14,407 | 53 | 624 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avion Point West | 802 | 113 | 691 | 14,407 | 53 | 78.99\% | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 802 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 441 to Yothers Rd | 2L | E | 15,900 | 790 |  |  |  | 1,425 | 12,783 | 109 | 442 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avion Point West | 1,692 | 239 |  | 80.40\% |  | 55.95\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 1,692 | 239 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hermit Smith Rd to Conrad Rd | 2L | E | 14,000 | 720 |  |  |  | 777 | 12,333 | 58 | 536 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avion Point West | 890 | 126 |  | 88.09\% |  | 74.44\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kelly Park Rd to Ponkan Rd | 2L | E | 14,000 | 720 |  |  |  | 2,089 | 11,127 | 107 | 556 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Appy Lane Subdivision | 161 | 17 |  | 79.48\% |  | 77.22\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Orchid Estates (fka J.B. Nurseries) | 623 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Keene Road |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 784 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ocoee-Apopka Rd to Marden Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 L | E | 15,900 | 790 |  |  |  | 2,841 | 12,078 | 168 | 577 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Emerson Point | 68 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Florida Hospital Replacement | 31 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Magnolia Park Estates (fka Park Place) | 58 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Marden Ridge Apartments | 704 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Oakmont Industrial | 120 | 9 |  |  |  | . |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 981 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marden Rd to Clarcona Rd (CR 435) | 2L | E | 15,900 | 790 |  |  |  | 4,202 | 10,021 | 205 | 496 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Alicante Subdivision | 58 | 6 |  | 63.03\% |  | 62.78\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Circle K Retail | 570 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Emerson Point | 476 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Magnolia Park Estates (fka Park Place) | 58 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Marden Ridge Apartments | 352 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Oakmont Industrial | 120 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Silver Oaks | 43 | 5 |  | 47.99\% |  | 46.08\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 1,677 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarcona Rd (CR 435) to Sheeler Rd | 2L | D | 15,900 | 790 |  |  |  | 7,784 | 7,630 | 399 | 364 | NB/EB |
|  |  |  |  |  | Circle K Retail | 351 | 13 |  | 47.99\% |  | 46.08\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Silver Oaks | 135 | 14 |  | 47.99\% |  | 46.08\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Applicant Inventory Total | 486 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |

City of Apopka CMS
Daily and PM Peak Hour/Peak Direction (PH/PD) Encumbered Traffic Allocation Worksheets


Appendix E
Traffic Counts \& FDOT Seasonal Factor Report

TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT ANALYSIS AUTOS \& TRUCKS

Intersection (N/S): Hermit Smith Rd
Intersection (E/W): General Electric Rd
Date: 3/13/2018



TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT ANALYSIS
AUTOS \& TRUCKS

Intersection (N/S): Orange Ave
Intersection (E/W): General Electric Rd



TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT ANALYSIS AUTOS \& TRUCKS

Intersection (N/S): HermitSmith Rd
Intersection (E/W): US 441



TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT ANALYSIS AUTOS \& TRUCKS

Intersection (N/S): $\mathbf{4 2 9}$ Connector Rd
Intersection (E/W): US 441



TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT ANALYSIS AUTOS \& TRUCKS

Intersection (N/S): Orange Ave
Intersection (E/W): US 441



TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT ANALYSIS AUTOS \& TRUCKS

Intersection (N/S): Plymouth Sorrento Rd
Intersection (E/W): US 441



TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT ANALYSIS
AUTOS \& TRUCKS

Intersection (N/S): Boy Scout Rd
Intersection (E/W): US 441



```
    2 0 1 7 ~ P E A K ~ S E A S O N ~ F A C T O R ~ C A T E G O R Y ~ R E P O R T ~ - ~ R E P O R T ~ T Y P E : ~ A L L ~
``` CATEGORY: 7500 ORANGE COUNTYWIDE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WEEK & DATES & SF & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MOCF }: 0.98 \\
& \text { PSCF }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1 & 01/01/2017-01/07/2017 & 1.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline 2 & 01/08/2017-01/14/2017 & 1.03 & 1.05 \\
\hline 3 & 01/15/2017-01/21/2017 & 1.04 & 1.06 \\
\hline 4 & 01/22/2017-01/28/2017 & 1.03 & 1.05 \\
\hline 5 & 01/29/2017-02/04/2017 & 1.02 & 1.04 \\
\hline 6 & 02/05/2017-02/11/2017 & 1.00 & 1.02 \\
\hline 7 & 02/12/2017-02/18/2017 & 0.99 & 1.01 \\
\hline 8 & 02/19/2017-02/25/2017 & 0.99 & 1.01 \\
\hline * 9 & 02/26/2017-03/04/2017 & 0.98 & 1.00 \\
\hline *10 & 03/05/2017-03/11/2017 & 0.98 & 1.00 \\
\hline *11 & 03/12/2017-03/18/2017 & 0.97 & 0.99 \\
\hline *12 & 03/19/2017-03/25/2017 & 0.97 & 0.99 \\
\hline *13 & 03/26/2017-04/01/2017 & 0.97 & 0.99 \\
\hline *14 & 04/02/2017-04/08/2017 & 0.97 & 0.99 \\
\hline *15 & 04/09/2017-04/15/2017 & 0.97 & 0.99 \\
\hline *16 & 04/16/2017-04/22/2017 & 0.97 & 0.99 \\
\hline *17 & 04/23/2017-04/29/2017 & 0.97 & 0.99 \\
\hline *18 & 04/30/2017-05/06/2017 & 0.98 & 1.00 \\
\hline *19 & 05/07/2017-05/13/2017 & 0.98 & 1.00 \\
\hline * 20 & 05/14/2017-05/20/2017 & 0.98 & 1.00 \\
\hline * 21 & 05/21/2017-05/27/2017 & 0.99 & 1.01 \\
\hline 22 & 05/28/2017-06/03/2017 & 1.00 & 1.02 \\
\hline 23 & 06/04/2017-06/10/2017 & 1.00 & 1.02 \\
\hline 24 & 06/11/2017-06/17/2017 & 1.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline 25 & 06/18/2017-06/24/2017 & 1.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline 26 & 06/25/2017-07/01/2017 & 1.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline 27 & 07/02/2017-07/08/2017 & 1.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline 28 & 07/09/2017-07/15/2017 & 1.02 & 1.04 \\
\hline 29 & 07/16/2017-07/22/2017 & 1.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline 30 & 07/23/2017-07/29/2017 & 1.00 & 1.02 \\
\hline 31 & 07/30/2017-08/05/2017 & 1.00 & 1.02 \\
\hline 32 & 08/06/2017-08/12/2017 & 0.99 & 1.01 \\
\hline 33 & 08/13/2017-08/19/2017 & 0.99 & 1.01 \\
\hline 34 & 08/20/2017-08/26/2017 & 1.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline 35 & 08/27/2017-09/02/2017 & 1.04 & 1.06 \\
\hline 36 & 09/03/2017-09/09/2017 & 1.06 & 1.08 \\
\hline 37 & 09/10/2017-09/16/2017 & 1.09 & 1.11 \\
\hline 38 & 09/17/2017-09/23/2017 & 1.07 & 1.09 \\
\hline 39 & 09/24/2017-09/30/2017 & 1.05 & 1.07 \\
\hline 40 & 10/01/2017-10/07/2017 & 1.03 & 1.05 \\
\hline 41 & 10/08/2017-10/14/2017 & 1.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline 42 & 10/15/2017-10/21/2017 & 0.99 & 1.01 \\
\hline 43 & 10/22/2017-10/28/2017 & 0.99 & 1.01 \\
\hline 44 & 10/29/2017-11/04/2017 & 0.99 & 1.01 \\
\hline 45 & 11/05/2017-11/11/2017 & 1.00 & 1.02 \\
\hline 46 & 11/12/2017-11/18/2017 & 1.00 & 1.02 \\
\hline 47 & 11/19/2017-11/25/2017 & 1.00 & 1.02 \\
\hline 48 & 11/26/2017-12/02/2017 & 1.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline 49 & 12/03/2017-12/09/2017 & 1.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline 50 & 12/10/2017-12/16/2017 & 1.01 & 1.03 \\
\hline 51 & 12/17/2017-12/23/2017 & 1.02 & 1.04 \\
\hline 52 & 12/24/2017-12/30/2017 & 1.03 & 1.05 \\
\hline 53 & 12/31/2017-12/31/2017 & 1.04 & 1.06 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* PEAK SEASON

ORANGE COUNTY TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING SHEET
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Intersection: US 441 \& Boy Scout Blvd/Lake View Dr Equipment: Eagle ATCnx} & & & Int. \# Date: & \[
\begin{gathered}
\hline 20 \\
5 / 1 / 2018 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Node \\
Address:
\end{tabular} & 37 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{BASIC TIMING} \\
\hline Phase & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Direction & WBL & EB & & SB & & WB & & NB \\
\hline Min Green (sec) & 5 & 15 & & 5 & & 15 & & 5 \\
\hline Vehicle Gap (sec) & 2.0 & 3.0 & & 3.0 & & 3.0 & & 3.0 \\
\hline Max Green 1 (sec) & 15 & 50 & & 25 & & 50 & & 15 \\
\hline Max Green 2 (sec) & 15 & 50 & & 25 & & 50 & & 25 \\
\hline Yellow Change Interval (sec) & 4.8 & 5.2 & & 3.7 & & 4.8 & & 3.9 \\
\hline Red Clearance Interval (sec) & 2.3 & 2.0 & & 2.8 & & 2.0 & & 2.9 \\
\hline Walk (sec) & & 7 & & 7 & & 7 & & \\
\hline Flash Don't Walk (sec) & & 13 & & 31 & & 15 & & \\
\hline Min Split (sec) & 13 & 28 & & 45 & & 29 & & 12 \\
\hline Recall/Memory & NL & SF/LK & & NL & & SF/LK & & NL \\
\hline Detector Delay (sec) & 5 & & & 5 & & & & \\
\hline Detector Switching & \(1>6\) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Dual Entry & & Y & & Y & & Y & & Y \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Overlap} \\
\hline Flash & & Y & & R & & Y & & R \\
\hline Speed (mph) & 45 & 45 & & 30 & & 45 & & 30 \\
\hline Approach Grades (\%) & 2.2\% & -3.5\% & & 0.4\% & & 2.2\% & & -3.6\% \\
\hline Veh Traversed Distance (ft) & 98 & 113 & & 147 & & 135 & & 148 \\
\hline Ped Crossing Distance (ft) & & 43 & & 108 & & 50 & & \\
\hline Ped Clearance (sec) & & 13 & & 31 & & 15 & & \\
\hline Ped-button to curb (ft) & & 25 & & 16 & & 16 & & \\
\hline Ped-button to far curb (ft) & & 68 & & 124 & & 66 & & \\
\hline Ped Clearance to far curb (ser & & 23 & & 42 & & 22 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{COORDINATION PLANS} \\
\hline Coordination Pattern & 1/1/1 & 2/1/1 & 3/1/1 & 3/2/2 & & Day & Time & Pattern \\
\hline Cycle & 150 & 140 & 150 & 140 & & 1 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Split 1 & 18 & 23 & 19 & 20 & & 1 & 9:45 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 2 & 97 & 87 & 91 & 85 & & 1 & 19:00 & FREE \\
\hline Split 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Split 4 & 35 & 30 & 40 & 35 & & 2 & 6:30 & 1/1/1 \\
\hline Split 5 & 18 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 9:30 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 6 & 97 & 110 & 110 & 105 & & 2 & 14:00 & 3/1/1 \\
\hline Split 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 18:00 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 8 & 35 & 30 & 40 & 35 & & 2 & 20:00 & FREE \\
\hline Offset & 91 & 87 & 102 & 109 & & 7 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Lagging Phases & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & & 7 & 9:30 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Source Day & Equate 1 & Equate 2 & Equate 3 & Equate 4 & Equate 5 & 7 & 19:30 & FREE \\
\hline (Sunday) 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline (Monday) 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & & & & \\
\hline (Saturday) 7 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Notes: \\
1. Offset referenced to start of mainstreet green \\
2. Use Plan Force-offs
\end{tabular}}} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{All Patterns} \\
\hline & & & & & 1 & 2 & & 4 \\
\hline 3. Use Max Inhibit during coordin & ation & & & & & 6 & & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{ORANGE COUNTY TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING SHEET} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Intersection: US 441 \& Plymouth Sorrento Rd Equipment: Eagle ACTnx} & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Int. \# \\
Date:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
21 \\
5 / 1 / 2018
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Node \\
Address:
\end{tabular} & 202 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{BASIC TIMING} \\
\hline Phase & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Direction & EBL & WB & & & & EB & & SB \\
\hline Min Green (sec) & 5 & 15 & & & & 15 & & 5 \\
\hline Vehicle Gap (sec) & 1.8 & 3.0 & & & & 3.0 & & 4.0 \\
\hline Max Green 1 (sec) & 25 & 35 & & & & 35 & & 30 \\
\hline Max Green 2 (sec) & 25 & 35 & & & & 35 & & 30 \\
\hline Yellow Change Interval (sec) & 4.9 & 4.8 & & & & 4.9 & & 4.8 \\
\hline Red Clearance Interval (sec) & 2.9 & 2.0 & & & & 2.0 & & 2.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Walk (sec)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Flash Don't Walk (sec)} \\
\hline Min Split (sec) & 13 & 22 & & & & 22 & & 12 \\
\hline Recall/Memory & NL & SF/LK & & & & SF/LK & & NL \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Detector Delay (sec)} \\
\hline Detector Switching & \(1>6\) & & & & & & & \\
\hline Dual Entry & & Y & & & & Y & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Overlap} \\
\hline Flash & & Y & & & & Y & & R \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{8}{*}{\begin{tabular}{|lcc|}
\hline Speed (mph) & & 45 \\
Approach Grades (\%) & \(-1.0 \%\) & \(0.1 \%\) \\
Veh Traversed Distance (ft) & 122 & 136 \\
Ped Crossing Distance (ft) & & \\
Ped Clearance (sec) & & \\
Ped-button to curb (ft) & & \\
Ped-button to far curb (ft) & & \\
Ped Clearance to far curb (ser & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}}} & & & & 45 & & 45 \\
\hline & & & & & & -1.0\% & & 0.2\% \\
\hline & & & & & & 141 & & 119 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{COORDINATION PLANS} \\
\hline Coordination Pattern & 1/1/1 & 2/1/1 & 3/1/1 & 3/2/2 & & Day & Time & Pattern \\
\hline Cycle & 150 & 140 & 150 & 140 & & 1 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Split 1 & 18 & 18 & 20 & 20 & & 1 & 9:45 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 2 & 107 & 101 & 110 & 100 & & 1 & 19:00 & FREE \\
\hline Split 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Split 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 6:30 & 1/1/1 \\
\hline Split 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 9:30 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 6 & 125 & 119 & 130 & 120 & & 2 & 14:00 & 3/1/1 \\
\hline Split 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 18:00 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 8 & 25 & 21 & 20 & 20 & & 2 & 20:00 & FREE \\
\hline Offset & 66 & 69 & 31 & 106 & & 7 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Lagging Phases & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & & 7 & 9:30 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Source Day & Equate 1 & Equate 2 & Equate 3 & Equate 4 & Equate 5 & 7 & 19:30 & FREE \\
\hline (Sunday) 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline (Monday) 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & & & & \\
\hline (Saturday) 7 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Notes: \\
1. Offset referenced to start of mainstreet green \\
2. Use Plan Force-offs \\
3. Use Max Inhibit during coordination
\end{tabular}}} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(2^{\text {All Patterns }}\)}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & 6 & & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ORANGE COUNTY TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING SHEET
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Intersection: US 441 \& Orange Ave /T. L. Smith Rd Equipment: Siemens m50} & & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
22 \\
5 / 1 / 2018 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Node \\
Address:
\end{tabular} & 247 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{BASIC TIMING} \\
\hline Phase & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Direction & & WB & & NB & WBL & EB & & SB \\
\hline Min Green (sec) & & 20 & & 5 & 5 & 15 & & 5 \\
\hline Vehicle Gap (sec) & & 3.0 & & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & & 3.0 \\
\hline Max Green 1 (sec) & & 67 & & 18 & 14 & 45 & & 18 \\
\hline Max Green 2 (sec) & & 67 & & 18 & 14 & 45 & & 18 \\
\hline Yellow Change Interval (sec) & & 4.9 & & 4.4 & 4.9 & 4.8 & & 3.4 \\
\hline Red Clearance Interval (sec) & & 2.0 & & 2.1 & 3.0 & 2.0 & & 4.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Walk (sec)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Flash Don't Walk (sec)} \\
\hline Min Split (sec) & & 27 & & 12 & 13 & 22 & & 13 \\
\hline Recall/Memory & & NL & & NL & NL & SF/LK & & NL \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Detector Delay (sec)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Detector Switching} \\
\hline Dual Entry & & Y & & Y & & Y & & Y \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Overlap} \\
\hline Flash & & Y & & R & Y & Y & & R \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Speed (mph) 45} & 40 & 45 & 45 & & 25 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Approach Grades (\%) -1.0\%} & -0.4\% & -1.0\% & -0.6\% & & -2.3\% \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Veh Traversed Distance (ft) 168} & 160 & 125 & 162 & & 167 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Ped Crossing Distance (ft)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Ped Clearance (sec)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Ped-button to curb (ft)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Ped-button to far curb (ft)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{COORDINATION PLANS} \\
\hline Coordination Pattern & 1/1/1 & 2/1/1 & 3/1/1 & 3/2/2 & & Day & Time & Pattern \\
\hline Cycle & 150 & 140 & 150 & 140 & & 1 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Split 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 9:45 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 2 & 130 & 120 & 108 & 100 & & 1 & 19:00 & FREE \\
\hline Split 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Split 4 & 20 & 20 & 42 & 40 & & 2 & 6:30 & 1/1/1 \\
\hline Split 5 & 20 & 18 & 18 & 18 & & 2 & 9:30 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 6 & 110 & 102 & 90 & 82 & & 2 & 14:00 & 3/1/1 \\
\hline Split 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 18:00 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 8 & 20 & 20 & 42 & 40 & & 2 & 20:00 & FREE \\
\hline Offset & 64 & 66 & 43 & 51 & & 7 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Lagging Phases & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & & 7 & 9:30 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Source Day & Equate 1 & Equate 2 & Equate 3 & Equate 4 & Equate 5 & 7 & 19:30 & FREE \\
\hline (Sunday) 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline (Monday) 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & & & & \\
\hline (Saturday) 7 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Notes: \\
1. Offset referenced to start of mainstreet green \\
All Patterns \\
2. Use Plan Force-offs
\(\qquad\)
\(\square\) \\
se Max \\
it
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3. Use Max Inhibit during coordination} & & & & 5 & 6 & & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ORANGE COUNTY TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING SHEET
\begin{tabular}{|lllcc|}
\hline Intersection: & US 441 \& SR 429 Connector Rd & Int. \# & 23 & Node \\
Equipment: & Siemens m50 & Date: & \(5 / 1 / 2018\) & Address: \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

BASIC TIMING
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Phase & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Direction & EBL & WB & SB & NB & WBL & EB & & \\
\hline Min Green (sec) & 5 & 20 & 20 & 5 & 5 & 20 & & \\
\hline Vehicle Gap (sec) & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & & \\
\hline Max Green 1 (sec) & 30 & 77 & 40 & 17 & 21 & 77 & & \\
\hline Max Green 2 (sec) & 45 & 55 & 50 & 17 & 30 & 60 & & \\
\hline Yellow Change Interval (sec) & 4.8 & 4.8 & 4.2 & 3.4 & 4.8 & 4.8 & & \\
\hline Red Clearance Interval (sec) & 5.2 & 2.2 & 4.4 & 4.3 & 3.4 & 2.3 & & \\
\hline Walk (sec) & & 7 & & 7 & & 7 & & \\
\hline Flash Don't Walk (sec) & & 35 & & 34 & & 17 & & \\
\hline Min Split (sec) & 15 & 49 & 29 & 49 & 14 & 32 & & \\
\hline Recall/Memory & NL & MIN/LK & NL & NL & NL & MIN/LK & & \\
\hline Detector Delay (sec) & & & CDR 5 & CD 5 & CD 5 & & & \\
\hline Detector Switching & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Dual Entry & & Y & & & & Y & & \\
\hline Overlap & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Flash & R & Y & R & R & R & Y & & \\
\hline Speed (mph) & 45 & 45 & 35 & 25 & 45 & 45 & & \\
\hline Approach Grades (\%) & 0.4\% & 0.1\% & -2.1\% & 0.4\% & 0.1\% & 0.4\% & & \\
\hline Veh Traversed Distance (ft) & 207 & 191 & 175 & 172 & 139 & 192 & & \\
\hline Ped Crossing Distance (ft) & & 121 & & 119 & & 58 & & \\
\hline Ped Clearance (sec) & & 35 & & 34 & & 17 & & \\
\hline Ped-button to curb (ft) & & 8 & & 13 & & 13 & & \\
\hline Ped-button to far curb (ft) & & 129 & & 132 & & 71 & & \\
\hline Ped Clearance to far curb (ser & & 43 & & 44 & & 24 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{COORDINATION PLANS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Coordination Pattern & 1/1/1 & 2/1/1 & 3/1/1 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3/2/2} & Day & Time & Pattern \\
\hline Cycle & 150 & 140 & 150 & 140 & & 1 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Split 1 & 56 & 30 & 30 & 28 & & 1 & 9:45 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 2 & 47 & 63 & 70 & 64 & & 1 & 19:00 & FREE \\
\hline Split 3 & 29 & 29 & 32 & 30 & & 2 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Split 4 & 18 & 18 & 18 & 18 & & 2 & 6:30 & 1/1/1 \\
\hline Split 5 & 18 & 18 & 18 & 18 & & 2 & 9:30 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 6 & 85 & 75 & 82 & 74 & & 2 & 14:00 & 3/1/1 \\
\hline Split 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 18:00 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 20:00 & FREE \\
\hline Offset & 16 & 29 & 45 & 59 & & 7 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Lagging Phases & 1/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & & 7 & 9:30 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Source Day & Equate 1 & Equate 2 & Equate 3 & Equate 4 & Equate 5 & 7 & 19:30 & FREE \\
\hline (Sunday) 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline (Monday) 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & & & & \\
\hline (Saturday) 7 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Notes:}
1. Offset referenced to start of mainstreet green
2. Use Cycle Force-offs
3. Use Max II during coordination
\begin{tabular}{cc|cc} 
& \multicolumn{4}{c}{ Patterns \(2 / 1 / 1,3 / 1 / 1, \& 3 / 2 / 2\)} & \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 5 & 6 & & \\
2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 5 & 6 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ORANGE COUNTY TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING SHEET
\begin{tabular}{|lllll|}
\hline Intersection: US \(441 \&\) Hermit Smith Rd & Int. \# & 24 & Node & 672 \\
Equipment: & Siemens m50 & Date: & \(5 / 1 / 2018\) & Address: \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{BASIC TIMING}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Phase & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Direction & EBL & WB & & NB & WBL & EB & & SB \\
\hline Min Green (sec) & 5 & 17 & & 5 & 5 & 17 & & 5 \\
\hline Vehicle Gap (sec) & 3.0 & 3.0 & & 3.0 & 4.0 & 3.0 & & 3.0 \\
\hline Max Green 1 (sec) & 25 & 77 & & 25 & 25 & 77 & & 25 \\
\hline Max Green 2 (sec) & 30 & 50 & & 50 & 30 & 50 & & 50 \\
\hline Yellow Change Interval (sec) & 4.9 & 4.8 & & 4.0 & 4.8 & 4.9 & & 4.1 \\
\hline Red Clearance Interval (sec) & 3.7 & 2.0 & & 3.0 & 2.8 & 2.0 & & 3.1 \\
\hline Walk (sec) & & 7 & & 7 & & 7 & & 7 \\
\hline Flash Don't Walk (sec) & & 14 & & 37 & & 17 & & 37 \\
\hline Min Split (sec) & 14 & 28 & & 51 & 13 & 31 & & 52 \\
\hline Recall/Memory & NL & MIN/LK & & NL & NL & MIN/LK & & NL \\
\hline Detector Delay (sec) & & & & CD 5 & & & & CD 5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Detector Switching} \\
\hline Dual Entry & & Y & & Y & & Y & & Y \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Overlap} \\
\hline Flash & 4-SECTION & Y & & R & 4-SECTION & Y & & R \\
\hline Speed (mph) & 45 & 45 & & 35 & 45 & 45 & & 35 \\
\hline Approach Grades (\%) & -1.6\% & 0.5\% & & 1.0\% & 0.5\% & -1.6\% & & -1.0\% \\
\hline Veh Traversed Distance (ft) & 151 & 157 & & 182 & 116 & 153 & & 187 \\
\hline Ped Crossing Distance (ft) & & 46 & & 127 & & 59 & & 128 \\
\hline Ped Clearance (sec) & & 14 & & 37 & & 17 & & 37 \\
\hline Ped-button to curb (ft) & & 13 & & 9 & & 11 & & 9 \\
\hline Ped-button to far curb (ft) & & 59 & & 136 & & 70 & & 137 \\
\hline Ped Clearance to far curb (ser & & 20 & & 46 & & 24 & & 46 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

COORDINATION PLANS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Coordination Pattern & 1/1/1 & 2/1/1 & 3/1/1 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3/2/2} & Day & Time & Pattern \\
\hline Cycle & 150 & 140 & 150 & 140 & & 1 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Split 1 & 18 & 18 & 18 & 18 & & 1 & 9:45 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 2 & 112 & 104 & 111 & 102 & & 1 & 19:00 & FREE \\
\hline Split 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Split 4 & 20 & 18 & 21 & 20 & & 2 & 6:30 & 1/1/1 \\
\hline Split 5 & 18 & 18 & 18 & 18 & & 2 & 9:30 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 6 & 112 & 104 & 111 & 102 & & 2 & 14:00 & 3/1/1 \\
\hline Split 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 18:00 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Split 8 & 20 & 18 & 21 & 20 & & 2 & 20:00 & FREE \\
\hline Offset & 35 & 36 & 39 & 53 & & 7 & 0:01 & FREE \\
\hline Lagging Phases & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & 0/0/0/0 & & 7 & 9:30 & 2/1/1 \\
\hline Source Day & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Equate 1 Equate 2} & Equate 3 & Equate 4 & Equate 5 & 7 & 19:30 & FREE \\
\hline (Sunday) 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline (Monday) 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & & & & \\
\hline (Saturday) 7 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Notes:}

\footnotetext{
1. Offset referenced to start of mainstreet green
2. Use Plan Force-offs
3. Use Max Inhibit during coordination
4. 4-section heads for PH 1 \& PH 5 shall operate in protected/permissive mode
5. Rail Road Preemption
}

\section*{Appendix F}

Existing Conditions Analysis Worksheets

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
3: Hermit Smith Rd \& US 441
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
7：US 441 \＆SR 429 Connector Rd

\section*{\(\rightarrow \rightarrow+\downarrow+4+\downarrow\)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SB & SB & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & \({ }^{1 *}\) & ¢ 4 & 「 & \％ & 个4 & 「 & & ¢ & & \％ & d & 「「＇ \\
\hline Traffic Volume（veh／h） & 389 & 770 & 0 & 1 & 1077 & 37 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 23 & 2 & 594 \\
\hline Future Volume（veh／h） & 389 & 770 & 0 & 1 & 1077 & 37 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 23 & 2 & 594 \\
\hline Initial Q（Qb），veh & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 \\
\hline Parking Bus，Adj & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Work Zone On Approach & & No & & & No & & & No & & & No & \\
\hline Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln & 1870 & 1796 & 1870 & 1870 & 1796 & 1870 & 1870 & 1870 & 1870 & 1870 & 1870 & 179 \\
\hline Adj Flow Rate，veh／h & 418 & 828 & 0 & 1 & 1158 & 40 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 26 & 0 & 478 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.93 & 0.93 & 0.93 & 0.93 & 0.93 & 0.93 & 0.93 & 0.93 & 0.93 & 0.93 & 0.93 & 0.93 \\
\hline Percent Heavy Veh，\％ & 2 & 7 & 2 & 2 & 7 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 7 \\
\hline Cap，veh／h & 454 & 2132 & 990 & 2 & 1647 & 765 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 556 & 0 & 875 \\
\hline Arrive On Green & 0.26 & 1.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.97 & 0.97 & 0.01 & 0.01 & 0.01 & 0.16 & 0.0 & 0.16 \\
\hline Sat Flow，veh／h & 3456 & 3413 & 1585 & 1781 & 3413 & 1585 & 579 & 579 & 579 & 3563 & 0 & 3045 \\
\hline Grp Volume（v），veh／h & 418 & 828 & 0 & 1 & 1158 & 40 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 26 & 0 & 478 \\
\hline Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n1728． & 1728 & 1706 & 1585 & 1781 & 1706 & 1585 & 1737 & 0 & 0 & 1781 & 0 & 1522 \\
\hline Q Serve（g＿s），s & 17.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 5.5 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 19.9 \\
\hline Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s & 17.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 5.5 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 19.9 \\
\hline Prop In Lane & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 0.33 & & 0.33 & 1.00 & & 1.00 \\
\hline Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h & 454 & 2132 & 990 & 2 & 1647 & 765 & 13 & 0 & 0 & 556 & 0 & 875 \\
\hline V／C Ratio（X） & 0.92 & 0.39 & 0.00 & 0.41 & 0.70 & 0.05 & 0.47 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.05 & 0.00 & 0.55 \\
\hline Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h & 461 & 2132 & 990 & 116 & 1647 & 765 & 119 & 0 & 0 & 556 & 0 & 875 \\
\hline HCM Platoon Ratio & 2.00 & 2.00 & 2.00 & 2.00 & 2.00 & 2.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Upstream Filter（l） & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 1.00 & 0.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Uniform Delay（d），s／veh & 54.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 74.7 & 1.4 & 1.4 & 74.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 53.8 & 0.0 & 45.2 \\
\hline Incr Delay（d2），s／veh & 22.3 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 85.3 & 2.5 & 0.1 & 24.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 2.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{\％\％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ilR． 3}} & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline & & 0.3 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 2.3 & 0.1 & 0.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.8 & 0.0 & 12.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh} \\
\hline LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh & 76.8 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 160.0 & 4.0 & 1.5 & 98.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 54.0 & 0.0 & 47.6 \\
\hline LnGrp LOS & E & A & A & F & A & A & F & A & A & D & A & D \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Approach Vol，veh／h} & 1246 & & & 1199 & & & 6 & & & 504 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Approach Delay，s／veh
Approach LOS}} & 26.1 & & & 4.0 & & & 98.4 & & & 8.0 & \\
\hline Approach LOS & & C & & & A & & & F & & & D & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Timer－Assigned Phs 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 8 \\
\hline Phs Duration（ \(G+Y+R c\) ），s8．4 & 100.8 & 8.8 & 29.7 & 79.5 & 32.0 \\
\hline Change Period（Y＋Rc），s 8.2 & ＊ 7.1 & ＊ 7.7 & 10.0 & ＊ 7.1 & 8.6 \\
\hline Max Green Setting（Gmax \({ }^{\text {a }}\) ， 8 & ＊ 75 & ＊ 10 & 20.0 & ＊ 63 & 23.4 \\
\hline Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋l12，1s & 2.0 & 2.5 & 19.6 & 7.5 & 21.9 \\
\hline Green Ext Time（p＿c），s 0.0 & 6.3 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 10.4 & 0.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Intersection Summary}
\begin{tabular}{lr}
\hline HCM 6th Ctrl Delay & 21.0 \\
HCM 6th LOS & C
\end{tabular}

\section*{Notes}

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement．
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
6: Orange Ave \& US 441
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & 7 & & & \[
4
\] & 4 & \% & & \(\downarrow\) & 4 \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & \({ }^{1 /}\) & 44 & 「 & \({ }^{1}\) & 性 & & & \& & & & \(\uparrow\) & \\
\hline Traffic Volume (veh/h) & 0 & 731 & 103 & 19 & 935 & 0 & 173 & 0 & 63 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Future Volume (veh/h) & 0 & 731 & 103 & 19 & 935 & 0 & 173 & 0 & 63 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Initial Q (Qb), veh & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 \\
\hline Parking Bus, Adj & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Work Zone On Approach & & No & & & No & & & No & & & No & \\
\hline Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln & 1870 & 1796 & 1796 & 1796 & 1796 & 1796 & 1870 & 1870 & 1870 & 1870 & 1870 & 1870 \\
\hline Adj Flow Rate, veh/h & 0 & 778 & 110 & 20 & 995 & 0 & 184 & 0 & 67 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.94 & 0.94 & 0.94 & 0.94 & 0.94 & 0.94 & 0.94 & 0.94 & 0.94 & 0.94 & 0.94 & 0.94 \\
\hline Percent Heavy Veh, \% & 2 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Cap, veh/h & 48 & 2038 & 909 & 522 & 2448 & 0 & 242 & 0 & 73 & 0 & 351 & 0 \\
\hline Arrive On Green & 0.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 0.07 & 0.72 & 0.00 & 0.19 & 0.00 & 0.19 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline Sat Flow, veh/h & 566 & 3413 & 1522 & 1711 & 3503 & 0 & 1069 & 0 & 389 & 0 & 1870 & 0 \\
\hline Grp Volume(v), veh/h & 0 & 778 & 110 & 20 & 995 & 0 & 251 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln & 566 & 1706 & 1522 & 1711 & 1706 & 0 & 1459 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1870 & 0 \\
\hline Q Serve(g_s), s & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.6 & 17.5 & 0.0 & 25.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.6 & 17.5 & 0.0 & 25.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Prop In Lane & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 0.00 & 0.73 & & 0.27 & 0.00 & & 0.00 \\
\hline Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h & 48 & 2038 & 909 & 522 & 2448 & 0 & 315 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 351 & 0 \\
\hline V/C Ratio(X) & 0.00 & 0.38 & 0.12 & 0.04 & 0.41 & 0.00 & 0.80 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h & 48 & 2038 & 909 & 522 & 2448 & 0 & 387 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 430 & 0 \\
\hline HCM Platoon Ratio & 2.00 & 2.00 & 2.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Upstream Filter(l) & 0.00 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.89 & 0.89 & 0.00 & 1.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline Uniform Delay (d), s/veh & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 7.9 & 8.5 & 0.0 & 59.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Incr Delay (d2), s/veh & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.0 & 9.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \%ile BackOfQ(95\%),veh/ln & 0.0 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 9.7 & 0.0 & 15.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh} \\
\hline LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 8.0 & 8.9 & 0.0 & 68.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline LnGrp LOS & A & A & A & A & A & A & E & A & A & A & A & A \\
\hline Approach Vol, veh/h & & 888 & & & 1015 & & & 251 & & & 0 & \\
\hline Approach Delay, s/veh & & 0.5 & & & 8.9 & & & 68.9 & & & 0.0 & \\
\hline Approach LOS & & A & & & A & & & E & & & & \\
\hline Timer - Assigned Phs & & 2 & & 4 & 5 & 6 & & 8 & & & & \\
\hline Phs Duration ( \(G+Y+R c\) ), \(s\) & & 114.4 & & 35.6 & 18.0 & 96.4 & & 35.6 & & & & \\
\hline Change Period (Y+Rc), s & & * 6.8 & & * 7.5 & 7.9 & 6.8 & & 7.5 & & & & \\
\hline Max Green Setting (Gmax), s & & * 1E2 & & * 36 & 10.1 & 83.2 & & 34.5 & & & & \\
\hline Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s & & 19.5 & & 27.3 & 2.6 & 2.0 & & 0.0 & & & & \\
\hline Green Ext Time (p_c), s & & 8.2 & & 0.8 & 0.0 & 6.2 & & 0.0 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline HCM 6th Ctrl Delay & & & 12.4 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline HCM 6th LOS & & & B & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Notes & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
17: US 441 \& Plymouth Sorrento


\section*{Notes}

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

\footnotetext{
18008 Mid Florida Logistics Park 08/28/2018
Existing PM
}

Synchro 10 Report

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
12: US 441 \& Boy Scout Blvd
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

\section*{15: Hermit Smith Rd \& General Electric Rd}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 6.2 & & & & & \\
Movement & WBL & WBR & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT \\
\hline Lane Configurations & Mr & & \(\mathbf{F}\) & & & \(\uparrow\) \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 7 & 65 & 17 & 21 & 41 & 6 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 7 & 65 & 17 & 21 & 41 & 6 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Free & Free & Free & Free \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & 0 & - & - & - & - & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & 0 & - & 0 & - & - & 0 \\
Grade, \% & 0 & - & 0 & - & - & 0 \\
Peak Hour Factor & 69 & 69 & 69 & 69 & 69 & 69 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 \\
Mvmt Flow & 10 & 94 & 25 & 30 & 59 & 9
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Major/Minor M & Minor1 & & Major1 & & Major2 & \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & 167 & 40 & 0 & 0 & 55 & 0 \\
\hline Stage 1 & 40 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & 127 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Critical Hdwy & 6.6 & 6.4 & - & - & 4.3 & - \\
\hline Critical Hdwy Stg 1 & 5.6 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Critical Hdwy Stg 2 & 5.6 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Follow-up Hdwy & 3.68 & 3.48 & - & - & 2.38 & - \\
\hline Pot Cap-1 Maneuver & 783 & 982 & - & - & 1442 & - \\
\hline Stage 1 & 938 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & 856 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Platoon blocked, \% & & & - & - & & - \\
\hline Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & 751 & 982 & - & - & 1442 & - \\
\hline Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & 751 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Stage 1 & 900 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & 856 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach & WB & & NB & & SB & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 9.2 & & 0 & & 6.6 & \\
\hline HCM LOS & A & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Minor Lane/Major Mvmt} & NBT & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{NBRWBLn1} & SBL & SBT \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & & - & - & 953 & 1442 & - \\
\hline HCM Lane V/C Ratio & & - & - & 0.109 & 0.041 & - \\
\hline HCM Control Delay (s) & & - & - & 9.2 & 7.6 & 0 \\
\hline HCM Lane LOS & & - & - & A & A & A \\
\hline HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & & - & - & 0.4 & 0.1 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 2.9 & & & & & \\
Movement & EBL & EBR & NBL & NBT & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & Mr & & & \(\uparrow\) & \(\uparrow\) & \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 27 & 68 & 55 & 217 & 136 & 12 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 27 & 68 & 55 & 217 & 136 & 12 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Free & Free & Free & Free \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & 0 & - & - & - & - & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 91 & 91 & 91 & 91 & 91 & 91 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 20 & 20 & 20 & 2 & 2 & 20 \\
Mvmt Flow & 30 & 75 & 60 & 238 & 149 & 13
\end{tabular}


Appendix G
Trip Generation Sheets

\title{
High-Cube Transload and Short-Term Storage Warehouse (154)
}

\author{
Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA \\ On a: Weekday
}

\section*{Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban}

Number of Studies: 91
1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 798
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, \(50 \%\) exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
Average Rate
1.40
Range of Rates
0.20-4.32

Data Plot and Equation


\title{
High-Cube Transload and Short-Term Storage Warehouse (154)
}

\author{
Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA \\ On a: Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. \\ Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban \\ Number of Studies: 103 \\ 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 840 \\ Directional Distribution: \(\quad 28 \%\) entering, \(72 \%\) exiting
}

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

Average Rate
0.10

Range of Rates
0.00-0.25

Standard Deviation 0.06

Data Plot and Equation


Appendix H
OUATS Model
\begin{tabular}{|ll|}
\hline Legend: & \\
\hline\(=\) & l lane per direction \\
\(=\) & 2 lanes per direction \\
3 lanes per direction \\
\(=\) & 4 lanes per direction \\
5 lanes per direction \\
6+ lanes per direction \\
Centroid connector
\end{tabular}


\section*{Appendix I}

Background \& Projected Conditions Analysis Worksheets

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
3: Hermit Smith Rd \& US 441
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
6: Orange Ave \& US 441
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
7: US 441 \& SR 429 Connector Rd
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
12: US 441 \& Boy Scout Blvd
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

\section*{15: Hermit Smith Rd \& General Electric Rd}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 6.4 & & & & & \\
Movement & WBL & WBR & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT \\
\hline Lane Configurations & Mr & & \(\mathbf{F}\) & & & \(\mathbf{\uparrow}\) \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 7 & 145 & 70 & 21 & 72 & 27 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 7 & 145 & 70 & 21 & 72 & 27 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Free & Free & Free & Free \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & 0 & - & - & - & - & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & 0 & - & 0 & - & - & 0 \\
Grade, \% & 0 & - & 0 & - & - & 0 \\
Peak Hour Factor & 69 & 69 & 69 & 69 & 69 & 69 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 \\
Mvmt Flow & 10 & 210 & 101 & 30 & 104 & 39
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Major/Minor & Minor1 & & Major1 & & Major2 & \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & 363 & 116 & 0 & 0 & 131 & 0 \\
\hline Stage 1 & 116 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & 247 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Critical Hdwy & 6.6 & 6.4 & - & - & 4.3 & - \\
\hline Critical Hdwy Stg 1 & 5.6 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Critical Hdwy Stg 2 & 5.6 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Follow-up Hdwy & 3.68 & 3.48 & - & - & 2.38 & - \\
\hline Pot Cap-1 Maneuver & 602 & 890 & - & - & 1350 & - \\
\hline Stage 1 & 866 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & 754 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Platoon blocked, \% & & & - & - & & - \\
\hline Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & 554 & 890 & - & - & 1350 & - \\
\hline Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & 554 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Stage 1 & 798 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & 754 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach & WB & & NB & & SB & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 10.6 & & 0 & & 5.7 & \\
\hline HCM LOS & B & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Minor Lane/Major Mvmt} & NBT & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{NBRWBLn1} & SBL & SBT \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & & - & - & 866 & 1350 & - \\
\hline HCM Lane V/C Ratio & & - & - & 0.254 & 0.077 & - \\
\hline HCM Control Delay (s) & & - & - & 10.6 & 7.9 & 0 \\
\hline HCM Lane LOS & & - & - & B & A & A \\
\hline HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & & - & - & 1 & 0.3 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM 6th TWSC
16: Orange Ave \& General Electric Rd
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 3.1 & & & & & \\
Movement & EBL & EBR & NBL & NBT & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & Mr & & & -1 & \(\uparrow\) & \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 36 & 103 & 69 & 356 & 229 & 15 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 36 & 103 & 69 & 356 & 229 & 15 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Stop & Stop & Free & Free & Free & Free \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & 0 & - & - & - & - & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 91 & 91 & 91 & 91 & 91 & 91 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 20 & 20 & 20 & 10 & 10 & 20 \\
Mvmt Flow & 40 & 113 & 76 & 391 & 252 & 16
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrlll}
\hline Major/Minor & Minor2 & \multicolumn{3}{c}{ Major1 } & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Major2 } \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & 803 & 260 & 268 & 0 & - & 0 \\
\(\quad\) Stage 1 & 260 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\(\quad\) Stage 2 & 543 & - & - & - & - & - \\
Critical Hdwy & 6.6 & 6.4 & 4.3 & - & - & - \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 & 5.6 & - & - & - & - & - \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 & 5.6 & - & - & - & - & - \\
Follow-up Hdwy & 3.68 & 3.48 & 2.38 & - & - & - \\
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver & 329 & 737 & 1198 & - & - & - \\
\(\quad\) Stage 1 & 743 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\(\quad\) Stage 2 & 548 & - & - & - & - & - \\
Platoon blocked, \% & & & & - & - & - \\
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & 302 & 737 & 1198 & - & - & - \\
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & 302 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\(\quad\) Stage 1 & 683 & - & - & - & - & - \\
Stage 2 & 548 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Approach & EB & NB & SB \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 14.3 & 1.3 & 0
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt & NBL & NBT EBLn1 & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 1198 & - & 537 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
17: US 441 \& Plymouth Sorrento


\section*{Notes}

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 0.9 & & & & & \\
Movement & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & NBL & NBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & \(\uparrow\) & & & -1 & Mr & \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 87 & 6 & 3 & 137 & 15 & 5 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 87 & 6 & 3 & 137 & 15 & 5 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Free & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & - & - & - & - & 0 & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 95 & 95 & 95 & 95 & 95 & 95 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \(\%\) & 10 & 20 & 20 & 10 & 20 & 20 \\
Mvmt Flow & 92 & 6 & 3 & 144 & 16 & 5
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Major/Minor & Major1 & & Major2 & & Minor1 & \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & 0 & 0 & 98 & 0 & 245 & 95 \\
\hline Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & 95 & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & 150 & - \\
\hline Critical Hdwy & - & - & 4.3 & - & 6.6 & 6.4 \\
\hline Critical Hdwy Stg 1 & - & - & - & - & 5.6 & - \\
\hline Critical Hdwy Stg 2 & - & - & - & - & 5.6 & - \\
\hline Follow-up Hdwy & - & - & 2.38 & - & 3.68 & 3.48 \\
\hline Pot Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & 1390 & - & 706 & 914 \\
\hline Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & 886 & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & 836 & - \\
\hline Platoon blocked, \% & - & - & & - & & \\
\hline Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & 1390 & - & 705 & 914 \\
\hline Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & 705 & - \\
\hline Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & 884 & - \\
\hline Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & 836 & - \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline Approach & EB & & WB & & NB & \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 0 & & 0.2 & & 10 & \\
\hline HCM LOS & & & & & B & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Minor Lane/Major Mvmt} & NBLn1 & EBT & EBR & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{WBL WBT} \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & & 748 & - & - & 1390 & - \\
\hline HCM Lane V/C Ratio & & 0.028 & - & & 0.002 & - \\
\hline HCM Control Delay (s) & & 10 & - & - & 7.6 & 0 \\
\hline HCM Lane LOS & & B & - & - & A & A \\
\hline HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & & 0.1 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM 6th TWSC
23: Main Access \& General Electric Rd
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 3.2 & & & & & \\
Movement & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & NBL & NBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & \(\uparrow\) & & & -1 & Mr & \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 72 & 20 & 10 & 90 & 50 & 30 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 72 & 20 & 10 & 90 & 50 & 30 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Free & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & - & - & - & - & 0 & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 95 & 95 & 95 & 95 & 95 & 95 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 10 & 20 & 10 & 20 & 10 & 20 \\
Mvmt Flow & 76 & 21 & 11 & 95 & 53 & 32
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Major/Minor & Major1 & \multicolumn{6}{c}{ Major2 } & \multicolumn{3}{r}{ Minor1 } \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & 0 & 0 & 97 & 0 & 204 & 87 \\
\(\quad\) Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & 87 & - \\
\(\quad\) Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & 117 & - \\
Critical Hdwy & - & - & 4.2 & - & 6.5 & 6.4 \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 & - & - & - & - & 5.5 & - \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 & - & - & - & - & 5.5 & - \\
Follow-up Hdwy & - & - & 2.29 & - & 3.59 & 3.48 \\
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & 1448 & - & 767 & 924 \\
\(\quad\) Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & 917 & - \\
\(\quad\) Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & 889 & - \\
Platoon blocked, \% & - & - & & - & & \\
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & 1448 & - & 761 & 924 \\
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & 761 & - \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & 910 & - \\
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & 889 & -
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
Approach & EB & WB & NB \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 0 & 0.8 & 9.9 \\
HCM LOS & & A
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrc} 
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt & NBLn1 & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 815 & - & -1448 & - \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.103 & - & -0.007 & - \\
HCM Control Delay (s) & 9.9 & - & - & 7.5 & 0 \\
HCM Lane LOS & A & - & - & A & A \\
HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & 0.3 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HCM 6th TWSC
25: East Access \& General Electric Rd
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Intersection & & & & & & \\
\hline Int Delay, s/veh & 1.3 & & & & & \\
Movement & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & NBL & NBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & \(\uparrow\) & & & -1 & Mr & \\
Traffic Vol, veh/h & 97 & 5 & 4 & 80 & 15 & 9 \\
Future Vol, veh/h & 97 & 5 & 4 & 80 & 15 & 9 \\
Conflicting Peds, \#/hr & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Sign Control & Free & Free & Free & Free & Stop & Stop \\
RT Channelized & - & None & - & None & - & None \\
Storage Length & - & - & - & - & 0 & - \\
Veh in Median Storage, \# & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Grade, \% & 0 & - & - & 0 & 0 & - \\
Peak Hour Factor & 97 & 97 & 97 & 97 & 97 & 97 \\
Heavy Vehicles, \% & 10 & 20 & 20 & 10 & 20 & 20 \\
Mvmt Flow & 100 & 5 & 4 & 82 & 15 & 9
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline Major/Minor & Major1 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Major2 } & \multicolumn{3}{c}{ Minor1 } \\
\hline Conflicting Flow All & 0 & 0 & 105 & 0 & 193 & 103 \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & 103 & - \\
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & 90 & - \\
Critical Hdwy & - & - & 4.3 & - & 6.6 & 6.4 \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 & - & - & - & - & 5.6 & - \\
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 & - & - & - & - & 5.6 & - \\
Follow-up Hdwy & - & - & 2.38 & - & 3.68 & 3.48 \\
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & 1381 & - & 757 & 905 \\
\(\quad\) Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & 878 & - \\
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & 890 & - \\
Platoon blocked, \% & - & - & & - & & \\
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver & - & - & 1381 & - & 755 & 905 \\
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver & - & - & - & - & 755 & - \\
Stage 1 & - & - & - & - & 875 & - \\
Stage 2 & - & - & - & - & 890 & -
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
Approach & EB & WB & NB \\
\hline HCM Control Delay, s & 0 & 0.4 & 9.6 \\
HCM LOS & & A
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt & NBLn1 & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT \\
\hline Capacity (veh/h) & 805 & - & -1381 & - \\
HCM Lane V/C Ratio & 0.031 & - & -0.003 & - \\
HCM Control Delay (s) & 9.6 & - & - & 7.6 & 0 \\
HCM Lane LOS & A & - & - & A & A \\
HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) & 0.1 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Appendix J
Improved Intersection Analysis Worksheets

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
3：Hermit Smith Rd \＆US 441
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & \(\rightarrow\) & \(\frac{1}{7}\) & 7 & & 4 & 4 & 4 & \％ & \[
t
\] & \(\dagger\) & 4 \\
\hline Movement & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Lane Configurations & \({ }^{1}\) & 44 & 「 & \({ }^{1 /}\) & 中 \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & & & 4 & 「 & & \(\uparrow\) & \\
\hline Traffic Volume（veh／h） & 28 & 1330 & 15 & 53 & 1887 & 124 & 127 & 39 & 175 & 222 & 17 & 40 \\
\hline Future Volume（veh／h） & 28 & 1330 & 15 & 53 & 1887 & 124 & 127 & 39 & 175 & 222 & 17 & 40 \\
\hline Initial Q（Qb），veh & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 1.00 \\
\hline Parking Bus，Adj & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Work Zone On Approach & & No & & & No & & & No & & & No & \\
\hline Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln & 1870 & 1796 & 1678 & 1678 & 1796 & 1796 & 1678 & 1678 & 1678 & 1678 & 1678 & 1678 \\
\hline Adj Flow Rate，veh／h & 29 & 1371 & 15 & 55 & 1945 & 128 & 131 & 40 & 103 & 229 & 18 & 41 \\
\hline Peak Hour Factor & 0.97 & 0.97 & 0.97 & 0.97 & 0.97 & 0.97 & 0.97 & 0.97 & 0.97 & 0.97 & 0.97 & 0.97 \\
\hline Percent Heavy Veh，\％ & 2 & 7 & 15 & 15 & 7 & 7 & 15 & 15 & 15 & 15 & 15 & 15 \\
\hline Cap，veh／h & 218 & 2184 & 910 & 235 & 2082 & 135 & 241 & 61 & 264 & 111 & 5 & 12 \\
\hline Arrive On Green & 0.02 & 0.64 & 0.64 & 0.06 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 0.19 & 0.19 & 0.19 & 0.19 & 0.19 & 0.19 \\
\hline Sat Flow，veh／h & 1781 & 3413 & 1422 & 1598 & 3253 & 212 & 1073 & 328 & 1422 & 364 & 29 & 65 \\
\hline Grp Volume（v），veh／h & 29 & 1371 & 15 & 55 & 1010 & 1063 & 171 & 0 & 103 & 288 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln & 1781 & 1706 & 1422 & 1598 & 1706 & 1758 & 1401 & 0 & 1422 & 458 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Q Serve（g＿s），s & 0.8 & 36.3 & 0.6 & 1.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 9.5 & 10.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s & 0.8 & 36.3 & 0.6 & 1.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 17.0 & 0.0 & 9.5 & 27.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Prop In Lane & 1.00 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & & 0.12 & 0.77 & & 1.00 & 0.80 & & 0.14 \\
\hline Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h & 218 & 2184 & 910 & 235 & 1092 & 1125 & 302 & 0 & 264 & 128 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline V／C Ratio（X） & 0.13 & 0.63 & 0.02 & 0.23 & 0.92 & 0.94 & 0.57 & 0.00 & 0.39 & 2.25 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h & 288 & 2184 & 910 & 298 & 1092 & 1125 & 304 & 0 & 265 & 128 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline HCM Platoon Ratio & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 2.00 & 2.00 & 2.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Upstream Filter（I） & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 0.18 & 0.18 & 0.18 & 1.00 & 0.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline Uniform Delay（d），s／veh & 8.6 & 16.2 & 9.8 & 13.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 56.7 & 0.0 & 53.7 & 71.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Incr Delay（d2），s／veh & 0.3 & 1.4 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 3.3 & 4.2 & 2.4 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 587.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln & 0.6 & 18.9 & 0.3 & 1.0 & 1.7 & 2.1 & 10.3 & 0.0 & 6.3 & 43.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh} \\
\hline LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh & 8.9 & 17.6 & 9.9 & 13.3 & 3.3 & 4.2 & 59.1 & 0.0 & 54.6 & 658.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline LnGrp LOS & A & B & A & B & A & A & E & A & D & F & A & A \\
\hline Approach Vol，veh／h & & 1415 & & & 2128 & & & 274 & & & 288 & \\
\hline Approach Delay，s／veh & & 17.4 & & & 4.0 & & & 57.4 & & & 658.4 & \\
\hline Approach LOS & & B & & & A & & & E & & & F & \\
\hline Timer－Assigned Phs & 1 & 2 & & 4 & 5 & 6 & & 8 & & & & \\
\hline Phs Duration（ \(G+Y+R c\) ），\(s\) & 12.1 & 102.9 & & 35.0 & 12.1 & 102.9 & & 35.0 & & & & \\
\hline Change Period（Y＋Rc），s & ＊ 8.6 & ＊ 6.9 & & ＊ 7.2 & ＊ 7.6 & 6.9 & & 7.2 & & & & \\
\hline Max Green Setting（Gmax），s & ＊ 9.4 & ＊ 90 & & ＊ 28 & ＊ 10 & 90.1 & & 27.8 & & & & \\
\hline Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋l1），s & 2.8 & 2.0 & & 19.0 & 3.8 & 38.3 & & 29.8 & & & & \\
\hline Green Ext Time（p＿c），s & 0.0 & 31.1 & & 0.6 & 0.1 & 12.4 & & 0.0 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline HCM 6th Ctrl Delay & & & 58.1 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline HCM 6th LOS & & & E & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Notes & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

\title{
CITY OF APOPKA PLANNING COMMISSION
}

MEETING OF: \(\quad\) September 11, 2018
FROM: Community Development
EXHIBITS: Vicinity Map
Aerial Map
Final Development Plan

SUBJECT:

REQUEST:

FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN - APOPKA MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDING

RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR APOPKA MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDING SITE PLAN

\section*{SUMMARY:}

OWNER/APPLICANT: Urgent Care Developers of Apopka, LLC c/o Tim Burrill
ENGINEER: Klima Weeks Civil Engineering, Inc., c/o Selby G. Weeks, P.E.
LOCATION: 1520 West Orange Blossom Trail; West Orange Blossom Trail
PARCEL ID \#s: 05-21-28-0000-00-008 and 05-21-28-0000-00-038
FUTURE LAND USE: Commercial
ZONING:
C-1 (Retail Commercial)
Vacant Land
PROPOSED USE: Medical Office
TRACT SIZE: \(\quad 4.48+/-\) acres
BUILDING SIZE: \(\quad 5,285\) square feet (proposed)
FLOOR AREA RATIO 0.03 (0.25 Maximum)

\section*{DISTRIBUTION}

Mayor Nelson
Commissioners
City Administrator
Community Development Director

Finance Director HR Director
IT Director
Police Chief

Public Services Director
Recreation Director
City Clerk
Fire Chief

PLANNING COMMISSION - SEPTEMBER 11, 2018
APOPKA MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDING - FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN PAGE 2

\section*{RELATIONSHIP TO ADJACENT PROPERTIES:}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|l|}
\hline Direction & Future Land Use & Zoning & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Present Use } \\
\hline North (City) & Commercial & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Right-of-Way (ROW)/ \\
C-1 (Retail Commercial)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
U.S. Highway 441/Multi-tenant Shopping \\
Center
\end{tabular} \\
\hline East (City) & Commercial & C-1 & Motel \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
South \\
(County and \\
City)
\end{tabular} & Industrial & \begin{tabular}{c} 
County Ind-4 (Heavy \\
industrial)/R-1 (Residential \\
Single-Family)
\end{tabular} & Railroad/Residential Subdivision \\
\hline West (City) & Commercial & C-1 & Retention Pond \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PROJECT SUMMARY: This is a request to approve the Apopka Medical Office Building - Final Development Plan/Site Plan that includes a proposed building floor area of 5,285 square feet for medical office use. This project on Lot 1 will use 0.57 acre. Lot 2 is intended for future commercial use with a total of 3.92 acres. The entire parcel with total size of 4.48 acres is intended to be platted prior to the completion of the medical office building. A Certificate of Occupancy for this project will not be issued until a plat is approved. The stormwater pond may later be incorporated into a master stormwater pond jointly used by the entire development.

PARKING: A total of 26 parking spaces will be provided as required by Code, two of which are reserved as a handicap accessible parking spaces.

ACCESS/TRANSPORTATION: This project will have right-in-right-out only access to U.S. 441/W Orange Blossom Trail. Exiting traffic that wants to travel north on U.S. 441/W Orange Blossom trail will be required to make a U-turn at the U.S. 441/W Orange Blossom Trail and Errol Parkway signalized intersection.

Projects that generate less than 400 daily trips do not require the submittal of a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA). The applicant was advised at DRC that when the remainder of the parcel is brought forward for development, a TIA will be required to assess the total site impacts.

EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS: The height of the proposed building is 25 feet, below the maximum allowable height of 35 feet. Staff has found the proposed building façade elevations to be in accordance with the City's Development Design Guidelines.

STORMWATER: The stormwater management system includes an on-site retention area, on the southern portion of the site. The stormwater pond design meets the City's Land Development Code requirements based on this project but may be amended at the time of Lot 2 development.

BUFFER/SCREENING/TREE PROGRAM: As part of the development plan approval, blue cypress, bottle brush and crepe myrtle trees will embellish the 10 -foot wide landscaping buffer adjacent to U.S. Highway 441. Live oaks are located around the parking landscaped islands and blue cypress trees surround the building. The planting materials and irrigation system design are consistent with the water-efficient landscape standards set forth in Ordinance No. 2069.
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Arbor Assessment:
Total inches on-site: 12
Total inches removed 12
Total inches retained: 0
Total inches added: 89
Total inches post development: 89

\section*{PUBLIC HEARING SCHEDULE:}

September 11, 2018 - Planning Commission (5:30 pm)
September 19, 2018 - City Council (7:00 pm)

\section*{RECOMMENDATION ACTION:}

The Development Review Committee recommends approval of the Apopka Medical Office Building Final Development Plan, subject to the findings of this staff report.

Planning Commission Recommendation: Find the Apopka Medical Office Building Final Development Plan consistent with the Land Development Code and Comprehensive Plan, and recommend approval of Apopka Medical Office Building Final Development Plan, subject to the findings of this staff report.

Planning Commission Role: The role of the Planning Commission for this development application is to advise the City Council to approve, deny, or approve with conditions based on consistency with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code.

Note: This item is considered quasi-judicial. The staff report and its findings are to be incorporated into and made a part of the minutes of this meeting.
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Application: Final Development Plan
Owner:
Applicant:
Engineer:
Parcel I.D. \#s:
Location:
Acres:
Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.
Urgent Care Developers of Apopka, LLC c/o Tim Burrill
Klima Weeks Civil Engineering, Inc., c/o Selby G. Weeks, P.E.
05-21-28-0000-00-008 and 05-21-28-0000-00-038
1520 West Orange Blossom Trail and West Orange Blossom Trail 4.48 acres +/-

\section*{VICINITY MAP}


\author{
AERIAL MAP
}


\section*{APOPKA MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDING}

\section*{FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS}
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LOCATION MAP \& LAND USE MAP 1520 WEST ORANGE BLOSSOM TRAIL, APOPKA, FLORIDA


\section*{LEGAL DESCRIPTION Pareel:}
 Parcel 2:
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{} \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


 thence run S. \(65^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\). \(33^{2}\) feet, more or less to the Point of teginning

PARCEL ID: 05-21-28-0000-00-008
05-21-28-0000-00-038

\section*{CHARACTER AND INTENDED USE}
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TYPICAL SECTION B-B - DRY RETENTION POND
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SECTIONA-A
WATER AND RECLAIMED WATER MAINS WET TAP TIE-IN DETAIL
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\hline CITY & JANUARY 201 & FIG. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}




.

3.

Water service connection detall
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline CITY OF APOPKA & JANUARY 2014 & FIG. 405 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

nors:
1. 1 Horss



TYPICAL MANHOLE




PLAN


STANDARD MANHOLE FRAME AND COVER



Notiss



AIR OR COMBINATION AIR/VACUUM RELEASE VALVE DETAIL-UNDERGROUND
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Cl & JANUARY 2014 & FIG. 119 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\title{
CITY OF APOPKA PLANNING COMMISSION
}

MEETING OF: September 11, 2018
FROM: Community Development
EXHIBITS: Vicinity Map
Aerial Map
Plat
Final Development Plan

SUBJECT:
PLAT - LAKESIDE, PHASE 2 RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION
REQUEST: RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE PLAT FOR LAKESIDE, PHASE 2, RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION

\section*{SUMMARY:}

OWNER:
APPLICANT:
LOCATION:
EXISTING USE:
FUTURE LAND USE: Low Density Residential, Mixed-Use
ZONING:
PROPOSED USE:
TRACT SIZE:
DEVELOPABLE AREA:

Appian Engineering, LLC, c/o Luke M. Classon, P.E.
South of Marshall Lake and West of SR 451
Vacant land

PUD (Planned Unit Development)
124 single-family homes
154.18 +/- acres
\(52.06+/-\) acres

\section*{DISTRIBUTION}

Mayor Nelson
Commissioners
City Administrator
Community Development Director

Finance Director
HR Director
IT Director
Police Chief

Public Services Director
Recreation Director
City Clerk
Fire Chief
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RELATIONSHIP TO ADJACENT PROPERTIES:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|c|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Direction } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Future Land Use } & Zoning & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Present Use } \\
\hline North (City) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Industrial (max 0.60 \\
FAR)
\end{tabular} & I-1 & John's Nursery, vacant property \\
\hline East (City) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Industrial, None assigned \\
(SR 451 right-of-way)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
I-1, no \\
zoning \\
assigned
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Existing agricultural use (John's Nursery), \\
SR 451 right-of-way
\end{tabular} \\
\hline South (City) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Low Density Residential \\
(0-5 du/ac), Commercial \\
(max 0.25 FAR)
\end{tabular} & R-1A, C-1 & Vacant property, SR 451 retention pond \\
\hline West (City) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Low Density Residential \\
(0-5 du/ac)
\end{tabular} & PUD & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Breckenridge residential subdivision buffer \\
tract
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Project Use: On April 4, 2018 the City Council approved a PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan for the Lake Marshall subdivision, which details the development of 301 single family residential lots in two phases. The subject property is located south of Marshall Lake and west of SR 451. The surrounding properties consist primarily of single-family residential and agricultural uses. The developer has submitted a Final Development Plan and Plat for phase 2 of the Lake Marshall subdivision, now named Lakeside.

The applicant is requesting approval of the Plat for Phase 2 of Lakeside. The Lakeside Phase 2 plat is for 124 single-family residential lots. All internal roadways are proposed as privately owned and maintained, and the subdivision will be gated. Consistent with the approved PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan, lot widths of 55 -feet and 60 -feet are provided on the Phase 2 plat. A minimum living area of 1,600 square feet is provided for all units located within Phase 2.

The minimum setbacks applicable to this project are:
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline Setback & Min. Standard \\
\hline Front & \(25^{\prime}\) \\
\hline Side & \(5^{\prime}-60^{\prime}\) lot \\
\hline Rear & \(7.5^{\prime}-55^{\prime}\) lot \\
\hline Corner & \(20^{\prime}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Access: Ingress/egress access points for the development will be via Johns Road. Adjacent to the west of the subject property is the Breckenridge subdivision. A gated access for emergency vehicles will be provided between the two subdivisions. This access point will only be for emergency vehicles. In response to the Breckenridge homeowners association, pedestrian access will not be permitted between the two subdivisions.

Stormwater: There are three (3) retention ponds designed to meet the City's Land Development Code requirements.

Recreation and Open Space: Consistent with the approved PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan, the recreation package will be located within Phase 1 and will consist of a cabana with restrooms, a swimming pool, a playground, and a mixed-use active field at a minimum. In addition the developer is dedicating a tract in Phase 1 adjacent to Marshall Lake as a Community Lake Park that will have a community dock, and a 1.03 acre open space tract across the street from this park. These amenities will be
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available to residents in Phase 2. In the Phase 2 area, two tracts will be dedicated as a park/open space area. A 15.12 acre Conservation area within Tract "II" will also be dedicated with an easement to the St. Johns River Water Management Distirct.

Buffer/Tree Program: Consistent with the approved PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan, landscaping is provided at the subdivision entrance on Johns Road, a 10-foot landscape buffer is provided along Johns Road, and a 20 -foot wide landscape buffer is provided along SR 451. An existing 50 -foot landscape buffer occurs along the western and northern project line within the Breckenridge plat. This buffer is noted as a tract on the Breckenridge plat. The Lake Marshall development will not be visible from the homes within Breckenridge or along SR 451.

The following is a summary of the tree replacement program for this project:
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Total inches on-site: & 15,296 \\
Total number of specimen trees: & 45 \\
Total inches removed: & 15,161 \\
Total inches retained: & 135 \\
Total inches replaced: & 2,502 \\
Total Inches (Post Development): & 4,637
\end{tabular}

SCHOOL CAPACITY REPORT: The developer has obtained a school concurrency mitigation agreement with Orange County Public Schools to address school impacts generated by this residential development. The schools zoned to receive students from this community are the following: Apopka Elementary School, Wolf Lake Middle School and Apopka High School.

ORANGE COUNTY NOTIFICATION: The County was notified at the time of the subdivision plan and plat for this property through the DRC agenda distribution.

\section*{PUBLIC HEARING SCHEDULE:}

September 11, 2018 - Planning Commission, 5:30 p.m.
October 3, 2018 - City Council, 1:30 p.m.

\section*{RECOMMENDATION ACTION:}

The Development Review Committee recommends approval of the Lakeside, Phase 2 Plat subject to the final review by the City surveyor and City Engineer prior to recording the plat.

Recommended Motion: Recommend approval of the Lakeside, Phase 2 Plat, subject to final review by the City surveyor and City Engineer prior to recording the plat.

Planning Commission Role: The role of the Planning Commission for this development application is to advise the City Council to approve or deny based on consistency with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code.

Note: This item is considered quasi-judicial. The staff report and its findings are to be incorporated into and made a part of the minutes of this meeting.
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\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Application: & Lakeside, Phase 2 plat \\
Owners: & Avatar Properties, Inc \\
Project Engineer: & Appian Engineering, Inc., c/o Luke M. Classon, P.E. \\
Parcel ID\#s: & 17-21-28-0000-00-014, 08-21-28-0000-00-043 \\
Total Acres: & \(\mathbf{1 5 4 . 1 8}+/-\)
\end{tabular}

VICINITY MAP


\section*{AERIAL MAP}



KEY MAP
Not To SCALE





REPLATTING TRACT " \(Z\) ", LAKESIDE PHASE \(/\) RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK PASES SAS
SECTIONS 8 AND 17 TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE- 28 EAST


REPLATTING TRACT "Z", LAKESIDE PHASE I
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\title{
CITY OF APOPKA PLANNING COMMISSION
}

PUBLIC HEARING
X SITE PLAN SPECIAL REPORTS OTHER: Plat

MEETING OF: September 11, 2018
FROM: Community Development
EXHIBITS: Vicinity Map
Aerial Map
Plat
Final Development Plan
PUD Master Plan

SUBJECT:
VISTA RESERVE - PLAT
REQUEST:
RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE VISTA RESERVE PLAT

\section*{SUMMARY:}

OWNERS:

APPLICANT:
LOCATION:

PARCEL ID NUMBERS:
EXISTING USE:
FLUM DESIGNATION:
CURRENT ZONING:
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:
TRACT SIZE:

Mikhail Wafaa, Abdelsayed George, Abdelsayed Lucy, Abdelsayed Wafeek

\section*{Pulte Home Corporation}

East side of Rogers Road, approximately one half mile north of the intersection of Rogers Road and Lester Road

29-20-28-0000-00-003
Vacant
Low Density Suburban Residential
PUD (Planned Unit Development)
153 single family homes; developed in one phase
\(61.1+/-\) acres

\section*{DISTRIBUTION}

Mayor Nelson
Commissioners
City Administrator
Community Development Director

Finance Director
HR Director
IT Director
Police Chief

Public Services Director
Recreation Director
City Clerk
Fire Chief

RELATIONSHIP TO ADJACENT PROPERTIES:
\begin{tabular}{|c|l|c|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Direction } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Future Land Use } & Zoning & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Present Use } \\
\hline North (City) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Low Density Suburban Residential (0- \\
\(3.5 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ac})\)
\end{tabular} & R-1AA & Vacant, Lake Merril \\
\hline East (City) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Low Density Suburban Residential (0- \\
\(3.5 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ac})\)
\end{tabular} & PUD & Oak Hill Reserve subdivision \\
\hline South (City) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Low Density Suburban Residential (0- \\
3.5 du/ac)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
R-1AA, R- \\
1
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Carriage Hills subdivision (under \\
construction), Vacant property
\end{tabular} \\
\hline West (City) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Low Density Suburban Residential (0- \\
3.5 du/ac)
\end{tabular} & R-1AA & Wekiva Run subdivision \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Project Use: On August 1, 2018, the City Council approved a PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan for the Vista Reserve subdivision, which details the development of 153 single family residential lots in one phase. The subject property is located on the east side of Rogers Road, approximately one half mile north of the intersection of Rogers Road and Lester Road. The applicant is requesting approval of the Plat for Vista Reserve. The plat for Vista Reserve is for 153 lots. Consistent with the approved PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan, lot widths of 65 -feet and 90 -feet are provided on the plat. The minimum living area is proposed at 2,190 square feet.

Access: Ingress/egress access points for the development are located via Rogers Road. Rogers Road will terminate at the main entrance of the development. The developer will be dedicating a 0.68 acre portion of right-of-way along Rogers Road to the City. The subdivision will consist of public roads and infrastructure that is owned and maintained by the City. Vehicular and pedestrian connections are provided to the property to the north and to the Carriage Hills subdivision located to the south.

Stormwater: Two tracts located on the northwestern and northeastern corners of the site are reserved for stormwater retention. The stormwater retention areas will be owned and maintained by the homeowners association.

Recreation: Consistent with the approved PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan, a 1.67 acre tract is reserved for the community recreation facility that will be owned and maintained by the homeowners association. Included within the recreation facility is a picnic area, pool, pool cabana building, play structure, open play area, bicycle parking, and a parking area for 8 cars.

Buffer/Tree Program: Consistent with the approved PUD Master Plan/Preliminary Development Plan, a continuous open space tract with is provided around the perimeter of the subdivision to buffer the homes from the surrounding existing subdivisions. Thirty percent of the site, or 18.13 acres of open space is provided, and will be owned and maintained by the homeowners association. Landscaping and a 6 -foot high brick wall is provided along Rogers Road. Trees are located within the open space tract provided around the perimeter of the subdivision.

The applicant has agreed to pay a tree mitigation payment of \(\$ 56,143.06\).
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SCHOOL CAPACITY REPORT: Per Orange County Public Schools, the project is vested to satisfy capacity, however there are outstanding concurrency issues that will be required to be satisfied prior to approval of a plat.

ORANGE COUNTY NOTIFICATION: The County was notified at the time of the subdivision plan and plat for this property through the DRC agenda distribution.

\section*{PUBLIC HEARING SCHEDULE:}

September 11, 2018 - Planning Commission ( \(5: 30 \mathrm{pm}\) )
October 3, 2018 - City Council (1:30 pm) - 1st Reading

\section*{RECOMMENDATION ACTION:}

The Development Review Committee recommends approval of the Vista Reserve Plat, subject to final review by the City surveyor and City Engineer prior to recording the plat.

Recommended Motion: Recommend approval of the Vista Reserve plat, subject to final review by the City surveyor and City Engineer prior to recording the plat.

Planning Commission Role: The role of the Planning Commission for this development application is to advise the City Council to approve or deny based on consistency with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code and Final Development Plan and Master Plan.

Note: This item is considered quasi-judicial. The staff report and its findings are to be incorporated into and made a part of the minutes of this meeting.

\section*{PAGE 4}

Project: VISTA RESERVE
Owned by:
Located:
Mikhail Wafaa, Abdelsayed George, Abdelsayed Lucy, Abdelsayed Wafeek East side of Rogers Road, approximately one half mile north of the intersection of Rogers Road and Lester Road
Parcel ID\#s: 29-20-28-0000-00-003

\section*{VICINITY MAP}


\section*{PAGE 5}


N

\section*{AERIAL MAP}


LEGAL DESCRIPTION:






\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { THE DESCRBED PARCEL ALL LING IN ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA CONTANNN } 2,661,310 \text { SQUaRE FEET } \\
& \text { (61.1 ACRES), MORE OR LESS. }
\end{aligned}
\]


SURVEYOR'S NOTES:
Bearings shown hereon ore assumed ond based on the South line of the Northwest Quarter of Section
2g, Township 2 S South, Renge 28 East being on ossumed bearing of South \(89.54355^{\prime \prime}\) West for ongular
designotion only
2. All lot lines intersecting curves are radial, unless othervise noted non-rodial ( N.R. ).
3. All plotited utility easements shall also be easements for the eonstruction, installotion, maintenance, and




5. Troct FC-1 (Floodplain Conservation), shall be owned by the Assocition with development rights
 of Apopka or ony other applicable jurisidictional ogency is granted to remove dead or
vegegetaion thot poses a hazard or opproval is granted to remove exotic or nuisonce vegetation.
6. This plat contains 153 Lots.

The stret tree easements, wall access easen
deaicoted to ond maintained by the Associotion.
8. A utility easement is hereby dedicated to the perpetual use of the public over the entirety of Trocts
9. The Floodloin Conservation line shown hereon was established by the Federal Emergency Monagement
Associotion, utilizing the Bose Flood Zone Elevotion (B.F.).) for Zone AE os being on elevotion of
 bosed on the St. Johns River Woter Monogement District Benchmark. \(\# 88\)-O799-0
headwall at end of cull-de-soc on Championship Court, Elevation 59.619 (NAVO 88).


\section*{SHEET INDEX}

SHEET 1 of 4 - legal description, Surrveyor's notes, SHEET 2 OF 4 - boundary information 3 through 4 of 4 - geometry


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Leano & \\
\hline \(\stackrel{L B}{\text { U.E. }}\) & denotes licensed business enotes utility easemen \\
\hline R/W & denotes right-of-way \\
\hline - & denotes change in direction long right-of-way lines \\
\hline c.r. \# & denotes Certified Corner Record N \\
\hline N.R. & otes non-rodial (see note \\
\hline - & denotes set \(4 " \times 4\) " concrete reference monument (PRM) \\
\hline - & denotes recovered \(4 " \times 4\) " concrete monument permanent reference monument (PRM) \\
\hline \(\bigcirc\) & denotes recovered monumentation as labeled \\
\hline D.E. & denotes droinage easement \\
\hline W.A.E. \({ }_{\text {CR }}\) & denotes wall access easement \\
\hline ORB & denotes Officicil Records of Orange County, Flo \\
\hline & denotes point of reverse curvature \\
\hline &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
s.T.E.
S.r.e. denotes street tree easeme


denotes poge(s)
denotes point of c
eords of Orange County, Floride
denotes point of currvature
denotes point of tangency
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { P.T. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { denoteses point of of tongencery } \\ \text { denotes point of intersection }\end{array} \\ \text { P.I. }\end{array}\)
P.B. denotes Plot Book
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { R.P. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { denotes } \\ \text { R } \\ \text { denotes rodius }\end{array} \\ \text { d } & \text { dines }\end{array}\)
denotes rodius
denotes centrol ong
denotes orc lengt
denotes centrol angle
denotes arc length
denotes chord lent
CHD
CHB
denotes chord length
denotes chord bearing

Row
denotes right-ol-w woy
denotes orea of W.A.E and U.E.

PLAT BOOK \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\) VISTA RESERVE

 hos coused the some to be surveyed, ond this plot, mode in
ocorconee with soid survey, is hereby odopted os the true ond
cose acoordance with soid sury
correct plot of soid londs.
The owner hereby dedicates Tract Row-1 (Additional Right-of-Woy
Dedication), Streets, and Utility eosements to the perpetuol use of
the Dedication
the public
Tract Ls-1 (Lift Station) is hereby dedicated in fee simple to the
City of Alook without

 of Apopk. Recording of this Plot shall oct os conveyonce to the
city
vest of toporko simple title.
vo turther instrument sholl be necessory to

IN WTTNESS MHEREOFF, the undersigned, PULTE HOME COPORATION,

\begin{tabular}{ll} 
MTNESSES: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
PULTE HOME Corporation, a \\
Michigan Corporation
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\square\) By:

Printed Nome of Witness
\(\qquad\)
-

Srinted Nome of Witress
STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF
Title
(CORPorate seal)

HEREBY CERTFY, thot on this doy, before me personally
of puLTE HoM


 MirNess my hand and official seal this _ day of
2018.

Signature of Notory Public
Printed Nome of Notory Public
Notary Public stote of Florida
My Commission Expires
CERTIFCATE OF APPROVA
Y APORKA PLANNING COMMISSIO
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Examined \\
ond \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Approved } \\ \\ \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Chairman } & \\ \text { Dote }\end{array}\)

\section*{CERTFICATE OF REVEW BY REVEWNG SURVEYOR} Pursuant to Section 177.081 , Forido Statutes, I hove reviewed thi
plat for conformity to Conoper 177 Port 1 of the Floride Statut
 field coerficic, provovided however, ot
measurements shown on this plat

Signed: \(\overline{\text { Printed Name: Timothy 0. Mosbyy PSM }}\)
Printed Nome: Timothy 0. Mosby, PSM
Resistrotion Number
Southenestern Surveving ond Mopping Corp
QUALIFICATION STATEMENT OF SURVEYOR AND MAPPER





By:
Cole:


CERTIICATE OF APPROVAL BY MUNCPPALTY
THIS II TO CERTIF, that on --
foregoing plot wos opproved by the Municipality.

\(\frac{\text { Attest: }}{\text { city }}\)
CERTIFCATE OF COUNTY COMPTROLLER
HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing plot wos recorded in the
Oronge County Officiol Records
on
County Comptroller in and for Orange County, Floride.






\title{
Master plan / PReLiminary development plan \\ \\ FOR \\ \\ FOR VISTA RESERVE
} VISTA RESERVE
}


PARCELS: 29-20-28-0000-00-003
SECTIONS 29 AND 30, TOWNSHIP 20 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST
501 OLD DIXIE HIGHWAY

IEGAL DESCRIPTION:




aso osscrated As


\section*{INDEX OF SHEETS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline cov & COVER SHEET \\
\hline 3 SHEETS & BoUndary, TOPOGRAPHC, AND TREE SURVEY \\
\hline SYM-1 & SYMBOLS AND ABBrEVATTONS \\
\hline EC-1 & EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN \\
\hline MP-1 & Master plan \\
\hline DD-1 & development notes and data \\
\hline SP-1 & SUBDIVIIION PLAN \\
\hline SP-2 & SUBDIVSION PLAN \\
\hline DP-1 & Master drainage plan \\
\hline DP-2 & MASter drainage plan \\
\hline UP-1 & MASTER UTLITY PLAN \\
\hline UP-2 & MASTER UTLITY PLAN \\
\hline TS-1 & TYPICAL SECTIONS \\
\hline FA-1 & FIRE ACCESS PLAN \\
\hline LP-001 & KEY PLAN \\
\hline LP-002 T0 LP-004 & TREE MITIGATION PLAN \\
\hline LP-005 & RECREATION / OPEN SPACE PLAN \(\triangle \triangle\) \\
\hline  &  \\
\hline LP-105 & LANOSCAPE NOTES AND DETALLS \\
\hline LP-200 & HARDSCAPE DETALLS \\
\hline LP-201 & HARDSCAPE IMAGES \\
\hline LP-300 TO LP-304 & IRRIGATION PLAN \\
\hline LP-305 & IRRIGATION Notes and detalls \\
\hline LP-306 To LP-308 & IRRIGATION DETALLS \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CITY OF APOPKA, FLORIDA
FOR
THE PULTE GROUP
4901 VINELAND ROAD, SUITE 500
ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32811 PHONE: (407) 661-1514




 Mand 1 mex Memow

VICINTY MAP









Eeceataton anea cactuations "



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Sstool Type & Sthool Nam & Resideritid & Mustoliereer \begin{tabular}{c} 
cose \\
\hline
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline Bementers sudents & Worl & \({ }^{153}\) & 0.19 & 2 \\
\hline motishool susuens & Weatae & \({ }_{15}\) & \({ }_{\text {ous }}^{\text {O.lis }}\) & O \\
\hline & & & a & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Righrsob-way & pualc & Tose owne ano mantaneby ciro fapopa \\
\hline Ponvotuctis & PRyvate & TO BE OWNED AND MAINTAINED BY HOMEOWNEFS ASSOCIATION WITH A USE \\
\hline & & \\
\hline GEEsesment & Puuc & TO BE OWNED AND MAINTAINED BY THE CITV OF APOPKA WITHA USE ATION THE ABIUTV TO \\
\hline & &  \\
\hline ULUT, Wall And Lan & Pualc/ PPavate & Otich \\
\hline UTILITY EASEMENTS ADIACENT TO RIGHT-OF-WAY TRACT & Puauc/ \(/\) Pruate & TO BEDEDICATEDTOTHECTV OFAPOPKA HOA ANDOR OTHER PRIVATE ILITY COMPANIES, UTLUTIES WITHIN THE EASEMENTS MAY BE OWNED AND \\
\hline watranoreceumeivater & puauc &  \\
\hline Sanitars seweano fooccman & puauc &  \\
\hline вuffertacts & prvate & tozeowned nomantanee eytomeowness assocation \\
\hline иетstaion tract & puack &  \\
\hline Regeceatov ractis & penvate &  \\
\hline opeassace zactis & prvarit &  \\
\hline  & private & Tobe ownea ano mantaneber howewnesassocamow \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{UTurr provobes} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{POTABLE WATER SERVICE RECLAIMED WATER SERVICE}} & Caror foppaulunts \\
\hline & & Cirlo \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Emericsserve} &  \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & Sefertum \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline \multirow{7}{*}{Pabse} & \({ }_{p-1}^{p-1}\) & (Max Soxo ofrea) & 9.6 \\
\hline & & Suffe & \({ }_{\text {a }}^{0.008}\) \\
\hline & \({ }_{\text {ecta }}\) & Fooopulu costeravan & \({ }^{10175}\) \\
\hline & \({ }_{\text {cosid }}\) &  & \({ }_{0}^{0.087}\) \\
\hline & Os, 2 & Oprens sack & \({ }^{1.18}\) \\
\hline & \({ }_{054}\) & & \({ }^{12,38}\) \\
\hline & \({ }^{\text {R.1 }}\) & Rectatatow & \({ }_{1.67}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{}

DEVELOPMENT NOTES
















\section*{}








F Drainage swale section


6. typical grading section

H
typical grading section


\(\because\)



TYPICAL SECTIONS



\section*{VISTA RESERVE \\ CITY OF APOPKA, FLORIDA}

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS
PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN
ISSUED FOR PERMIT - 04.05.18
REVISED: 04.30.18

VICINTITY MAP


INDEX OF DRAWINGS













CERTIIY THAT THE LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION DESIGN FOR THIS PROJECT IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH
THE CITY OF APOPKA'S ORDINANCE 2069 ADOPTED MAY 21, , 2008 WHICH ESTABLISHES WATERWISE






ShVESTER DATE PALM DEAAL
SHRUB PLANING DETAL

\footnotetext{
\(\square\)
}

PLANT SCHEDULE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {smm }}\) & ary Bratmat mene & COMMON NAME &  & warevose & \\
\hline \(\stackrel{1}{4}\) & \%. Lucusrum & Mrele liserwe & me mit mumunster & weblu & \({ }^{*}\) \\
\hline neso & 27 masmul semporion 0.0 в. &  &  & weoum & yes \\
\hline &  & Lwe cax &  & -ow & Es \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{2nus} \\
\hline & art iodorncat mame & fommon mas & Isteffecanow & wateruse & , \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {Ps }}\) & 3 Proens spuvestre & Siver die Pale &  & & \\
\hline sp &  & Caseras prux &  & Low & ts \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{spues}} \\
\hline & & & & & nawe \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {cl }}\) & 123. & Domer ormeens &  & \(\xrightarrow{\text { Lew }}\) & \% \\
\hline \({ }^{108}\) & \(\frac{8}{\text { a }}\) & Stre rencurumir & ) &  & N \\
\hline Lus & mo imote mucrer sig quie & Plis Sul boper cress &  & Low & \({ }^{\text {w }}\) \\
\hline mc & 13 nvereverca Cuplumis & muthr venss &  & Low & ves \\
\hline & 1 Is poocaneus macoomulus & vew oocosave &  & \(\xrightarrow{\text { cow }}\) & \(\stackrel{w}{\mathrm{w}}\) \\
\hline -0 &  & Swert valuatue &  & weoun & \(\ldots\) \\
\hline vs & 122 veiamem suspersw & Smanamav viapare &  & mevom & No \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{cass} \\
\hline 5 sm & ary foramcan neme & manor vame & Sreancarom & vater vse & lanvi \\
\hline &  &  &  & Low & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GENERAL NOTES}
 Col














 "
 2.









\footnotetext{
LAFGE TIEE PLANING DETALL BALL AND BULLAP
}
, \begin{tabular}{c} 
LIN OF APOPM, A \\
LNDCAPE NOTES \\
\& DEALIS \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(0{ }^{2 \times 410518}\) \(=\frac{A S}{}\) Noted 3
BUFFER NALL ELEVATION
SCALE: \(1 / 2^{\prime}=I^{\prime-\theta^{\prime}}\)

(2) SIGN NALL ELEVATION
SCALE: \(1 / 2^{x}=1-e^{0}\)


\section*{VISTA RESERVE}


\(0^{04 / 25 / 18}\) \(\frac{\angle 5 \text { NotED }}{8-C P B}\)


LP-200

(1) BENCH

(2) PICNIC TABLE

(3) GRILL

(4) PLAY STRUCTURE

(5) EIKE RACK

6) LIGHT FIXTURE AND POLE











 хop-ON SUFFace dripline OTRCALEND EED LAVOUT
\(\bigcirc \begin{gathered}\text { xCZ-O75-PRF3/4" CONTROL } \\ \text { ZONE KIT } 1 \text { A A SQUARE VALVE BOX }\end{gathered}\)




```


[^0]:    Motion: Linda Laurendeau made a motion to find the Preliminary Development Plan consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code; compatible with the character of the surrounding area; and recommend approval of Carriage Hill Phase II Subdivision - Preliminary Development Plan, subject to the findings of this staff report for the property owned by JTD Land at Rogers Rd, LLC, and located at 1455 West Lester Road. Motion seconded by John Sprinkle. Aye votes were cast by James Greene, Linda Laurendeau, Robert Ryan, and John Sprinkle (4-0). (Vote taken by poll.)

[^1]:    
    

[^2]:    Scott Bickar, P.E.
    Project Engineer
    Transportation Services
    Dewberry
    800 North Magnolia Avenue
    Suite 1000
    Orlando, FL 32803
    321-354-9784
    www.dewberry.com

